

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ROKU, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

IPR2019-01612  
U.S. Patent No. 7,589,642

---

**PETITIONER ROKU, INC.'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

**Mail Stop PATENT BOARD**  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	Introduction .....	1
II.	Claim Construction .....	2
	A. “key code signal” .....	3
	B. “key code generator device” .....	3
	C. “generating a key code within a key code generator device using the keystroke indicator signal” .....	5
III.	The Grounds Presented in the Petition Disclose All of the Elements of the Challenged Claims .....	7
	A. Ground 1: Mishra in view of Dubil .....	7
	1. Mishra Discloses the Claimed “Receiving” and “Generating” .	7
	2. Mishra in view of Dubil Renders Obvious the Claimed “Modulating” .....	9
	3. Mishra in view of Dubil Renders Obvious the Dependent Claims.....	11
	B. Ground 2: Rye in view of Dubil.....	15
	1. Rye Discloses the Claimed “Receiving” and “Generating” .....	15
	2. Rye in view of Dubil Renders Obvious the Claimed “Modulating” .....	16
	3. Rye in view of Dubil Renders Obvious the Dependent Claims .....	17
	C. Ground 3: Caris in view of Skerlos .....	18
	1. Caris as Applied to Claim 2 Differs From Caris as Applied to Claim 1 .....	18
	2. Caris in view of Skerlos Renders Obvious the Claimed “Modulating” .....	19
	3. Caris in view of Skerlos Renders Obvious Independent Claim 1 and the Dependent Claims .....	20
IV.	The Petition Has Demonstrated a Motivation to Combine the Asserted Prior Art References .....	21
	A. Ground 1 - A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine Mishra and Dubil .....	21

B. Ground 2 - A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine Rye and Dubil .....24

C. Ground 3 - A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine Caris and Skerlos .....25

V. The Testimony of UEI’s expert, Dr. Sprenger, is Entitled Little to No Weight. ....26

VI. Conclusion.....27

**PETITIONER'S UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST**

<b><i>Exhibit No.</i></b>	<b><i>Description</i></b>
<b>1001</b>	U.S. Patent No. 7,589,642 to Mui (“’642 Patent”)
<b>1002</b>	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 7,589,642 (“Prosecution History”)
<b>1003</b>	Declaration of Dr. Samuel Russ in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,589,642
<b>1004</b>	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Samuel Russ
<b>1005</b>	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0005197 to Mishra <i>et al.</i> (“Mishra”)
<b>1006</b>	U.S. Patent No. 8,132,105 to Dubil <i>et al.</i> (“Dubil”)
<b>1007</b>	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0080428 to Rye <i>et al.</i> (“Rye”)
<b>1008</b>	U.S. Patent No. 7,562,128 to Caris <i>et al.</i> (“Caris”)
<b>1009</b>	U.S. Patent No. 4,426,662 to Skerlos <i>et al.</i> (“Skerlos”)
<b>1010</b>	Markman Order SACV 18-01580 JVS (Dated August 8, 2019)
<b>1011</b>	“Device Specification for Infrared Detecting unit for Remote Control GP1UV70QS series,” Sharp Corporation Electronic Components Group, Opto-Electronic Devices Division (Dated December 27, 2002) (“GP1UV70”)
<b>1012</b>	“Data Formats for IR Remote Control,” Vishay Semiconductors (Dated August 27, 2003) (“Vishay”)
<b>1013</b>	“User Interface – Infrared Learner (Remote Control) AN2092” Project Guide, Cypress Semiconductor Corporation (Dated November 11, 2002) (“Cypress”)
<b>1014</b>	“VCR Commander Service User’s Guide,” Scientific-Atlanta, Inc., (Dated September 2000) (“VCR Commander”)
<b>1015</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,469,152 to Yamamoto <i>et al.</i> (“Yamamoto”)
<b>1016</b>	“Infrared Remote Control Transmitter RC5 Product Specification,” Philips Semiconductors (Dated June 15, 1999)
<b>1017</b>	“AT2400 AllTouch Remote Control User’s Guide,” Scientific-Atlanta, Inc. (Dated February 2002)
<b>1018</b>	“EXPLORER 2100 or 3100 Digital Home Communications Terminals User’s Installation Guide,” Scientific-Atlanta, Inc. (Dated July 2000)

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>1019</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,909,471 to Bayley (“Bayley”)
<b>1020</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,745,192 to Bialobrzewski (“Bialobrzewski”)
<b>1021</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,365,282 to Levine (“Levine”)
<b>1022</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,225,873 to Hill (“Hill”)
<b>1023</b>	U.S. Patent No. 7,149,474 to Mikhak (“Mikhak”)
<b>1024</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,122,010 to Emelko (“Emelko”)
<b>1025</b>	U.S. Patent No. 7,151,575 to Landry <i>et al.</i> (“Landry”)
<b>1026</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,930,730 to Maxon <i>et al.</i> (“Maxon”)
<b>1027</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,993,134 to Epstein (“Epstein”)
<b>1028</b>	Infringement Contentions Exhibit B-1, <i>Universal Electronics Inc. v. Roku, Inc.</i> , 8:18-cv-01580 (C.D. Cal.)
<b>1029</b>	Infringement Contentions Exhibit A-1, <i>Universal Electronics Inc. v. Roku, Inc.</i> , 8:18-cv-01580 (C.D. Cal.)
<b>1030</b>	Supplemental Declaration of Dr. Samuel Russ in Response to Patent Owner’s Objections to Petitioner’s Evidence for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,589,642 ( <i>served but not filed</i> )
<b>1031</b>	Transcript of Telephone Conference, <i>Roku, Inc. v. Universal Electronics, Inc.</i> , June 26, 2020
<b>1032</b>	Declaration of Dr. Samuel Russ in Support of Petitioner’s Reply to Patent Owner’s Response
<b>1033</b>	Transcript of the Deposition of Dr. Michael Sprenger, IPR2019-01612 (Dated September 15, 2020)
<b>1034</b>	Transcript of the Deposition of Dr. Michael Sprenger, IPR2019-01613 (Dated September 16, 2020)
<b>1035</b>	Transcript of the Deposition of Dr. Michael Sprenger, IPR2019-01614 (Dated September 16, 2020)
<b>1036</b>	Supplemental Declaration of Michael Sprenger, Ph.D. in Support of Plaintiff’s Supplemental Claim Construction Brief, <i>TVnGO Ltd. v. LG Electronics, Inc.</i> , 1-18-10238 (D. N.J.) (Dated February 3, 2020)
<b>1037</b>	Opinion, <i>TVnGO Ltd. v. LG Electronics, Inc.</i> , 1-18-10238 (D. N.J.) (Dated April 16, 2020)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.