

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MARVELL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.
UNILOC 2017 LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2019-01350

U.S. Patent No. 7,016,676

**DECLARATION OF SUMIT ROY
REGARDING CLAIMS 3, 6-9**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Background and Qualifications	5
A.	Compensation	9
B.	Materials and Other Information Considered	9
II.	Understanding of the Law	9
A.	Legal Standard for Prior Art.....	10
1.	Anticipation and Prior Art	10
B.	Obviousness.....	12
C.	Legal Standard for Claim Construction	18
III.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	22
IV.	Overview of the Technology	27
A.	Many Standards Used the ISM Bands	27
B.	Alternating Access	28
V.	The '676 Patent.....	30
A.	Overview	30
B.	Priority Date	32
C.	Challenged Claims	32
VI.	Claim Construction.....	33
A.	“stations which operate in accordance with a first radio interface standard and/or a second radio interface standard”	34
B.	“renders the frequency band available for access by the stations working in accordance with the second radio interface standard if stations working in accordance with the first radio interface standard do not request access to the frequency band”	35

C.	“the control station ... also carries out functions which cause radio systems in accordance with the second radio interface standard to interpret the radio channel as interfered and to seize another radio channel for its own operation”	37
VII.	Summary of the Prior Art	42
A.	Invalidity Grounds.....	42
B.	U.S. Patent No. 7,031,274 (“Sherman”) (Ex. 1004)	43
C.	U.S. Patent No. 7,039,358 (“Shellhammer”) (Ex. 1005).....	48
D.	U.S. Patent No. 6,215,982 (“Trompower”) (Ex. 1006)	51
E.	U.S. Patent No. 6,643,278 (“Panasik”)	52
VIII.	Invalidity.....	52
A.	Ground 1: Obviousness in View of Sherman.....	52
1.	<i>KSR</i> Factors.....	52
2.	Independent Claim 1	56
3.	Dependent Claim 3.....	86
4.	Independent Claim 6	91
5.	Independent Claim 9	97
B.	Ground 2: Obviousness Based on Sherman in View of Trompower	99
1.	<i>KSR</i> Factors.....	100
2.	Motivation to Combine	100
3.	Independent Claim 8	106
C.	Ground 3: Obviousness Based on Shellhammer	116
1.	Patent Owner’s District Court Allegations	117
2.	<i>KSR</i> Factors.....	119

3.	Independent Claim 1	122
4.	Dependent Claim 3.....	148
5.	Independent Claim 7	153
6.	Independent Claim 9	156
D.	Ground 4: Obviousness Based on Shellhammer in View of Trompower	159
1.	<i>KSR</i> Factors.....	159
2.	Motivation to Combine	159
3.	Independent Claim 8	166
E.	Ground 5: Obviousness Based on Shellhammer in View of Panasik.....	171
1.	Motivation to Combine and <i>KSR</i> Factors	171
2.	Independent Claim 8	174
IX.	Reservation of Rights	181
	Appendix 1: Curriculum Vitae of Sumit Roy	182
	Appendix 2: Materials Considered in the Preparation of This Declaration	183
	Appendix 3: Challenged Claims	184

1. I, Sumit Roy, declare as follows:
2. I currently hold the Integrated Systems Professorship in the Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering at the University of Washington, Seattle. I received my M.S. and Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the University of California, Santa Barbara in 1985 and 1988, respectively. I also received my M.A. in Statistics and Appl. Probability from the University of California, Santa Barbara in 1988. I have prepared this declaration as an expert witness retained by Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. In this declaration I give my opinions as to whether certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,016,676 (“the ’676 patent”) are invalid. I provide technical bases for these opinions as appropriate.
3. This declaration contains statements of my opinions formed to date and the bases and reasons for those opinions. I may offer additional opinions based on further review of materials in this case, including opinions and/or testimony of other expert witnesses. I make this declaration based upon my own personal knowledge and, if called upon to testify, would testify competently to the matters contained herein.

I. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

4. This section contains a summary of my educational background, career history, publications, and other relevant qualifications. My full curriculum vitae is attached as Appendix 1 to this declaration.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.