

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No.: 6,836,654
Issued: Dec. 28, 2004
Application No.: 09/739,507
Filed: Dec. 18, 2000

Title: ANTI-THEFT PROTECTION
FOR A RADIOTELEPHONY DEVICE

DECLARATION OF HENRY HOUH

MICROSOFT CORP.
EXHIBIT 1010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
I. INTRODUCTION AND ENGAGEMENT	5
II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	5
III. MATERIALS CONSIDERED AND INFORMATION RELIED UPON REGARDING '654 PATENT.....	12
IV. UNDERSTANDING OF PATENT LAW	13
V. OVERVIEW OF THE '654 PATENT	16
VI. LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART	19
VII. DETAILED ANALYSIS AND OPINION	20
A. Claims 1-20 Are Unpatentable Over The Nokia Combinations	21
1. The Nokia Owner's Manual.....	21
2. Barvesten.....	22
3. Combining Nokia and Barvesten.....	23
4. Claim 1	25
5. Claim 2	37
6. Claim 3	39
7. Claim 4	40
8. Claim 5	44
9. Claim 6	47
10. Claim 7	50
11. Claim 8	53
12. Claim 9	57

13.	Claim 10	59
14.	Claim 11	70
15.	Claim 12	72
16.	Claim 13	74
17.	Claim 14	75
18.	Claim 15	78
19.	Claim 16	82
20.	Claims 17-20	83
B.	Claims 1-20 Are Unpatentable Over The Schultz Combinations	85
1.	Combining Barvesten and Schultz	86
2.	Claim 1	88
3.	Claim 2	93
4.	Claim 3	94
5.	Claim 4	95
6.	Claim 5	96
7.	Claim 6	100
8.	Claim 7	101
9.	Claim 8	102
10.	Claim 9	103
11.	Claim 10	104
12.	Claim 11	111
13.	Claim 12	112
14.	Claim 13	113

15.	Claim 14.....	113
16.	Claim 15.....	114
17.	Claim 16.....	115
18.	Claims 17-20.....	116

I, Henry Houh, do hereby declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION AND ENGAGEMENT

1. I have been retained as an independent expert on behalf of Microsoft Corporation in connection with the above-captioned Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (“IPR”) to provide my analyses and opinions on certain technical issues related to U.S. Patent No. 6,836,654 (hereinafter “the ’654 patent”).

2. I am being compensated at my usual and customary rate for the time I spent in connection with this IPR. My compensation is not affected by the outcome of this IPR.

3. Specifically, I have been asked to provide my opinions regarding whether claims 1-20 (each a “Challenged Claim” and collectively the “Challenged Claims”) of the ’654 patent would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art (“PHOSITA”) by December 1999. It is my opinion that each Challenged Claim would have been obvious to a PHOSITA after reviewing the prior art discussed herein.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

4. I am an expert in the fields of networking, telecommunications, communications devices, communications device software, telecommunications software and telecommunications systems. In formulating my opinions, I have relied upon my training, knowledge, and experience in the relevant art. A copy of

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.