



Matrix Computations

THIRD EDITION

Gene H. Golub

*Department of Computer Science
Stanford University*

Charles F. Van Loan

*Department of Computer Science
Cornell University*

The Johns Hopkins University Press
Baltimore and London

©1983, 1989, 1996 The Johns Hopkins University Press
All rights reserved. Published 1996
Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper
9 8 7 6

First edition 1983
Second edition 1989
Third edition 1996

The Johns Hopkins University Press
2715 North Charles Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21218-4363
www.press.jhu.edu

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data will be found
at the end of this book.

A catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 0-8018-5413-X
ISBN 0-8018-5414-8 (pbk.)

roundoff error, we recommend

Algebraic Processes, Prentice-Hall, Engle-

wood, NJ, 1968.

Numerical Methods and Software, Prentice-

Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1968.

For interval analysis, the building of sta-

tioning of the analysis itself.

Probabilistic Models for Propagation of

Roundoff Errors, II," *ACM Trans.*

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Scientist Should Know About Floating

Point Arithmetic Package," *ACM Trans.*

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

Mathematical: A Reasonable Portable Package,"

R.P. Brent (1970). "Error Analysis of Algorithms for Matrix Multiplication and Triangular Decomposition Using Winograd's Identity," *Numer. Math.* 16, 145-166.

W. Miller (1975). "Computational Complexity and Numerical Stability," *SIAM J. Computing* 4, 97-107.

N.J. Higham (1992). "Stability of a Method for Multiplying Complex Matrices with Three Real Matrix Multiplications," *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 13, 681-687.

J.W. Demmel and N.J. Higham (1992). "Stability of Block Algorithms with Fast Level-3 BLAS," *ACM Trans. Math. Soft.* 18, 274-291.

2.5 Orthogonality and the SVD

Orthogonality has a very prominent role to play in matrix computations. After establishing a few definitions we prove the extremely useful singular value decomposition (SVD). Among other things, the SVD enables us to intelligently handle the matrix rank problem. The concept of rank, though perfectly clear in the exact arithmetic context, is tricky in the presence of roundoff error and fuzzy data. With the SVD we can introduce the practical notion of numerical rank.

2.5.1 Orthogonality

A set of vectors $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ in \mathbb{R}^m is *orthogonal* if $x_i^T x_j = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$ and *orthonormal* if $x_i^T x_j = \delta_{ij}$. Intuitively, orthogonal vectors are maximally independent for they point in totally different directions.

A collection of subspaces S_1, \dots, S_p in \mathbb{R}^m is *mutually orthogonal* if $x^T y = 0$ whenever $x \in S_i$ and $y \in S_j$ for $i \neq j$. The *orthogonal complement* of a subspace $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is defined by

$$S^\perp = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : y^T x = 0 \text{ for all } x \in S\}$$

and it is not hard to show that $\text{ran}(A)^\perp = \text{null}(A^T)$. The vectors v_1, \dots, v_k form an *orthonormal* basis for a subspace $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ if they are orthonormal and span S .

A matrix $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is said to be *orthogonal* if $Q^T Q = I$. If $Q = [q_1, \dots, q_m]$ is orthogonal, then the q_i form an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^m . It is always possible to extend such a basis to a full orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ for \mathbb{R}^m .

Theorem 2.5.1 *If $V_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ has orthonormal columns, then there exists $V_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-r)}$ such that*

$$V = [V_1 \ V_2]$$

is orthogonal. Note that $\text{ran}(V_1)^\perp = \text{ran}(V_2)$.

Proof. This is a standard result from introductory linear algebra. It is also a corollary of the QR factorization that we present in §5.2. \square

2.5.2 Norms and Orthogonal Transformations

The 2-norm is invariant under orthogonal transformation, for if $Q^T Q = I$, then $\|Qx\|_2^2 = x^T Q^T Q x = x^T x = \|x\|_2^2$. The matrix 2-norm and the Frobenius norm are also invariant with respect to orthogonal transformations. In particular, it is easy to show that for all orthogonal Q and Z of appropriate dimensions we have

$$\|QAZ\|_F = \|A\|_F \tag{2.5.1}$$

and

$$\|QAZ\|_2 = \|A\|_2. \tag{2.5.2}$$

2.5.3 The Singular Value Decomposition

The theory of norms developed in the previous two sections can be used to prove the extremely useful singular value decomposition.

