

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNITED LABORATORIES INTERNATIONAL, LLC

Petitioner

v.

REFINED TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case No.: IPR2019-01544
Patent No. 9,017,488

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
I. RELATED MATTERS	1
II. INTRODUCTION	1
III. TECHNOLOGICAL BACKGROUND	4
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	9
V. PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO IDENTIFY CLAIM ELEMENTS IN THE PRIOR ART.....	9
A. All Claims: “(iii) volatilizing non-aqueous solvent from the non-aqueous solvent source in water-free carrier gas from the carrier gas source and delivering the carrier gas containing the volatilized non-aqueous solvent to the process system”	9
B. All Claims: “(iv) removing said contaminant out of said system, wherein a substantial amount of said contaminant is dissolved in said solvent in a vapor or liquid state as it is being removed from said system”	13
VI. PETITIONER FAILS TO EXPLAIN HOW ALLEN IS ANALOGOUS ART	13
VII. PETITIONER MISCHARACTERIZES THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	16
VIII. PETITIONER’S ASSERTED GROUNDS ARE THE RESULT OF HINDSIGHT REASONING AND FAIL TO IDENTIFY MOTIVATIONS TO COMBINE IN THE MANNER CLAIMED.....	19
A. Petitioner’s analysis rests on an overly broad characterization of the teachings in Foutsitzis and Allen	20
B. Petitioner’s obviousness analysis is based on impermissible hindsight reasoning, using the claims as a blueprint, and fails to identify appropriate reasons to combine.	222

C.	Petitioner fails to articulate a reason to combine the references in the manner claimed, but instead provides non-specific, plain vanilla motivations to improve the prior art.....	24
D.	Wilhite’s reference to the Applicant’s disclosures of prior art is irrelevant.....	25
E.	Petitioner’s reliance on Jansen in Ground II suffers from the same fatal flaws	266
IX.	PETITIONER FAILS TO EXPLAIN WHY THE BOARD SHOULD CONSIDER THE PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED PRIOR ART UNDER THE <i>BECTON, DICKINSON</i> FACTORS	26
X.	CONCLUSION.....	27

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Cheese Sys. v. Tetra Pak Cheese & Powder Sys.</i> , 725 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	22
<i>Interconnect Planning Corp. v. Feil</i> , 774 F.2d 1132 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	22
<i>In re Bigio</i> , 381 F. 3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	13, 14, 15, 16
<i>In re Clay</i> , 966 F.2d 656 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	14
<i>In re GPAC Inc.</i> , 57 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	16
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	16, 22, 24
<i>In re Kotzab</i> , 217 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	23
<i>In re Rouffet</i> , 149 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	22
<i>Interconnect Planning Corp. v. Feil</i> , 774 F.2d 1132 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	22
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	22, 23, 24, 25
<i>Hulu, LLC v. Sound View Innovations, LLC</i> , IPR2018-00582, Paper 34 (Aug. 5, 2019)	20
<i>Johns Manville Corp. v. Knauf Insulation, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-00827, Paper 9 (Oct. 16, 2018)	20

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 10316

35 U.S.C. § 325(d)26

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.