

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.

Petitioner

v.

AQUILA INNOVATIONS INC.

Patent Owner

Case IPR2019-01526
U.S. Patent No. 6,895,519

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. BACKGROUND	1
II. IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO CONSTRUE ANY CLAIM TERMS DETERMINATION THAT THE PREAMBLE OF CLAIM 1 IS LIMITING IS REQUIRED	4
III. THE PETITION’S COMBINATION OF OBER AND NAKAZATO DOES NOT RENDER OBVIOUS ANY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS	7
1. Ober	8
2. Nakazato	10
3. The Petition Does Not Establish That Ober Discloses “A Plurality Of Ordinary Operation Modes.”	11
4. The Absence Of A “Plurality Of Ordinary Operation Modes” Impacts Several Other Limitations Of Claim 1	18
5. The Petition Does Not Establish That The Combination of Ober and Nakazato Discloses “A First Memory Storing A Clock Control Library For Controlling Clock Frequency Transitions Between Said Ordinary Operation Modes.”	19
a. Nakazato and Ober Are Incompatible	22
b. The Petition Does Not Sufficiently Support Its Claimed Motivation To Combine Ober and Nakazato	24
6. The Petition Does Not Establish That Ober Discloses “Generates A Clock Supplied To Said Central Processing Unit.”	25

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
7. The Petition Does Not Establish That Ober And Nakazato Render Obvious “Calling Of Said Clock Control Library And Changing Of Said Register Value Are Programmably Controlled By Said Application Program To Enable User Selectable Clock Frequency Transitions.”	28
a. Nakazato’s Utility Does Not Control Its Driver.....	28
b. The Petition Does Not Establish That Nakazato’s Utility Controls the Changing Of A Register Value	29
8. Ober Does Not Disclose a “Second Special Mode.”	30
9. Ober Teaches Away From A Combination With Nakazato.....	32
IV. GROUND 2	35
A. The Petition Does Not Establish That Exhibit 1005 Is A Printed Publication.....	35
V. GROUND 3	38
VI. THE BOARD LACKS AUTHORITY TO RULE ON THE PATENTABILITY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE ’526 PATENT.....	38
VII. CONCLUSION	40

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Federal Cases

<i>Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 2019 U.S. App. LEXIS 32613 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 31, 2019)	39
<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	5, 6
<i>In re Cronyn</i> , 890 F.2d 1158 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	37
<i>Edmond v. United States</i> , 520 U.S. 651 (1997).....	39
<i>Free Enter. Fund v. Pub. Co. Accounting Oversight Bd.</i> , 561 U.S. 477 (2010).....	38, 39
<i>Freytag v. Commissioner</i> , 501 U.S. 868 (1991).....	39
<i>In re Gurley</i> , 27 F.3d 551 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	33
<i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	30
<i>Hulu, LLC v. Sound View Innovations, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-01039, Paper No. 29 (PTAB Dec. 20, 2019)	36
<i>Infineon Techs. AG et al. v. Atmel Corp.</i> , No. 11-307-RGA, Dkt No. 174 (D. Del. Dec. 4, 2012)	8
<i>Kyocera Wireless Corp. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 545 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	36
<i>Lucia v. SEC</i> , 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018).....	38, 39
<i>Masias v. Sec’y of HHS</i> , 634 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	38, 39

<i>SRI Int’l, Inc. v. Internet Sec. Sys., Inc.</i> , 511 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	36, 37
<i>TQ Delta, LLC v. Cisco Sys.</i> , 942 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	24
<i>Wellman, Inc. v. Eastman Chem. Co.</i> , 642 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	4
Federal Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102.....	36
35 U.S.C. § 313.....	1
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	26
37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	26, 29
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	22
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	26
37 C.F.R. § 42.107	1

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.