

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC

Patent Owner

IPR2019-01559

U.S. PATENT NO. 8,724,622

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a)**

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	THE '622 PATENT	2
	A. Effective Filing Date of the '622 Patent	2
	B. Overview of the '622 Patent	2
	C. Challenged Claim 5 of the '622 Patent Recites a System for Instant Voice Messaging over a Packet-Switched Network.	6
	D. Prosecution History of the '622 Patent	7
III.	RELATED PROCEEDINGS	9
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	10
V.	THE PETITION IMPROPERLY CHALLENGES THE CLAIMS BASED ON ASSERTED ART CUMULATIVE OF PRIOR ART EVALUATED DURING PROSECUTION	11
VI.	THE PETITION IS YET ANOTHER REDUNDANT PETITION, IMPROPERLY CHALLENGING CLAIMS BASED ON PRIOR ART AS TO WHICH THE BOARD HAS ALREADY DENIED INSTITUTION.	13
VII.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED FOR FAILING TO JUSTIFY FILING MULTIPLE, CONCURRENT PETITIONS ON THE '622 PATENT	18
VIII.	PETITIONER'S ARGUMENT THAT PATENT OWNER IS PRECLUDED FROM RAISING CERTAIN ISSUES IS BASED ON A MISREADING OF FEDERAL CIRCUIT PRECEDENT.	20
IX.	PETITIONER DOES NOT PROVE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF UNPATENTABILITY FOR THE CHALLENGED CLAIM	22
	A. Claim Construction	22
	B. The Petition fails to establish that it would be likely that a person of ordinary skill would combine Zydney with Griffin.	23

C. No prima facie obviousness for “wherein the predetermined set of permitted actions includes at least one of a connection request, a disconnection request, a subscription request, an unsubscription request, a message transmission request, and a set status request” as recited in challenged dependent claim 5. 26

X. CONCLUSION 30

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 2001

U.S. Patent No. 7,372,826 (Dahod)

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §313 and 37 C.F.R. §42.107(a), Uniloc 2017 LLC (the “Patent Owner” or “Uniloc”) submits Uniloc’s Preliminary Response to the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (“Pet.” or “Petition”) of United States Patent No. 8,724,622 (“the ‘622 patent” or “Ex. 1001”) filed by Microsoft Corporation (“Petitioner”) in IPR2019-01559.

In view of the reasons presented herein, the Petition should be denied in its entirety, for *inter alia*, (1) lacking candor by failing to bring to the Board’s attention multiple denied petitions for *inter partes* review against the ‘622 Patent, (2) presenting challenges based on grounds substantively unchanged from grounds asserted in prior petitions that were denied institution, (3) failing to justify the filing of multiple, concurrent petitions, and (3) as failing to meet the threshold burden of proving there is a reasonable likelihood that the challenged claim is unpatentable.

Uniloc addresses each ground and provides specific examples of how Petitioner failed to establish that it is more likely than not that it would prevail with respect to the challenged ‘622 Patent claim. As a non-limiting example described in more detail below, the Petition fails the all-elements-rule in not addressing every feature of the challenged claim.

Accordingly, Uniloc respectfully requests that the Board decline institution of trial on Claim 5 of the ‘622 Patent.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.