UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ROKU, INC., Petitioner

v.

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC., Patent Owner

> Case IPR2019-01612 Patent 7,589,642

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Petitioner, Roku, Inc., objects to the admissibility of the following evidence submitted by Patent Owner, Universal Electronics, Inc. 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1). These objections are being timely filed within 5 business days after service of the evidence to which these objections are directed.

Exhibit 2012: August 19, 2020 Transcript, Certain Electronic Devices, Including Streaming Players, Televisions, Set Top Boxes, Remote Controllers, and Components Thereof, ITC Inv. 337-TA-1200

Petitioner objects to this document because it is being improperly submitted with the Patent Owner's Sur-Reply. As explained in the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide, November 2019, the "sur-reply *may not* be accompanied by new evidence other than deposition transcripts of the cross-examination of any reply witness." TPG, 73 (emphasis added). Here, Patent Owner attempts to subvert this rule and attempts to improperly submit additional evidence with its Sur-Reply.

Petitioner further objects to this document as irrelevant under FRE 401 and thus inadmissible under FRE 402, or as confusing or a waste of time under FRE 403 (e.g., this document is improperly being used to mischaracterize Petitioner's disagreement with UEI's addition of a negative limitation to the construction of "key code signal"). Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

/Lestin L. Kenton/

Lestin L. Kenton, Reg. No. 72,314 Counsel for Petitioner Roku, Inc.

Date: November 20, 2020

1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005–3934 (202) 371–2600

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the

foregoing **PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S**

EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1) was electronically served

via e-mail in its entirety on November 20, 2020, upon the following Attorneys for

Patent Owner:

Benjamin S. Pleune (Lead Counsel)	ben.pleune@alston.com
Ryan W. Koppleman (Back-up Counsel)	ryan.koppelman@alston.com
Thomas W. Davison (Back-up Counsel)	tom.davison@alston.com
James H. Abe (Back-up Counsel)	james.abe@alston.com
Caleb J. Bean (Back-up Counsel)	caleb.bean@alston.com
Derek S. Neilson (Back-up Counsel)	derek.neilson@alston.com
Nicholas T. Tsui (Back-up Counsel)	nick.tsui@alston.com

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

/Lestin L. Kenton/

Lestin L. Kenton, Reg. No. 72,314 Counsel for Petitioner Roku, Inc.

Date: November 20, 2020

1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005–3934 (202) 371–2600

14849686

DOCKE