



US008480812B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Nath et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 8,480,812 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Jul. 9, 2013**

(54) **PROCESS FOR REMOVING HYDROCARBONS AND NOXIOUS GASSES FROM REACTORS AND MEDIA-PACKED EQUIPMENT**

(75) Inventors: **Cody Nath**, Houston, TX (US); **Barry Baker**, Odem, TX (US); **Sean Sears**, The Woodlands, TX (US)

(73) Assignee: **Refined Technologies, Inc.**, Spring, TX (US)

(\* ) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 517 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **12/478,580**

(22) Filed: **Jun. 4, 2009**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2010/0307536 A1 Dec. 9, 2010

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**B08B 9/00** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
USPC ..... **134/22.1**; 134/22.14; 134/22.19; 134/26; 134/30; 134/31; 134/36; 134/37; 134/41

(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
USPC ..... 134/22.19, 22.1, 22.14, 31, 34, 37, 134/26, 28, 29, 30, 36, 41  
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,289,351 A 7/1942 Dixon et al.  
3,084,076 A 4/1963 Loucks  
3,574,319 A 4/1971 Morgenthaler  
3,667,487 A 6/1972 Schoenbeck et al.

3,897,800 A 8/1975 Tyrner et al.  
4,049,057 A 9/1977 Hewes  
4,238,428 A 12/1980 Sansaki et al.  
4,276,914 A 7/1981 Albertson  
4,464,189 A 8/1984 Tedder  
4,609,041 A 9/1986 Magda  
4,933,089 A 6/1990 Newton  
4,975,208 A 12/1990 Watkins  
5,035,792 A \* 7/1991 Foutsitzis et al. .... 208/138  
5,104,556 A 4/1992 Al-Yazdi  
5,183,581 A 2/1993 Khalil et al.  
5,356,482 A 10/1994 Mehta et al.  
5,389,156 A 2/1995 Mehta et al.  
5,425,814 A 6/1995 Krajicek et al.  
5,540,784 A 7/1996 Ranes  
5,670,460 A 9/1997 Neely et al.  
5,678,631 A 10/1997 Salisbury et al.  
5,762,719 A 6/1998 D'Muhala et al.  
6,017,492 A 1/2000 Hashimoto  
6,058,974 A 5/2000 Blomgren  
6,273,102 B1 \* 8/2001 Kawakami et al. .... 134/22.1  
6,283,133 B1 9/2001 Furuta et al.  
6,534,449 B1 3/2003 Gilmour et al.

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Select File History in related U.S. Appl. No. 10/447,441, dated May 28, 2003 through Mar. 8, 2005, 98 pages.  
Select File History in related U.S. Appl. No. 11/138,096, dated May 26, 2003 through Jun. 28, 2006, 122 pages.

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Saeed T Chaudhry

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Lathrop & Gage LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A process for quickly removing hydrocarbon contaminants and noxious gases in a safe and effective manner from catalytic reactors, other media packed process vessels and associated equipment in the vapor phase without using steam. The cleaning agent contains one or more solvents, such as terpenes or other organic solvents. The cleaning agent is injected into contaminated equipment, along with a carrier gas, in the form of a cleaning vapor.

**20 Claims, 1 Drawing Sheet**




U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,858,090 B2 2/2005 Hebert  
6,872,263 B1 3/2005 Jansen et al.  
6,893,509 B2 5/2005 Sears et al.  
6,936,112 B2\* 8/2005 Jansen et al. .... 134/19  
6,972,274 B1 12/2005 Slikta et al.  
6,978,793 B1 12/2005 Krueger  
7,198,103 B2 4/2007 Campbell  
2002/0139738 A1 10/2002 Jujie et al.  
2004/0102351 A1 5/2004 Jansen et al.  
2004/0238006 A1 12/2004 Sears et al.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Select File History in related U.S. Appl. No. 11/278,168, dated Mar. 31, 2006 through Jun. 26, 2007, 128 pages.  
Select File History in related U.S. Appl. No. 10/781,275, dated Feb. 18, 2004 through Feb. 14, 2005, 82 pages.  
Select File History in related U.S. Appl. No. 10/304,370, dated Nov. 26, 2002 through May 16, 2005, 144 pages.  
Examiner's Report dated Jan. 20, 2012 issued in related Canadian Patent Application No. 2674842, 5 pages.

\* cited by examiner



1

**PROCESS FOR REMOVING  
HYDROCARBONS AND NOXIOUS GASSES  
FROM REACTORS AND MEDIA-PACKED  
EQUIPMENT**

BACKGROUND

This disclosure pertains to the operation and maintenance of chemical plants and refineries. More specifically, the present disclosure relates to the process for cleaning the internal surfaces of chemically contaminated reactors, packed beds, absorbent chambers, compressors, pipes, connectors and other equipment.

Refineries and chemical plants must perform turnarounds on chemical processing units which utilize reactors and other vessels containing packed media. The purpose of these turnarounds is to replace catalysts or other media that have lost the ability to perform. Performance measures include catalyst activity, pressure drop, yields, molecular sieve selectivity, etc.

