

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

AQUILA INNOVATIONS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2019-01525 (Patent 6,239,614 B1)
IPR2019-01526 (Patent 6,895,519 B2)¹

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, DENISE M. POTHIER, and
AMBER L. HAGY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HAGY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Setting Oral Argument
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

¹ The Order concerns a matter applicable to both proceedings. We exercise our discretion to file a single Order in both cases. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this caption.

IPR2019-01525 (Patent 6,239,614 B1)
IPR2019-01526 (Patent 6,895,519 B2)

ORAL ARGUMENT

The Scheduling Order (Paper 13 in IPR2019-01525, Paper 14 in IPR2019-01526) for these proceedings provided that an oral argument would be conducted on December 11, 2020, if requested by the parties and granted by the Board. Petitioner requested an oral argument in each proceeding. IPR2019-01525, Paper 29; IPR2019-01526, Paper 28. Patent Owner also requested an oral hearing in each proceeding. IPR2019-01525, Paper 30; IPR2019-01526, Paper 29. The requests for oral argument in each proceeding are *granted* subject to the conditions set forth in this Order.

Time and Format

Oral arguments will commence at **10:00 AM Eastern Time on December 11, 2020, by video.**² The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing, and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing.

Petitioner will have a total of 60 minutes to present argument in these proceedings and Patent Owner will have a total of 60 minutes to respond. Petitioner will open the hearing by presenting its case regarding the challenged claims for which the Board instituted trial in these proceedings. Thereafter, Patent Owner will respond to Petitioner's argument. Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time to respond to arguments presented by Patent Owner. In accordance with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide³

² If there are any concerns about disclosing confidential information, the parties must contact the Board at Trials@uspto.gov at least ten (10) business days before the hearing date.

³ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuide3>.

IPR2019-01525 (Patent 6,239,614 B1)

IPR2019-01526 (Patent 6,895,519 B2)

(“CTPG”), issued in November 2019, Patent Owner may reserve time for sur-rebuttal.

The parties may request a pre-hearing conference in advance of the hearing. *See* CTPG 82. “The purpose of the pre-hearing conference is to afford the parties the opportunity to preview (but not argue) the issues to be discussed at the hearing, and to seek the Board’s guidance as to particular issues that the panel would like addressed by the parties.” *Id.* If either party desires a pre-hearing conference, the parties should jointly contact the Board at Trials@uspto.gov at least seven (7) business days before the hearing date to request a conference call for that purpose.

Demonstratives

As set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits shall be served on opposing counsel at least seven (7) business days before the hearing date and filed no later than three (3) business days before the hearing.⁴

Demonstrative exhibits are not a mechanism for making new arguments. Demonstrative exhibits also are not evidence, and will not be relied upon as evidence. Rather, demonstrative exhibits are visual aids to a party’s oral presentation regarding arguments and evidence previously presented and discussed in the papers. Accordingly, demonstrative exhibits shall be clearly marked with the words “DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE” in the footer. *See Dell Inc. v. Acceleron, LLC*, 884 F.3d

⁴ The parties may stipulate to an alternative schedule for serving and filing demonstrative exhibits, and may request that the Board modify the schedule for filing and serving demonstrative exhibits at least seven (7) business days before the hearing date.

IPR2019-01525 (Patent 6,239,614 B1)

IPR2019-01526 (Patent 6,895,519 B2)

1364, 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (holding that the Board is obligated under its own regulations to dismiss untimely argument “raised for the first time during oral argument”); Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012) (“No new evidence or arguments may be presented at the oral argument.”); *see also St. Jude Med., Cardiology Div., Inc. v. The Bd. of Regents of the Univ. of Mich.*, IPR2013-00041, Paper 65, 2–3 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014) (explaining that “new” evidence includes evidence already of record but not previously discussed in any paper of record).

Furthermore, because of the strict prohibition against the presentation of new evidence or arguments at a hearing, it is strongly recommended that each demonstrative include a citation to the record, which allows the Board to easily ascertain whether a given demonstrative contains “new” argument or evidence or, instead, contains only that which is developed in the existing record.

Due to the nature of the Board’s consideration of demonstrative exhibits and the opportunity afforded for the parties to reach an agreement without involving the Board, the Board does not anticipate that objections to such exhibits are likely to be sustained. Nevertheless, to the extent that a party objects to the propriety of any demonstrative exhibit, the parties shall meet and confer in good faith to resolve any objections to demonstrative exhibits prior to filing them with the Board. If such objections cannot be resolved, the parties may file any objections to demonstratives with the Board no later than the time of the hearing. The objections shall identify with particularity which portions of the demonstrative exhibits are subject to objection (and should include a copy of the objected-to portions) and include

IPR2019-01525 (Patent 6,239,614 B1)

IPR2019-01526 (Patent 6,895,519 B2)

a one (1) sentence statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider any objections, and reserve ruling on the objections.⁵ Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not timely presented will be considered waived.

Finally, the parties are reminded that each presenter should identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the court reporter's transcript and for the benefit of all participants appearing electronically.

Presenting Counsel

The Board generally expects lead counsel for each party to be present at the hearing. Any counsel of record may present the party's argument as long as that counsel is present by video.

Video Hearing Details

If at any time during the hearing, counsel encounters technical or other difficulties that fundamentally undermine counsel's ability to adequately represent its client, please let the panel know immediately, and adjustments will be made.⁶

To facilitate planning, each party must contact the Board at PTABHearings@uspto.gov at least five (5) business days prior to the hearing date to receive video set-up information. As a reminder, all arrangements and the expenses involved with appearing by video, such as

⁵ If time permits, the Board may schedule a conference call with the parties to discuss any filed objections.

⁶ For example, if a party is experiencing poor video quality, the Board may provide alternate dial-in information.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.