```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 3
                          MARSHALL DIVISION
 4
   PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC
                                  ) (
                                        CIVIL DOCKET NO.
 5
                                        2:16-CV-230-JRG
                                   ) (
 6
   VS.
                                   ) (
                                        MARSHALL, TEXAS
 7
                                   ) (
 8
   NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC.,
                                  ) (
   TEKTRONIX COMMUNICATIONS,
                                  ) (
                                        OCTOBER 12, 2017
                                  ) (
10
   AND TEKTRONIX TEXAS LLC
                                        12:19 P.M.
11
                      TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL
12
             BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE RODNEY GILSTRAP
13
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
   APPEARANCES:
15
   FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
                             Mr. Paul J. Skiermont
                             Ms. Sadaf R. Abdullah
                             Mr. Steven W. Hartsell
16
                             Mr. Alexander E. Gasser
17
                             Mr. Steve J. Udick
                             SKIERMONT DERBY LLP
18
                             2200 Ross Avenue
                             Suite 4800W
19
                             Dallas, Texas 75201
20
   COURT REPORTER:
                             Ms. Shelly Holmes, CSR-TCRR
                             Official Reporter
21
                             United States District Court
                             Eastern District of Texas
                             Marshall Division
22
                             100 E. Houston Street
23
                             Marshall, Texas 75670
                             (903) 923-7464
24
25
    (Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
   produced on a CAT system.)
```



1	FOR THE	PLAINTIFF:	Mr. William E. Davis, III THE DAVIS FIRM, PC 213 N. Fredonia Street
3			Suite 230 Longview, Texas 75601
4	FOR THE	DEFENDANTS:	Ms. Melissa R. Smith GILLAM & SMITH, LLP
5			303 South Washington Avenue Marshall, Texas 75670
6			Mr. Eric Kraeutler
7			MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS 1701 Market Street
8			Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
9			Mr. Michael J. Lyons Mr. Ahren C. Hsu-Hoffman
10			Mr. Michael F. Carr
11			Ms. Karon N. Fowler Mr. Thomas Y. Nolan
12			MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS 1400 Page Mill Road
			Palo Alto, California 94304
13			Mr. Charles E. Phipps
14			Mr. Paul D. Lein LOCKE LORD LLP
15			2200 Ross Avenue Suite 2800
16			Dallas, Texas 75201
17			Mr. Adam A. Allgood
18			MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS 1000 Louisiana Street
19			Suite 4000 Houston, Texas 77002
20			Mr. Scott D. Wofsy
21			LOCKE LORD LLP 1 Canterbury Green
22			201 Broad Street Stamford, Connecticut 06901
23			
24			
25			



PROCEEDINGS 1 2 (Jury out.) COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. 3 4 THE COURT: Be seated, please. 5 All right. Counsel, the jury's at lunch, taking a short recess. 6 7 I have before me what appears to be the slides that Mr. Skiermont used in his cross-examination of 8 Mr. Waldbusser related to the Scott Nettles report. And I 10 also have a rough copy of the portion of that -- the 11 cross-examination from the transcript. 12 Now, we'll continue with what was raised at the 13 bench before Defendants put on their last deposition witness 14 of some six to seven minutes. 15 Where are we? I -- I understand both sides have 16 been talking. Do we have an issue, and if we do, what is the issue? 17 18 Let me hear from Defendants first. 19 MR. LYONS: Your Honor, we -- we, indeed, do have 20 an issue, and we think a grave one in this case. 21 What happened during cross-examination is a first 22 slide was put up with a quote from one expert -- one report 23 of Mr. Nettles. 24 Mr. Nettles has been retained on the issue of 25 validity by NetScout in this case. He's also the expert for



Sandvine on both infringement and validity in the Sandvine case.

So the first slide referred to his retention as an invalidity expert. But then counsel switched to another exhibit -- or another slide, this is Slide 2, and if you notice, it's a different report. We didn't even notice that, as counsel was moving quickly. And this other report is his infringement report.

This is subject to protective order in the Sandvine case. And it's under the protective order because it includes Sandvine confidential information about Sandvine accused products that NetScout was not allowed to look at.

And so we've never seen this -- this report before today. We were never allowed to have access to it.

And then the next slide that they showed, they pulled up testimony from Dr. Nettles, and confronted them, as you know, with our expert saying that it was inconsistent. Now, this was -- we don't have any context for this. We've only -- you know, we only saw this today. We had no opportunity to prepare for this.

THE COURT: Let me -- let me ask you this, Mr.

Lyons: You keep saying "we," and it's clear from the record in this case that your form -- your firm, Morgan Lewis, is counsel for NetScout.

It's also clear from the record in this case that



```
Locke Lord is counsel for NetScout. Is this a case where
1
 2
   Net -- where Locke Lord knew something that Morgan Lewis
   didn't know and Locke Lord is not in the trial? Or is this
 3
   a -- is this not the case?
 4
 5
            MR. LYONS: That is not the case, Your Honor.
            THE COURT: Okay.
 6
 7
            MR. LYONS: Locke Lord -- we're still working with
 8
   Locke Lord. They're actually in the courtroom, Your Honor,
   and we've been talking about this issue today and --
            THE COURT: Well, sometimes when there are multiple
10
11
   law firms involved in litigation, the left hand sometimes
12
   doesn't know what the right hand has. And I just want to
13
   make sure that's not where this is going.
14
            MR. LYONS: That's why it took us a few minutes to
15
   raise the issue, Your Honor, because we were trying to do
   our diligence. But we did confirm that the Locke Lord
16
17
   attorneys did not have this invalidity report and had not
18
   seen this passage that was shown to the jury. And --
19
            THE COURT: All right. So basically what you're
20
   telling me is this has been a surprise that you did not have
21
   knowledge of or an opportunity to prepare for during
22
   cross-examination?
23
            MR. LYONS: It was not only a surprise, but it was
24
   a surprise that could only occur by -- based on a violation
25
   of your protective order in the Sandvine case that precludes
```



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

