

1 Jeffrey Francis Craft SBN 147186
jcraft@devlinlawfirm.com
2 DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC
1731 Fox Springs Circle
3 Newbury Park, CA 91320

4 Timothy Devlin (*pro hac vice*)
tdevlin@devlinlawfirm.com
5 James M. Lennon (*pro hac vice*)
jlennon@devlinlawfirm.com
6 DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC
1306 N. Broom St., 1st Floor
7 Wilmington, DE 19806
Telephone: (302) 449-9010
8 Facsimile: (302) 353-4251

9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Blue Spike LLC,
Blue Spike International Ltd., and
10 Wistaria Trading Ltd.
11
12

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
14 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
15 **SOUTHERN DIVISION**

16 BLUE SPIKE LLC, BLUE SPIKE
17 INTERNATIONAL LTD., and
WISTARIA TRADING LTD.,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 VIZIO, INC.,

21 Defendant.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 8:17-cv-1172-DOC-KES

**PLAINTIFFS' OPENING CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

Judge: David O. Carter

PLAINTIFFS' OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

2 **I. INTRODUCTION** 1

3 **II. BACKGROUND OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS** 1

4 **III. LEGAL STANDARDS**..... 2

5 **IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART** 4

6 **V. AGREED TERMS** 4

7 **VI. DISPUTED TERMS OF THE SECURE SERVER PATENTS**..... 5

8 A. “AUTHENTICATION/AUTHENTICATED” – CLAIMS 17, 25, 31

9 OF THE ’246 PATENT 5

10 B. AUTHORIZATION/AUTHORIZED – CLAIMS 17, 20, 24, 31 OF

11 THE ’246 PATENT; CLAIMS 4, 12 OF THE ’561 PATENT 7

12 C. “LEGACY”/ “LEGACY CONTENT” – CLAIMS 17, 20, 24, 31 OF

13 THE ’246 PATENT; CLAIMS 1, 9 OF THE ’561 PATENT; CLAIM

14 13 OF THE ’295 PATENT 8

15 1. The Intrinsic Evidence Supports Blue Spike’s Proposed

16 Construction of “Legacy” and “Legacy Content” 8

17 2. Vizio’s Proposed Construction Is Not Supported by the

18 Intrinsic Evidence..... 9

19 D. “SAID LCS . . . [CONFIGURED TO DETERMINE /

20 DETERMINING] . . . A QUALITY LEVEL AT WHICH TO

21 TRANSMIT SAID FIRST CONTENT, WHEREIN SAID QUALITY

22 LEVEL IS ONE OF AT LEAST UNSECURE, SECURE, AND

23 LEGACY” – CLAIMS 1, 9 OF THE ’561 PATENT; CLAIM 13 OF

24 THE ’295 PATENT..... 12

25 E. “SAID LCS . . . [CONFIGURED TO DETERMINE /

26 DETERMINING] . . . A FIRST DATA SET STATUS VALUE OF

27 SAID FIRST DATA SET TO BE AT LEAST ONE OF UNSECURE,

28 SECURE, AND LEGACY” – CLAIM 1 OF THE ’561 PATENT;

CLAIM 13 OF THE ’295 PATENT 13

F. “WATERMARK” – CLAIMS 17, 20, 21, 24, 25 OF THE ’246

PATENT; CLAIMS 1, 2, 9, 10 OF THE ’561 PATENT; CLAIM 19

OF THE ’295 PATENT 14

G. “[PERMITTING USE OF / DELIVERING] THE CONTENT DATA

SET” – CLAIMS 17, 20 OF THE ’246 PATENT 16

H. “IF THE CONTENT DATA IS NOT CAPABLE OF

AUTHENTICATION, ACCEPTING THE DATA”- CLAIM 31 OF

THE ’246 PATENT; 17

VII. DISPUTED TERMS OF THE TRUSTED TRANSACTIONS

PATENTS..... 18

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

A. “STEGANOGRAPHIC CIPHER” – CLAIM 14 OF THE ’116 PATENT; CLAIMS 35, 36 OF THE ’011 PATENT..... 18

B. “STEGANOGRAPHICALLY CIPHERED SOFTWARE APPLICATION” – CLAIMS 35, 36 OF THE ’011 PATENT 20

C. “MEANS FOR UNIQUELY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION” – CLAIM 14 OF THE ’116 PATENT 20

D. “MEANS FOR VERIFYING AN AGREEMENT TO TRANSACT BETWEEN THE PARTIES” – CLAIM 14 OF THE ’116 PATENT 22

E. “SERIALIZATION” – CLAIMS 35, 36 OF THE ’011 PATENT 23

VIII. CONCLUSION 24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

PAGE(S)

CASES

1
2
3
4 *Amgen Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.*,
314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003)3, 13, 14, 17
5
6 *ArcelorMittal France v. AK Steel Corp.*,
700 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2012)4
7
8 *Arlington Indus. v. Bridgeport Fittings, Inc.*,
632 F.3d 1246 (Fed. Cir. 2011)3
9
10 *Aventis Pharms., Inc. v. Amino Chems. Ltd.*,
715 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2013)2
11
12 *Biosig v. Nautilus*
783 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2015)3
13
14 *Epos Techs. Ltd. v. Pegasus Techs. Ltd.*,
766 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2014)2
15
16 *Hoganas AB v. Dresser Industries, Inc.*,
9 F.3d 948 (Fed. Cir. 1993)3
17
18 *i4i Ltd. Partnership v. Microsoft Corp.*,
598 F.3d 831 (Fed. Cir. 2010)3
19
20 *Kara Tech. Inc. v. Stamps.com Inc.*,
582 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2009)3
21
22 *Markman v. Westview Instr., Inc.*,
517 U.S. 370 (1996)2
23
24 *Masco Corp. v. United States*,
303 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2002)4
25
26 *Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.*,
395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)11
27
28 *Microsoft Corp. v. i4i Ltd.*,
131 S. Ct. 22238 (2011)3
Omega Eng'g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.,
334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003)3
One-E-Way, Inc. v. ITC,
859 F.3d 1059 (Fed. Cir. 2017)3
Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)2, 3, 11
Power-One, Inc. v. Artesyn Techs., Inc.,
2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20458 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 22, 2007)13

1 *SRI Int'l v. Matsushita Elec. Corp.*,
775 F.2d 1107 (Fed. Cir. 1985) 17
2 *SynQor, Inc. v. Artesyn Techs., Inc.*,
709 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 7, 16, 20
3
4 *Unwired Planet, LLC v. Apple Inc.*,
829 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2016) passim
5 *Vitronics Corp. Conception, Inc.*,
90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996) 2
6
7 *Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC*,
792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 4, 21, 23
8

9 **STATUTES AND RULES**

10 35 U.S.C. § 112 4, 21, 23
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.