

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ETHANOL BOOSTING SYSTEMS,
LLC and THE MASSACHUSETTS
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FORD MOTOR COMPANY,

Defendant.

C.A. No. 19-cv-196-CFC-SRF

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

Dated: December 6, 2019

Brian E. Farnan (Bar No. 4089)
Michael J. Farnan (Bar No. 5165)
FARNAN LLP
919 N. Market St., 12th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 777-0300
(302) 777-0301 (Fax)
bfarnan@farnanlaw.com
mfarnan@farnanlaw.com

Of Counsel:

Matthew R. Berry
Andres C. Healy
Steven M. Seigel
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1201 Third Ave, Suite 3800
Seattle, Washington 98101
Telephone: (206) 516-3880
Facsimile: (206) 516-3883

Rodger D. Smith II (#3778)
Michael J. Flynn (#5333)
Taylor M. Haga (#6549)
MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT &
TUNNELL LLP
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
rsmith@mnat.com
mflynn@mnat.com
thaga@mnat.com

OF COUNSEL:

Michael S. Connor
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
Bank of America Plaza
101 South Tryon Street
Charlotte, NC 28280
(704) 444-1022

mberry@susmangodfrey.com
ahealy@susmangodfrey.com
sseigel@susmangodfrey.com

William D. O'Connell
SUSMAN GODFREY LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Fl.
New York, New York 10019-6023
Telephone: (212) 336-8330
Facsimile: (212) 336-8341
boconnell@susmangodfrey.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Natalie C. Clayton
Andrew J. Ligotti
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
90 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 210-9573

Brian Hill
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
950 F Street NW
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 239-3733
Attorneys for Defendant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Background	2
A.	Plaintiffs’ Opening Statement	2
1.	The Patents	2
2.	Common Principles/Concepts	5
B.	Ford’s Answering Statement	7
1.	Introduction	7
2.	Technology Background	9
C.	Plaintiffs’ Reply Statement	13
D.	Ford’s Sur-Reply Statement	14
II.	Agreed-Upon Constructions.....	15
III.	Disputed Claim Constructions	16
A.	“torque”	16
1.	Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	17
2.	Defendant’s Answering Position.....	19
3.	Plaintiffs’ Reply Position	22
4.	Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	23
B.	“torque range” / “range of torque”	24
1.	Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	24
2.	Defendant’s Answering Position.....	26
3.	Plaintiffs’ Reply Position	27
4.	Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	29
C.	“above a selected torque value the ratio of fuel that is directly injected to fuel that is port injected increases”.....	29
1.	Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	29
2.	Defendant’s Answering Position.....	32

3. Plaintiffs’ Reply Position	38
4. Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	42
D. “decreases with decreasing torque”	45
1. Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	45
2. Defendant’s Answering Position.....	46
3. Plaintiffs’ Reply Position	48
4. Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	49
E. “fuel that is directly injected” / “directly injected fuel” / “fuel provided by direct injection” / “fueling that is provided by the first fueling system” / “fueling from the first fueling system” / “fuel provided by the first fueling system” / “fuel is provided by a first fueling system”	49
1. Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	49
2. Defendant’s Answering Position.....	52
3. Plaintiffs’ Reply Position	59
4. Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	66
F. “highest loads”	69
1. Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	69
2. Defendant’s Answering Position.....	71
3. Plaintiffs’ Reply Position	72
4. Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	74
G. “closed loop control that utilizes a sensor that detects knock” / “input from the knock sensor is utilized in a closed loop control system that controls” / “where closed loop control with a knock detector is used” ...	74
1. Plaintiffs’ Opening Position.....	75
2. Defendant’s Answering Position.....	79
3. Plaintiffs’ Reply Position	87
4. Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position.....	94

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>In re Abbott Diabetes Care, Inc.</i> , 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	44, 69
<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns Inc.</i> , 801 F. Supp. 2d 465 (E.D. Va. 2011)	93
<i>Akeva L.L.C. v. Adidas-Salomon AG</i> , 208 F. App'x 861 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	96
<i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. LG Elecs. USA, Inc.</i> , 2019 WL 3891150 (D. Del. Aug. 19, 2019).....	97
<i>Astrazeneca AB, et al. v. Mut. Pharm. Co.</i> , 384 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	54, 62, 85
<i>Atlas IP, LLC v. Medtronic, Inc.</i> , 809 F.3d 599 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	86, 97
<i>Baldwin Graphic Sys., Inc. v. Siebert, Inc.</i> , 512 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	31, 39, 77, 88
<i>Bell Atl. Network Servs., Inc. v. Covad Commc'ns Grp., Inc.</i> , 262 F.3d 1258 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	84
<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	35
<i>Comark Commc'ns, Inc. v. Harris Corp.</i> , 156 F.3d 1182 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	52
<i>Comput. Docking Station Corp. v. Dell, Inc.</i> , 519 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	85
<i>Cont'l Circuits LLC v. Intel Corp.</i> , 915 F.3d 788 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	60, 62, 64

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.