1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Douglas G. Muehlhauser (SBN 179495) doug.muehlhauser@knobbe.com Mark Lezama (SBN 253479) mark.lezama@knobbe.com Alexander J. Martinez (SBN 293925) alex.martinez@knobbe.com KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEA 2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor Irvine, CA 92614 Telephone: 949-760-0404 Facsimile: 949-760-9502  Attorneys for Plaintiff NOMADIX, INC.	
9		
10		
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	WESTERN DIVISION	
14		
15	NOMADIX, INC.,	Case No.
16	Plaintiff,	CV16-08033 AB (FFMx)
17	V.	NOMADIX'S RESPONSES TO GUEST-TEK'S FIRST SET OF
18	GUEST-TEK INTERACTIVE	INTERROGATORIES
19	ENTERTAINMENT LTD.,	Honorable André Birotte Jr.
20	Defendant.	Hollorable Alidie Bilotte JI.
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
3.0		



Pursuant to Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff Nomadix hereby responds to Defendant Guest-Tek Interactive Entertainment's First Set of Interrogatories.

### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Nomadix bases its responses to these interrogatories on information currently available to Nomadix and located by Nomadix after a reasonable investigation. Discovery is ongoing, and Nomadix may supplement or otherwise amend its responses as more information becomes available. For example, Guest-Tek has not produced complete source code. In general, Guest-Tek's responses to Nomadix's outstanding discovery requests may change Nomadix's responses to these interrogatories.

Nomadix will respond to the unobjectionable portions of each interrogatory. In doing so, Nomadix may provide information also responsive to objectionable portions of an interrogatory; but Nomadix maintains its objections and does not agree to provide further information responsive to the objectionable portions. More generally, by responding to an interrogatory, Nomadix does not waive any of its objections, and in particular, Nomadix does not concede that Guest-Tek is entitled to further discovery on the matters to which the interrogatory pertains. Likewise, by responding to an interrogatory, Nomadix does not concede any factual or legal assertions set forth or assumed in the interrogatory. Moreover, Nomadix does not waive any evidentiary objections at trial.

### OBJECTIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL INTERROGATORIES

1. Nomadix objects to Guest-Tek's discovery requests to the extent that they seek information protected by the attorney-client privilege, information protected as work product or trial-preparation material, or information protected by any other applicable privilege or immunity. The specific objections stated below invoking the attorney-client privilege, work-product or trial-preparation protection,



or any other applicable privilege or immunity do not limit the generality of this objection in any way.

2. Nomadix objects to Guest-Tek's requests to the extent that they incorporate Guest-Tek's instructions concerning identification of privileged and otherwise-protected materials. Guest-Tek's instructions would impose obligations beyond those that the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the parties' stipulated discovery order impose.

### **SPECIFIC RESPONSES**

### **INTERROGATORY 1:**

Identify each Network Service and Network Device which you contend constitutes a Licensed Network Device or Licensed Network Service under the License Agreement. This identification shall be as specific as possible. Each product, device, and apparatus shall be identified by name and model number. Each method or process shall be identified by name or by the product, device, or apparatus which, when used, allegedly results in the practice of the claimed method or process.

### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY 1:**

Nomadix incorporates its Objections Applicable to All Interrogatories. Nomadix further objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney–client privilege or work-product immunity, including the protections of Rules 26(b)(3) and (4). Guest-Tek's production of technical documents is incomplete, and Guest-Tek has not yet responded to Nomadix's interrogatories. Nomadix needs at least that discovery to respond to this interrogatory. The foregoing objections and Nomadix's Preliminary Statement qualify the following:

The following are Licensed Network Devices and their processes are Licensed Network Services:



7

8 9

10 11

12

13141516

18

19

17

202122

2324

25

2627

*41* 

DOCKET A L A R M

- all versions of OneView Internet at any U.S. property during any License Quarter;
- all versions of RendezView at any U.S. property during any License Quarter;
- all versions of Mercury at any U.S. property during any License Quarter;
- all Head-End Processors (HEPs) installed at any U.S. property at the time of, or after, Guest-Tek's acquisition of assets of iBAHN General Holdings Corporation or other iBAHN entities; and
- all Golden Tree or GTC devices at any U.S. property during any License Quarter.

### **INTERROGATORY 2:**

For each Network Device and Network Service identified in response to Interrogatory No. 1, identify each claim of each patent that you contend reads on the Network Device or Network Service's structure, functionality, or operation, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY 2:**

Nomadix incorporates its Objections Applicable to All Interrogatories. Nomadix further objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney–client privilege or work-product immunity, including the protections of Rules 26(b)(3) and (4). Guest-Tek's production of technical documents is incomplete, and Guest-Tek has not yet responded to Nomadix's interrogatories. Nomadix needs at least that discovery to respond to this interrogatory. In particular, Guest-Tek has not produced HEP, Mercury, or GTC source code; and Guest-Tek has yet to identify any quarters corresponding to the RendezView code it has produced. Depending on Guest-Tek's response to Nomadix's interrogatories 2 and 3, Nomadix may identify additional patent claims in response to this interrogatory. To the extent this

1

interrogatory concerns any patent that is not a Licensed Patent or a Bandwidth Management Patent and that does not belong to a Patent Family (as those terms are defined in the License Agreement), it seeks information that is neither relevant to the claims or defenses pleaded in this case nor proportional to the needs of the case. To the extent this interrogatory asks Nomadix to identify patent claims in connection with devices or services (1) whose status as a Licensed Network Device or Licensed Network Service is not disputed or (2) with respect to which royalties are not disputed based on claim scope, the interrogatory seeks information that does not change the outcome of the case and that is therefore neither relevant to the claims or defenses pleaded in this case nor proportional to the needs of the case. For example, as far as Nomadix is aware, Guest-Tek has not disputed that at least RendezView and GTC are each a Licensed Network Device or Licensed Network Service; accordingly Nomadix objects to identifying claims from the Licensed Patents for these devices and services that would not affect the royalty analysis. Similarly, when Guest-Tek has identified properties with HEPs in quarterly reports (Schedule B), it has not disputed that it owes full royalties under clause 2.4 of the License Agreement; accordingly Nomadix objects to identifying claims from the Licensed Patents for these devices and services that would not affect the royalty analysis. Nomadix needs Guest-Tek to complete its document production and to respond to Nomadix's interrogatories so that it can assess the extent to which there is any royalty dispute based on claim scope for RendezView, HEP, and GTC. The foregoing objections and Nomadix's Preliminary Statement qualify the following:

At least the following claims read on OneView Internet:

Patent	Claims
U.S. Patent No. 8,266,266	1, 24
U.S. Patent No. 8,725,899	1, 10



25

26

27

28

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

