

Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.
v.
Uniloc 2017 LLC
IPR2019-01349 and IPR2019-01350
U.S. Patent No. 7,016,676

Petitioner'
Ora
Nover

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

Instituted Grounds

- IPR2019-01349:

Ground	Claims	Statutory Basis	References
1	1, 2	§103 Obviousness	Sherman
2	5	§103 Obviousness	Sherman in view of Tr
3	1, 2	§103 Obviousness	Shellhammer
4	5	§103 Obviousness	Shellhammer in view o
5	5	§103 Obviousness	Shellhammer in view o

- IPR2019-01350:

Ground	Claims	Statutory Basis	References
1	3, 6, 9	§103 Obviousness	Sherman
2	8	§103 Obviousness	Sherman in view of Tr
3	3, 7, 9	§103 Obviousness	Shellhammer
4	8	§103 Obviousness	Shellhammer in view o
5	8	§103 Obviousness	Shellhammer in view o

The Board held that the “wherein” clause (claim

1. An interface-control protocol method for a radio system which has at least one common frequency band that is provided for alternate use by a first and a second radio interface standard, the radio system comprising:

stations which operate in accordance with a first radio interface standard and/or a second radio interface standard, and

a control station which controls the alternate use of the frequency band,

wherein the control station controls the access to the common frequency band for stations working in accordance with the first radio interface standard and—
renders the frequency band available for access by the stations working in accordance with the second radio interface standard if stations working in accordance with the first radio interface standard do not request access to the frequency band.

← • Step

← • Step

Ex. 1001, claim 1; IPR2019-01349, Paper 9 (“ID-1349”) at 18; IPR2019-01350, Paper 9 (“ID-1350”) at 27

DEMONSTRAT

Remaining Disputes

- Ground 1 – Sherman Obviousness
 - Whether *Schulhauser* applies to step (2)
 - Whether Sherman teaches step (2)
 - Whether Sherman teaches limitation 6[d] (regarding duration)
- Ground 3 – Shellhammer Obviousness
 - Whether Shellhammer teaches step (2)
 - Whether Shellhammer teaches limitation 7[d] (regarding duration)

Uniloc does not dispute whether any other claim limitations are taught by the prior art.

Uniloc's arguments are unsupported

- Uniloc did not submit an expert declaration
- Uniloc declined to take Dr. Roy's deposition
- Uniloc submitted a single exhibit (Exhibit 2001)
 - Ex. 2001 relates to a priority date argument—it is obviousness

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.