

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ETHANOL BOOSTING SYSTEMS,  
LLC and THE MASSACHUSETTS  
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FORD MOTOR COMPANY,

Defendant.

C.A. No. 19-cv-196-CFC-SRF

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

Dated: December 6, 2019

Brian E. Farnan (Bar No. 4089)  
Michael J. Farnan (Bar No. 5165)  
FARNAN LLP  
919 N. Market St., 12th Floor  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
(302) 777-0300  
(302) 777-0301 (Fax)  
bfarnan@farnanlaw.com  
mfarnan@farnanlaw.com

Of Counsel:

Matthew R. Berry  
Andres C. Healy  
Steven M. Seigel  
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.  
1201 Third Ave, Suite 3800  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
Telephone: (206) 516-3880  
Facsimile: (206) 516-3883

Rodger D. Smith II (#3778)  
Michael J. Flynn (#5333)  
Taylor M. Haga (#6549)  
MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT &  
TUNNELL LLP  
1201 North Market Street  
P.O. Box 1347  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
(302) 658-9200  
rsmith@mnat.com  
mflynn@mnat.com  
thaga@mnat.com

OF COUNSEL:

Michael S. Connor  
ALSTON & BIRD LLP  
Bank of America Plaza  
101 South Tryon Street  
Charlotte, NC 28280  
(704) 444-1022

mberry@susmangodfrey.com  
ahealy@susmangodfrey.com  
sseigel@susmangodfrey.com

William D. O'Connell  
SUSMAN GODFREY LLP  
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Fl.  
New York, New York 10019-6023  
Telephone: (212) 336-8330  
Facsimile: (212) 336-8341  
boconnell@susmangodfrey.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

Natalie C. Clayton  
Andrew J. Ligotti  
ALSTON & BIRD LLP  
90 Park Avenue, 15th Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 210-9573

Brian Hill  
ALSTON & BIRD LLP  
950 F Street NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
(202) 239-3733  
*Attorneys for Defendant*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Background .....	2
A.	Plaintiffs’ Opening Statement .....	2
1.	The Patents .....	2
2.	Common Principles/Concepts .....	5
B.	Ford’s Answering Statement .....	7
1.	Introduction .....	7
2.	Technology Background .....	9
C.	Plaintiffs’ Reply Statement .....	13
D.	Ford’s Sur-Reply Statement .....	14
II.	Agreed-Upon Constructions.....	15
III.	Disputed Claim Constructions .....	16
A.	“torque” .....	16
1.	Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	17
2.	Defendant’s Answering Position.....	19
3.	Plaintiffs’ Reply Position .....	22
4.	Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	23
B.	“torque range” / “range of torque” .....	24
1.	Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	24
2.	Defendant’s Answering Position.....	26
3.	Plaintiffs’ Reply Position .....	27
4.	Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	29
C.	“above a selected torque value the ratio of fuel that is directly injected to fuel that is port injected increases”.....	29
1.	Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	29
2.	Defendant’s Answering Position.....	32

3. Plaintiffs’ Reply Position .....	38
4. Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	42
D. “decreases with decreasing torque” .....	45
1. Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	45
2. Defendant’s Answering Position.....	46
3. Plaintiffs’ Reply Position .....	48
4. Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	49
E. “fuel that is directly injected” / “directly injected fuel” / “fuel provided by direct injection” / “fueling that is provided by the first fueling system” / “fueling from the first fueling system” / “fuel provided by the first fueling system” / “fuel is provided by a first fueling system” .....	49
1. Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	49
2. Defendant’s Answering Position.....	52
3. Plaintiffs’ Reply Position .....	59
4. Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	66
F. “highest loads” .....	69
1. Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	69
2. Defendant’s Answering Position.....	71
3. Plaintiffs’ Reply Position .....	72
4. Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	74
G. “closed loop control that utilizes a sensor that detects knock” / “input from the knock sensor is utilized in a closed loop control system that controls” / “where closed loop control with a knock detector is used” ...	74
1. Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	75
2. Defendant’s Answering Position.....	79
3. Plaintiffs’ Reply Position .....	87
4. Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	94

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>In re Abbott Diabetes Care, Inc.</i> , 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	44, 69
<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns Inc.</i> , 801 F. Supp. 2d 465 (E.D. Va. 2011) .....	93
<i>Akeva L.L.C. v. Adidas-Salomon AG</i> , 208 F. App'x 861 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	96
<i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. LG Elecs. USA, Inc.</i> , 2019 WL 3891150 (D. Del. Aug. 19, 2019).....	97
<i>Astrazeneca AB, et al. v. Mut. Pharm. Co.</i> , 384 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	54, 62, 85
<i>Atlas IP, LLC v. Medtronic, Inc.</i> , 809 F.3d 599 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	86, 97
<i>Baldwin Graphic Sys., Inc. v. Siebert, Inc.</i> , 512 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	31, 39, 77, 88
<i>Bell Atl. Network Servs., Inc. v. Covad Commc'ns Grp., Inc.</i> , 262 F.3d 1258 (Fed. Cir. 2001) .....	84
<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	35
<i>Comark Commc'ns, Inc. v. Harris Corp.</i> , 156 F.3d 1182 (Fed. Cir. 1998) .....	52
<i>Comput. Docking Station Corp. v. Dell, Inc.</i> , 519 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	85
<i>Cont'l Circuits LLC v. Intel Corp.</i> , 915 F.3d 788 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	60, 62, 64

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.