Theorem 2.5.2 (Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)) *If A is a real m -by- n matrix, then there exist orthogonal matrices*

$$U = [u_1, \dots, u_m] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \quad \text{and} \quad V = [v_1, \dots, v_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

such that

$$U^T A V = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \quad p = \min\{m, n\}$$

where $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_p \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be unit 2-norm vectors that satisfy $Ax = \sigma y$ with $\sigma = \|A\|_2$. From Theorem 2.5.1 there exist $V_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-1)}$ and $U_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (m-1)}$ so $V = [x \ V_2] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $U = [y \ U_2] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ are orthogonal. It is not hard to show that $U^T A V$ has the following structure:

$$U^T A V = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & w^T \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \equiv A_1.$$

Since

$$\left\| A_1 \begin{bmatrix} \sigma \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2^2 \geq (\sigma^2 + w^T w)^2$$

we have $\|A_1\|_2^2 \geq (\sigma^2 + w^T w)$. But $\sigma^2 = \|A\|_2^2 = \|A_1\|_2^2$, and so we must have $w = 0$. An obvious induction argument completes the proof of the theorem. \square

The σ_i are the *singular values* of A and the vectors u_i and v_i are the *i th left singular vector* and the *i th right singular vector* respectively. It

is easy to verify by comparing $A^T U = V \Sigma^T$ that

$$\begin{aligned} A u_i &= \sigma_i v_i \\ A^T v_i &= \sigma_i u_i \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(A) &= \text{th} \\ \sigma_{\max}(A) &= \text{th} \\ \sigma_{\min}(A) &= \text{th} \end{aligned}$$

The singular values of a matrix of the hyperellipsoid E define

Example 2.5.1

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} .96 & 1.72 \\ 2.28 & .96 \end{bmatrix} = U \Sigma V$$

The SVD reveals a great deal. The SVD of A is given by Theorem

$$\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(A) &= \\ \text{null}(A) &= \\ \text{ran}(A) &= \end{aligned}$$

and we have the *SVD expansion*

$$A =$$

Various 2-norm and Frobenius SVD. If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_F^2 &= \sum \sigma_i^2 \\ \|A\|_2 &= \max \sigma_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\min_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} = \sigma_{\min}$$

transformations

transformation, for if $Q^T Q = I$ and $\|x\|_2^2 = \|Qx\|_2^2$. The matrix 2-norm and Frobenius norm are invariant with respect to orthogonal transformations. That is, for all orthogonal Q and A ,

$$\|AQ\|_F = \|A\|_F \quad (2.5.1)$$

$$\|AQ\|_2 = \|A\|_2 \quad (2.5.2)$$

Proposition

In these two sections can be used in the context of matrix decomposition.

Proposition (SVD) *If A is a real $m \times n$ matrix,*

$$A = [v_1, \dots, v_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

$$p = \min\{m, n\}$$

norm vectors that satisfy $Ax = 0$ there exist $V_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-1)}$ and $U = [y \ U_2] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ are U has the following structure:

$$U = A_1.$$

$$\|x\|_2^2 + \|w\|_2^2$$

$\|A\|_2^2 = \|A_1\|_2^2$, and so we argument completes the proof of

the vectors u_i and v_i are the *singular vector* respectively. It

is easy to verify by comparing columns in the equations $AV = U\Sigma$ and $A^T U = V\Sigma^T$ that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} Av_i &= \sigma_i u_i \\ A^T u_i &= \sigma_i v_i \end{aligned} \right\} i = 1: \min\{m, n\}$$

It is convenient to have the following notation for designating singular values:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(A) &= \text{the } i\text{th largest singular value of } A, \\ \sigma_{\max}(A) &= \text{the largest singular value of } A, \\ \sigma_{\min}(A) &= \text{the smallest singular value of } A. \end{aligned}$$

The singular values of a matrix A are precisely the lengths of the semi-axes of the hyperellipsoid E defined by $E = \{Ax : \|x\|_2 = 1\}$.

Example 2.5.1

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} .96 & 1.72 \\ 2.28 & .96 \end{bmatrix} = U\Sigma V^T = \begin{bmatrix} .6 & -.8 \\ .8 & .6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} .8 & .6 \\ .6 & -.8 \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

The SVD reveals a great deal about the structure of a matrix. If the SVD of A is given by Theorem 2.5.2, and we define r by

$$\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r > \sigma_{r+1} = \dots = \sigma_p = 0,$$

then

$$\text{rank}(A) = r \quad (2.5.3)$$

$$\text{null}(A) = \text{span}\{v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\} \quad (2.5.4)$$

$$\text{ran}(A) = \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}, \quad (2.5.5)$$

and we have the *SVD expansion*

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i u_i v_i^T. \quad (2.5.6)$$

Various 2-norm and Frobenius norm properties have connections to the SVD. If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, then

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \dots + \sigma_p^2 \quad p = \min\{m, n\} \quad (2.5.7)$$

$$\|A\|_2 = \sigma_1 \quad (2.5.8)$$

$$\min_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} = \sigma_n \quad (m \geq n). \quad (2.5.9)$$

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.