UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BENTLEY MOTORS LIMITED and BENTLEY MOTORS, INC.

Petitioner

V.

JAGUAR LAND ROVER LIMITED
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. RE46,828

IPR2019-01539

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD" Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	OF EX	KHIBI'	TS	iii	
I.	INTRODUCTION				
II.	GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A)				
III.	CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B) AND RELIEF REQUESTED				
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE '828 PATENT AND PROSECUTION HISTORY				
V.	STAT	TE OF	THE ART	11	
VI.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART				
VII.	CLAI	М СО	NSTRUCTION	12	
VIII.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 30, 32 AND 45 ARE OBVIOUS OVER GB '580 IN VIEW OF THE LANCER ART				
	A.	GB '5	580	16	
	B.	The N	Mitsubishi Lancer Evolution VII	16	
	C.		vation to Combine GB '580 and the Lancer Art with sonable Expectation of Success	20	
	D.		580 and the Lancer Art Disclose the Base Claim ents	24	
		1.	[BC.1] The Prior Art Discloses "A vehicle control system having a driver input device for selecting a driving surface"	24	
		2.	[BC.2] The Prior Art Discloses "the vehicle control system arranged to control a plurality of vehicle subsystems each of which is operable in a plurality of subsystem configuration modes"	26	
		3.	[BC.3] The Prior Art Discloses "wherein the vehicle control system is operable in a plurality of		



			driving modes in each of which it is arranged to select the subsystem configuration modes in a manner suitable for a respective driving surface"	27
		4.	[BC.4] The Prior Art Discloses "and further wherein the plurality of driving modes includes at least two off-road modes in which the subsystem configurations are controlled in a manner suitable for driving on respective off-road driving surfaces, and an on-road mode in which the subsystem configurations are controlled in a manner suitable for driving on road".	29
		5.	It is Axiomatic that Adding a Second Off-Road Mode Does Not Confer Patentability	30
	E.		ns 30 and 32 Are Obvious – The Prior Art Teaches ared Driving Mode Selection	32
	F.		n 45 – The Prior Art Teaches a Transmission ystem with Different Configuration Modes	34
IX.	GROUND 2: CLAIMS 33, 34, 41 AND 42 ARE OBVIOUS OVER GB '580 IN VIEW OF THE LANCER ART AND ROVER'S '859 PATENT			37
	A.	The	859 Patent	37
	B.		vation to Combine the '859 Patent with GB '580 a Reasonable Expectation of Success	39
	C.	The Prior Art Teaches a Suspension Subsystem with Adjustable Ride Height Dependent upon Driver Selection of a Driving Mode		40
		1.	Claim 33 is Obvious	40
		2.	Claim 34 is Obvious	43
		3.	Claim 41 is Obvious	44
		4	Claim 42 is Obvious	45



Χ.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 37 AND 39 ARE OBVIOUS OVER GB '580 IN VIEW OF THE LANCER ART AND THE '318 PATENT			
	A.	The '318 Patent	46	
	B.	Motivation to Combine the '318 Patent and GB '580 with a Reasonable Expectation of Success	50	
	C.	Claims 37 and 39 Are Obvious Over GB '580 in View of the Lancer Art and the '318 Patent	52	
XI.	GROUND 4: CLAIM 46 IS OBVIOUS OVER GB '580 IN VIEW OF THE LANCER ART AND ROVER'S '614 PATENT		54	
	D.	The '614 Patent	54	
	E.	Motivation to Combine the '614 Patent and GB '580 with a Reasonable Expectation of Success	55	
XII.	GROUND 5: CLAIMS 21, 24, AND 43 ARE OBVIOUS OVER GB '580 IN VIEW OF THE LANCER ART AND THE HUMMER ARTICLE			
	F.	The Hummer Article	58	
	G.	Motivation to Combine the Hummer Article and GB '580 with a Reasonable Expectation of Success	61	
	Н.	Claim 21 is Obvious Because the Prior Art Discloses an Off-Road Driving Mode Suitable for Driving on Sand	63	
	I.	Claims 24 and 43 are Obvious Because the Prior Art Discloses a Brake System Set to Allow Relatively High Wheel Slip Under Braking	64	
XIII.	MANDATORY NOTICES AND PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8 AND 42.103			
	A.	Real Parties-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	66	
	B.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))	66	
	C.	Notice of Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))	67	



	D.	Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	68	
	E.	Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. § 42.103)	68	
XIV.	CON	NCLUSION	68	
CER'	TIFIC	ATE OF SERVICE	70	



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