When the turnarounds are being performed, the facility cannot upgrade refined products to higher value streams, resulting in irreversible loss of revenue to the refinery or chemical plant. Therefore, an incentive exists to minimize the duration of the outage and perform the change-out of the media as quickly and effectively as possible, while maintaining a safe work environment.

Moreover, new developments in environmental regulations and enforcement have led to more stringent emissions requirements. One of the major developments resulting from these regulations is the desire to minimize flaring from refining equipment. Many facilities have installed Flare Gas Recovery Units (FGRUs) to capture gases in the flare system and return them to the fuel gas system rather than flaring continuously. FGRUs typically consist of one or more liquid ring compressors capable of taking low pressure flare gas and pushing it into the fuel gas system or other medium pressure system. These new units are often mandated by Consent Decree agreements between refiners and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). As a result, there is significant environmental incentive to avoid flaring and to keep the gases within the constraints of the FGRUs when gases must be vented from the equipment. These constraints may include, for example, the following parameters.

1) Flow Rate:

The compressors are designed to capture a limited quantity of vapors in the flare system. If the compressors are overwhelmed the gas will be flared.

2) BTU Value:

Nitrogen is frequently used to clear noxious chemicals from refining equipment. There is a limitation on how much nitrogen can be sent to the fuel gas system via the FGRU because the nitrogen, which has no heating value, dilutes the fuel gas system and causes the plant heaters to operate abnormally. This can lead to further upsets, so the plant fuel gas BTU value is closely monitored.

3) Temperature:

Because the compressors are liquid ring compressors, there is a temperature limit which protects the compressors. Generally, temperatures above 170° F. are not allowed.

The process vessels are generally at the heart of a hydrocarbon processing facility but often cannot be isolated from adjacent supporting equipment. For example, a typical hydrotreating process unit in a petroleum refinery has a reactor containing a metal catalyst, a hydrogen compressor, shell and tube heat exchangers, a heater, air cooled fin tube

2

referred to as the reactor circuit. When a turnaround occurs on such a unit, the entire reactor circuit must be cleaned together because the compressor and heat exchangers are used to circulate a gas used to cool down the reactor at a regulated rate.

Under most circumstances, it may be desirable to ensure that the equipment in a reactor circuit are not exposed to water or steam due to concerns about technical items such as metallurgy, loss of catalyst activity and the destruction of expensive adsorbent materials such as molecular sieves. Additionally, there are practical concerns with respect to materials inside the equipment which may form clumps when soaked with water, making them difficult to remove. Moreover, in the case of reactors in hydrotreating units, the shutdown and cool down procedure requires that the hydrogen compressor in the system remain online, and because hydrogen compressors cannot pump steam, it must be cleaned without using steam or aqueous cleaners that are otherwise commonly used in the industry.

One previously disclosed method for preparing reactor circuits for safe work involves a "hot sweep," where the heater in the reactor loop is used to raise the hydrogen stream temperature levels high enough to strip the heavy hydrocarbons from the catalyst as the hydrogen compressor circulates the gas. Following that step, the hydrogen is replaced with nitrogen by repetitively depressurizing the system to the flare system and pressuring it back up with nitrogen (commonly called a "huff and puff"). At that point, the compressor is restarted, sending the nitrogen through the reactor circuit at the same time that the continuous injection and purge of nitrogen is occurring. The purge stream is sent to the flare system. The process gradually decreases the concentration of noxious gases in the circuit and cools down the reactor. Depending on the design of the compressor, nitrogen availability and other considerations, the operator may use other gases instead of nitrogen, including purchased fuel gas (ethane and methane). These processes require enormous quantities of nitrogen, which is costly. The goal of the entire operation is to render the circuit safe for work (0% LEL, 0 PPM H<sub>2</sub>S and <100° F.). Depending on the size and state of the unit, the entire effort can take 3 or more days.

In cases where the "huff and puff" and nitrogen purge steps are sent to a flare system with an FGRU, the constraints mentioned above will govern the flow rate and therefore will set the duration of the activity. In systems that include flare gas recovery, the FGRU becomes the limiting factor of all or most hydrotreater shutdowns.

Another method known in the field for safely removing contaminated catalyst from a reactor is to perform a "wet dump." After the equipment is cooled down, the reactor is filled with water. The catalyst is subsequently dumped wet, effectively preventing fires and other hazards. Challenges to this method are time (system must be cooled down prior to introducing water), safe handling and disposal of hot water, increased amount of waste for disposal and difficulties involved in controlling a large system filled with hot catalyst and metal, mixed with cool water.

Although it is possible in some cases to isolate a process vessel for cleaning and decontamination, it is not always practicable to use steam or aqueous solutions to clean the equipment. For instance, a compressor is typically not available for circulating gas through the process internals. One such example is an adsorbent chamber in the Parex™ Process (UOP technology). One method for removing noxious gases from such equipment is purging with an inert gas, most com-

low pressure. These steps may be repeated until the atmosphere inside the system meets environmental and safety limits.

