

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZTE (USA), INC. AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
Petitioners

v.

BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case: IPR2019-01365
U.S. Patent No. 7,039,435

**REBUTTAL DECLARATION OF JONATHAN WELLS, PH.D. IN
SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S REPLY**

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	RESPONSES TO DR. HORENSTEIN’S OPINIONS.....	3
A.	Person of Ordinary Skill In the Art	3
B.	Claim Construction	4
a.	“Position To A Communication Tower”	4
i.	The Proper Construction Encompasses Both “Transmit Signal Strength” and “Location or Distance”	4
ii.	Dr. Horenstein Wrongly Limits The Term “Position” to “Transmit Signal Strength”.....	5
C.	Baiker Discloses the Elements of Claims 1-3	8
a.	Baiker Discloses the Claimed “Power Circuit that Provides a Network Adjusted Transmit Power Level as a Function of a Position to a Communication Tower”	8
b.	Baiker Discloses the Claimed “Power Governing Subsystem ... that Determines a ... Transmit Power Level ... Based on Said Network Adjusted Transmit Power Level and Said Proximity Transmit Power Level”.....	12
D.	Baiker and Werling Disclose the Elements of Claims 1-3 and 6.....	14
a.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would be Motivated to Combine Baiker and Werling.....	14
E.	Irvin Discloses the Elements of Claims 1-3	19
a.	Irvin Discloses the Claimed “Power Circuit that Provides a Network Adjusted Transmit Power Level as a Function of a Position to a Communication Tower”	19
b.	Irvin Discloses the Claimed “Transmit Power Level ... Based on Said Network Adjusted Transmit Power Level and Said Proximity Transmit Power Level”	22
F.	Irvin and Myllymäki Discloses the Elements of Claims 1-3 and 6	24
a.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would be Motivated to Combine Irvin and Myllymäki.....	24
G.	Bodin and Irvin Discloses the Elements of Claims 1-3	26

a. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would be
Motivated to Combine Bodin with Irvin.....26

H. Additional Considerations.....28

III. CONCLUSION.....32

I, Jonathan Wells, Ph.D., of Pleasanton, California, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained as a technical expert by counsel on behalf of Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (“Samsung” or “Petitioner”), to provide an independent analysis on various issues regarding U.S. Patent No. 7,039,435 (“the ’435 patent”) as part of *inter partes* review IPR2019-01365.

2. On June 10, 2019, I submitted a “Declaration of Jonathan Wells, Ph.D.” (EX1003, “Wells Declaration” or “Wells”) on behalf of petitioner Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. (“Huawei”), as part of *inter partes* review IPR2019-01186 (now terminated). On July 24, 2019, ZTE (USA) Inc. (“ZTE”) submitted a verbatim copy of the Wells Declaration, in support of its petition for *inter partes* review of the ’435 patent in IPR2019-01365 (also EX1003). On July 24, 2019, Samsung submitted a verbatim copy of the Wells Declaration, in support of its petition for *inter partes* review of the ’435 patent in IPR2019-00697 (also EX1003). I understand that Samsung has now been joined in *inter partes* review IPR2019-01365. I incorporate by reference the contents of the Wells Declaration herein.

3. As with my previous work relating to this proceeding, no part of my compensation is contingent on the nature of my findings, the presentation of my findings in testimony, or the outcome of this or any other proceeding. I have no other interest in this proceeding. Relevant aspects of my educational background,

career history, and other qualifications were provided in my opening declaration. (See Wells at ¶¶ 4-15.)

4. I have been asked to review and consider the testimony provided by Dr. Mark Horenstein (EX2022, “Horenstein Declaration” or “Horenstein”) and provide my responses in rebuttal to Dr. Horenstein’s positions. My rebuttal opinions are set forth below, which reply to certain positions offered by Dr. Horenstein.¹

5. My opinions in this rebuttal declaration are based on the documents I reviewed and my knowledge and professional judgment, including the documents from the materials I reviewed in support of my previous declaration (Wells Declaration) and any materials I refer to in this rebuttal declaration. In forming my opinions in this rebuttal declaration, I considered the positions taken by Dr. Horenstein as well as any other materials I refer to in this declaration in support of my opinions. In providing my rebuttal opinions here, I do not change my opinions that I provided in my opening declaration.

¹ Although I respond to selected opinions offered by Dr. Horenstein, doing so does not mean that I agree with any of Dr. Horenstein’s testimony in his declaration that I do not respond to in this rebuttal declaration.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.