

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:

U.S. Patent No. 7,170,519

For: **Computer-Implemented System and
Method for Generating Data Graphical
Displays**

DECLARATION OF STEPHEN GRAY

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
US Patent and Trademark Office
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	7
II.	Legal Understandings	9
	A. Legal Standard for Prior Art.....	9
	B. Legal Standards for Anticipation and Obviousness.....	9
	C. Legal Standards for Claim Construction.....	14
III.	TECHNICAL BACKGROUND	19
	A. Internet and Web Technology.....	20
	B. HTTP	21
	C. Client-Server Computing	22
	D. Style Sheets	23
	E. Markup Language.....	25
IV.	OVERVIEW OF THE CITED PRIOR ART	26
	A. Davis (EX 1005).....	26
	B. Rousseuw (Ex. 1008).....	30
	C. Excel (Ex. 1007).....	37
	D. Harold (Ex. 1006).....	48
	E. Krause (Ex. 1009).....	50
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE ‘519 PATENT	52
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL	58
VII.	Claim Construction.....	58
	A. Means-Plus-Function Terms	58
	“data source generating means” – Claims 27 and 49.....	59

“graph generator module” – Claims 34.....	61
VIII. Application of the prior art to the claims.....	64
IX. Claims 1-4, 12-18, 21, 27, 29, 30, 34-47, 49, 51-53, and 56 are obvious over Davis and Harold	65
A. Motivation to Combine Davis and Harold.....	65
B. Analysis	66
Claim 1 [pre]	66
Claim element 1[a].....	68
Claim element 1[b].....	72
Claim element 1[c].....	73
Claim element 1[d].....	79
Claim element 1[e].....	79
Claim element 1[f].....	88
Claims 2 and 3.....	91
Claim 4	93
Claim element 5a.....	97
Claim element 5b.....	101
Claim element 5c.....	104
Claim 6	107
Claim 14	109
Claim 15	111
Claim 16	115
Claim 17	116

Claim 18	119
Claim 21	121
Claim 27	124
Claim 29	128
Claim 30	130
Claim 34	130
Claims 35-38 and 42-46, and 49	137
Claim 47	140
Claim 39	140
Claim 51	141
Claims 52 and 53.....	144
Claim 56	148
X. Claims 22-26 are obvious in view of Davis, Rousseeuw, and Krause.....	148
A. Motivation to Combine Davis/Harold with Rousseeuw and Krause.....	148
B. Analysis	162
Claim 22	162
Claim 23	165
Claim 24	166
Claim 25	167
Claim 26	167
XI. Claims 7-11 are obvious in view of Davis in view of excel.....	168
A. Motivation to Combine Davis/Harold and Excel2000.....	168

Claim element 7[a]	173
Claim element 7[b]	175
Claim element 7[c]	176
Claim 8	183
Claim 9	183
Claim 10	184
Claim 11	184

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.