

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NOKIA CORP. AND NOKIA OF AMERICA CORP.

Petitioners

v.

PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC,

Patent Owner

Case: IPR2019-01292

U.S. Patent No. 6,771,646

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

UNDER 35 U.S.C. §311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. §42

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
US Patent and Trademark Office
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF EXHIBITS vii

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8..... 7

 A. Real Party in Interest (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1)) 7

 B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2)) 7

 C. Designation of Counsel (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(3)) 7

 D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(4)) 8

III. PAYMENT OF FEES (37 C.F.R. §42.103) 8

IV. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.104 8

 A. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. §42.104(a))..... 8

 B. Summary of the Challenges (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)–(2)) 9

 C. Claim Construction (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(3)) 9

 D. Unpatentability of the Construed Claims (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(4)) 9

 E. Supporting Evidence (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(5))..... 10

V. SUMMARY OF THE '646 PATENT 10

 A. Overview of the '646 Patent..... 10

 B. Priority Date 12

 C. The Prosecution History of the '646 Patent 13

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(3)) 14

 A. “Conversational Flow[s]” 14

 B. “State of the Flow” 15

 C. “State Operations” 15

 D. “Flow-entry database” 16

 E. “Parser Record” 16

 F. “Parsing/Extraction Operations” 17

 G. Means-Plus-Function Terms 17

**VII. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT PETITIONERS
WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF
THE '646 PATENT 18**

 A. Prior Art..... 19

 1. Riddle..... 19

 a) Summary of the Problem and Solution..... 20

 b) The Operation of Riddle 22

 2. Wakeman 25

 3. Cheriton 26

4.	Bruins.....	27
5.	RFC 1945 - Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.0	28
B.	Count 1: Riddle in View of Wakeman and Bruins Renders Claims 1, 2, 3, 16, and 18 as Obvious; and Riddle in View of Wakeman, Cheriton, and Bruins Renders Claim 7 as Obvious.....	30
1.	Claim 1.....	30
a)	Limitation [1 Pre] “A packet monitor for examining packet passing through a connection point on a computer network, each packet conforming to one or more protocols, the monitor comprising:”	30
b)	Limitation [1a] “(a) a packet acquisition device coupled to the connection point and configured to receive packets passing through the connection point;”	33
c)	Limitation [1b] “(b) a memory for storing a database comprising flow-entries for previously encountered conversational flows to which a received packet may belong, a conversational flow being an exchange of one or more packets in any direction as a result of an activity corresponding to the flow;”	34
d)	Limitation [1c] “(c) a cache subsystem coupled to the flow-entry database memory providing for fast access of flow-entries from the flow-entry database;”	42
e)	Limitation [1d] “(d) a lookup engine coupled to the packet acquisition device and to the cache subsystem and configured to lookup whether a received packet belongs to a flow-entry in the flow-entry database, the looking up being via the cache subsystem; and”	44
f)	Limitation [1e] “(e) a state processor coupled to the lookup engine and to the flow-entry-database memory, the state processor being to perform any state operations specified for the state of the flow starting from the last encountered state of the flow in the case that the packet is from an existing flow, and to perform any state operations required for the initial state of the new flow in the case that the packet is not from an existing flow.”	46
2.	Claim 2.....	49
a)	Limitation [2] “A packet monitor according to claim 1, further comprising: a parser subsystem coupled to the packet acquisition device and to the lookup engine such that the acquisition device is coupled to the lookup engine via the	

	parser subsystem, the parser subsystem configured to extract identifying information from a received packet,”	49
b)	Limitation [2b] “wherein each flow-entry is identified by identifying information stored in the flow-entry, and wherein the cache lookup uses a function of the extracted identifying information.”	50
3.	Claim 3	51
a)	Limitation [3] “A packet monitor according to claim 2, wherein the cache subsystem is an associative cache subsystem including one or more content addressable memory cells (CAMs).”	51
4.	Claim 7	52
a)	Limitation [7 Pre] “A packet monitor for examining packets passing through a connection point on a computer network, each packet conforming to one or more protocols, the monitor comprising:”	52
b)	Limitation [7a] “a packet acquisition device coupled to the connection point and configured to receive packets passing through the connection point;”	52
c)	Limitation [7b] “an input buffer memory coupled to and configured to accept a packet from the packet acquisition device;”	52
d)	Limitation [7c] “a parser subsystem coupled to the input buffer memory, the parsing subsystem configured to extract selected portions of the accepted packet and to output a parser record containing the selected portions;”	54
e)	Limitation [7d] “a memory for storing a database of one or more flow-entries for any previously encountered conversational flows, each flow-entry identified by identifying information stored in the flow-entry;”	56
f)	Limitation [7e] “a lookup engine coupled to the output of the parser subsystem and to the flow-entry memory and configured to lookup whether the particular packet whose parser record is output by the parser subsystem has a matching flow-entry, the looking up using at least some of the selected packet portions and determining if the packet is of an existing flow;”	57
g)	Limitation [7f] “a cache subsystem coupled to and between the lookup engine and the flow-entry database memory providing for fast access of a set of likely-to-be-accessed flow-entries from the flow-entry database; and”	59

h)	Limitation [7g] “a flow insertion engine coupled to the flow-entry memory and to the lookup engine and configured to create a flow-entry in the flow-entry database, the flow-entry including identifying information for future packets to be identified with the new flow-entry,”	60
i)	Limitation [7h] “the lookup engine configured such that if the packet is of an existing flow, the monitor classifies the packet as belonging to the found existing flow; and”	62
j)	Limitation [7i] “if the packet is of a new flow, the flow insertion engine stores a new flow-entry for the new flow in the flow-entry database, including identifying information for future packets to be identified with the new flow-entry,”	63
k)	Limitation [7j] “wherein the operation of the parser subsystem depends on one or more of the protocols to which the packet conforms.”	63
5.	Claim 16.....	64
a)	Limitation [16 Pre] “A method of examining packets passing through a connection point on a computer network, each packets conforming to one or more protocols, the method comprising:”	64
b)	Limitation [16a] “(a) receiving a packet from a packet acquisition device;	65
c)	Limitation [16b] “(b) performing one or more parsing/extraction operations on the packet to create a parser record comprising a function of selected portions of the packet;”	65
d)	Limitation [16c] “(c) looking up a flow-entry database comprising none or more flow-entries for previously encountered conversational flows, the looking up using at least some of the selected packet portions and determining if the packet is of an existing flow, the lookup being via a cache;” ..	65
e)	Limitation [16d] “(d) if the packet is of an existing flow, classifying the packet as belonging to the found existing flow; and”	66
f)	Limitation [16e] “(e) if the packet is of a new flow, storing a new flow-entry for the new flow in the flow-entry database, including identifying information for future packets to be identified with the new flow-entry,”	66

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.