In some cases, a continuous flow of nitrogen is introduced at one point in a system while the same amount is vented (either to the flare system or to the atmosphere) at another point. The nitrogen reduces contaminants in the vessel through dilution. Often the equipment is vented to the flare during the nitrogen purges; however, purging directly to the atmosphere is possible once environmental limits have been reached. At that point, the vessel is opened at several points to the atmosphere and air blowers are used to remove the nitrogen and the last traces of noxious gases. The end goal of all of the processes involving nitrogen or other gases is to render the equipment dry of free oil and the internal atmosphere free of noxious gases.

In summary, most of these known methods are time-consuming and/or expensive to implement. Furthermore, any solution that requires further cleaning inside a confined space may introduce safety risk to the workers implementing the process.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates the layout of equipment and the flow of media in a typical cleaning process.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The disclosed embodiments introduce a non-aqueous cleaning agent or solvent that is not dependent upon water or steam as a carrier. The cleaning agent is carried into and through the equipment to be cleaned by a carrier gas that is free of water. The carrier gas volatilizes the solvent and delivers it throughout the internal spaces and surface areas of the equipment to be cleaned, allowing the solvent to quickly dissolve organic residues from the vessel and carry away noxious gases.

Furthermore, the present invention overcomes the constraints placed on refiners with FGRUs by expediting the procedure for freeing the equipment of noxious gases. By speeding up this process the refiner is able to reach environmental and safety limits faster so that the equipment can be vented to atmosphere. The invention may allow the refiner to reach these limits before the equipment is cool enough for safe work, so the FGRU is no longer limiting the timeline of the event. Once these limits are reached, the equipment can continue cooling to atmosphere.

In one embodiment, it is provided a method of cleaning contaminated equipment, the method may include the following steps:

- providing a carrier gas source which provides carrier gas such as nitrogen, purchased fuel gas, etc;
- providing a solvent source, preferably capable of supplying a non-aqueous solvent;
- delivering the carrier gas and solvent from their respective sources to the system to be cleaned; and
- removing said contaminant out of the system as the carrier gas and solvent are delivered to or through the system, wherein substantial amount of said contaminant is dissolved in said solvent in a vapor or liquid state as it is being removed from said system.

For purpose of this disclosure, the term "substantial" means at least 50%. The process system to be cleaned may be a reactor, an absorbent chamber containing a molecular sieve,

molecular sieve or a desiccant. By way of example, a reactor circuit used in a refining hydrotreating process and associated equipment may be cleaned using the disclosed process. Associated equipment may include, for example, a shell and tube exchanger, a fired heater, a distillation tower, or an interconnecting piping.

The carrier gas may be nitrogen or other inert gases. Alternatively, the carrier gas may be a dry gas produced or used in a petroleum processing facility which has the chemical formula  $C_nH_{2n+2}$ , wherein n is an integer greater than 0 but less than 6. Examples of such dry gas include ethane or methane (commonly referred to as "purchased fuel gas" or refinery fuel gas). Other suitable carrier gas may include suitable gases that are readily available within a refinery or petrochemical plant, such as hydrogen used in a hydrotreating process.

The disclosed processes may be used to remove organic contaminants and noxious gases from a system. Organic contaminants may include but are not limited to crude oil and its derivatives produced through the refining process, or hydrocarbons. Noxious gases may include but are not limited to, hydrogen sulfide, benzene, carbon monoxide, and light end hydrocarbons which result in readings when testing an atmosphere for the Lower Explosive Limit (commonly referred to as LEL's).

In another aspect, the method of the present disclosure may include an additional step of circulating the carrier gas through the system using a compressor. In another aspect, the method may include a further step of bringing the vessel or system of equipment within the proper temperature range by either heating it or cooling it prior to the introduction of solvent.

In another aspect, the disclosed method may be used on equipment which is operating, such as a hydrotreater undergoing a nitrogen cool-down. In another aspect, the disclosed method may be used on equipment which is taken out of service for cleaning. Example for such application may include, by way of example, isolated vessel such as a Parex adsorbent chamber.

For equipment which is operating, the disclosed process may employ two potential delivery methods. In the first method, a solvent may be injected into a carrier gas. The mixture is in turn introduced into the equipment to clean its internal surfaces. Alternatively, in the second method, the actual process gas may be used as the carrier gas, utilizing the flow inside the process equipment to distribute the cleaning agents throughout the equipment to clean its internal surfaces. These two methods may have the advantage of keeping equipment online during a cleaning operation.

For equipment which will be taken out of service, the process may include following the standard shutdown procedure, properly isolating the equipment to be cleaned, injecting one or more solvents into a carrier gas, and introducing the carrier gas and solvent mixture into the equipment to clean its internal surfaces.

The described process is particularly well suited to cleaning large surface areas such as reactors with contaminated catalyst beds. A relatively small amount of cleaning fluid is required as compared to other known methods. The equipment used to introduce the cleaning agent may include a system of pumps, pipe fittings and, optionally, nozzles to vaporize and accurately control the volumetric ratios of chemical vapor and carrier gas. The injection rate and the volumetric or weight ratio between the solvent and the carrier gas depend on the nature of the equipment to be cleaned and

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.