

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY

Petitioner

v.

ETHANOL BOOSTING SYSTEMS, LLC, and MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY,

Patent Owner

Case: IPR2019-01400

U.S. Patent No. 8,069,839

DECLARATION OF DR. NIGEL N. CLARK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF EXHIBITS	1
I. Professional Background	4
II. Scope of the Engagement	6
III. Legal Understandings	8
A. Claim Interpretation	8
B. Prior Art.....	10
C. Anticipation.....	10
D. Obviousness.....	11
1. Motivation to Combine	13
E. Date of Invention.....	15
IV. Technical Background	16
V. The '839 Patent.....	19
A. Disclosure of the '839 Patent	19
1. Background of the Invention	19
2. Summary of the Invention	21
3. Detailed Description	22
B. Challenged Claims of the '839 Patent.....	28
C. Priority Date of the '839 Patent.....	30
VI. File History	30
A. U.S. Patent No. 8,069,839	30
B. U.S. Patent No. 7,971,572.....	31

C.	U.S. Patent No. 7,762,233	33
D.	U.S. Patent No. 7,740,004	34
E.	U.S. Patent No. 7,314,033	36
VII.	Litigation related to the '839 Patent	43
VIII.	Claim Construction	44
A.	“Selected Torque Value” (Claim 1) / “some value of torque” (Claims 7, 8)	44
B.	“Port Injection” / “Direct Injection” (Claims 1-5, 7, 8)	45
IX.	GROUND 1: TAKEHIKO ANTICIPATES CLAIMS 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8	48
A.	Takehiko Overview	48
B.	Analysis	52
i.	Claim 1: [1.Pre] A spark ignition engine that is fueled both by direct injection and by port injection	52
ii.	Claim 1: [1.A] wherein above a selected torque value the ratio of fuel that is directly injected to fuel that is port injected increases;	53
iii.	Claim 1: [1.B] and wherein the engine is operated at a substantially stoichiometric fuel/air ratio.	55
iv.	Claim 2: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the ratio of directly injected fuel to port injected fuel increases with increasing torque.	57
v.	Claim 5: The spark ignition engine of claim 2 where open loop control is used to determine the ratio of the directly injected fuel to the port injected fuel.	58
vi.	Claim 6: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the engine operates at a substantially stoichiometric fuel/air ratio at the highest loads.	60

vii.	Claim 7: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the engine operates at some value of torque with port fuel injection alone.....	62
viii.	Claim 8: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the engine operates at some value of torque with direct injection alone.....	63
X.	GROUND 2: THE COMBINATION OF TAKEHIKO AND KOBAYASHI RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 3 AND 4.....	63
A.	Takehiko Overview	63
B.	Kobayashi Overview	63
C.	Rationale for Combining Takehiko and Kobayashi.....	67
D.	Analysis	72
i.	Claim 3: The spark ignition engine of claim 2 where the ratio of directly injected fuel to port injected fuel is determined by a signal from a knock detector.....	72
ii.	Claim 4: The spark ignition engine of claim 3 further including a microprocessor that controls the ratio of the directly injected fuel to the port injected fuel based on the signal from the knock detector.....	76
XI.	GROUND 3: KINJIRO ANTICIPATES CLAIMS 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7	79
A.	Kinjiro Overview.....	79
B.	Analysis	83
i.	Claim 1: [1.Pre] A spark ignition engine that is fueled both by direct injection and by port injection	83
ii.	Claim 1: [1.A] wherein above a selected torque value the ratio of fuel that is directly injected to fuel that is port injected increases;	85
iii.	Claim 1: [1.B] and wherein the engine is operated at a substantially stoichiometric fuel/air ratio.	89

iv.	Claim 2: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the ratio of directly injected fuel to port injected fuel increases with increasing torque.	91
v.	Claim 3: The spark ignition engine of claim 2 where the ratio of directly injected fuel to port injected fuel is determined by a signal from a knock detector.	95
vi.	Claim 4: The spark ignition engine of claim 3 further including a microprocessor that controls the ratio of the directly injected fuel to the port injected fuel based on the signal from the knock detector.	98
vii.	Claim 6: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the engine operates at a substantially stoichiometric fuel/air ratio at the highest loads.	100
viii.	Claim 7: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the engine operates at some value of torque with port fuel injection alone.	102
XII.	GROUND 4: THE COMBINATION OF KINJIRO AND KOBAYASHI RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIM 5.....	103
A.	Kinjiro Overview.....	103
B.	Kobayashi Overview	103
C.	Rationale for Combining Kinjiro and Kobayashi	103
D.	Analysis	108
ix.	Claim 5: The spark ignition engine of claim 2 where open loop control is used to determine the ratio of the directly injected fuel to the port injected fuel.	108
XIII.	GROUND 5: THE COMBINATION OF RUBBERT AND BOSCH RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8.....	111
A.	Rubbert Overview	111
B.	Bosch Overview	112

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.