

CASE IPR2019-01279
Patent No. 8,510,407

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LENOVO HOLDING COMPANY, INC.,
LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC., and
MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC,
Petitioners,

v.

DODOTS LICENSING SOLUTIONS LLC,
Patent Owner.

CASE IPR2019-01279
Patent No. 8,510,407

PATENT OWNER DODOTS LICENSING SOLUTIONS, LLC'S

SUR-REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....1

II. Claim Construction.....2

III. The Combination of *Hoff & Berg*7

IV. The Combination of *Razavi & Anderson*8

V. The Dependent Claims9

VI. The Objective Indicia (i.e., Secondary Considerations)9

**VII. The Petition’s Reliance on “Secret” Prior Art Is Appropriately
Challenged By Patent Owner.....12**

VIII. Conclusion.....13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	10, 11
<i>In re Cyclobenzaprine</i> , 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	10
<i>In re Geisler</i> , 116 F.3d 1465 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	5
<i>Intercontinental Great Brands LLC v. Kellogg N. Am. Co.</i> , 869 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	10
<i>KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	10-11
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	7
<i>Ruckus Wireless, Inc. v. Innovative Wireless Solutions</i> , 824 F.3d 999 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	6
<i>Stratoflex, Inc. v. Aeroquip Corp.</i> , 713 F.2d 1530 (Fed Cir. 1983).....	11

CASE IPR2019-01279

Patent No. 8,510,407

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
2006	Intentionally left blank
2007	Supplemental Declaration of Dr. Earl Sacerdoti

I. Introduction

Petitioners have failed to meet their burden of proof to show that the challenged claims should be cancelled. Petitioners' belated attempt to support a broad definition that "template" can refer to "executable code" is incorrect and tellingly is not accompanied by any expert declaration. Contrary to Petitioners' contentions, Patent Owner has used the term "template" consistently in each of the contexts in which Petitioners suggest otherwise, and Patent Owner's construction would not exclude any disclosed embodiments. When the template limitation is properly construed, none of Petitioners' proffered combinations invalidate any of the challenged claims because the applications they disclose are not templates.

Petitioners also wrongly state that the "template" limitation is the only one Patent Owner contests. Reply at 1. Patent Owner's arguments also related to the "networked information monitor" ("NIM") limitation, and those arguments have gone unrebutted. As Patent Owner explained, NIMs are frames, and NIMs are distinct from the NIM templates that are used to define them. Patent Owner Response at 1-2, 7, 12-13. Patent Owner also has made arguments relating to dependent claims 8 and 20. *Id.* at 14-15.

Objective indicia (i.e., "secondary considerations") further support the conclusion that the challenged claims are non-obvious, and Petitioners' attack on the evidence submitted by Patent Owner would raise too high of a bar for this type of

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.