

1 Douglas G. Muehlhauser (SBN 179495)  
doug.muehlhauser@knobbe.com  
2 Mark Lezama (SBN 253479)  
mark.lezama@knobbe.com  
3 Alexander J. Martinez (SBN 293925)  
alex.martinez@knobbe.com  
4 KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP  
2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor  
5 Irvine, CA 92614  
Telephone: 949-760-0404  
6 Facsimile: 949-760-9502

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
NOMADIX, INC.

8  
9 Michael R. Matthias (SBN 57728)  
mmatthias@bakerlaw.com  
10 F. Lucas Paule (SBN 313282)  
fpaule@bakerlaw.com  
11 BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP  
11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1400  
12 Los Angeles, CA 90025-0509  
Telephone: 310-820-8800  
13 Facsimile: 310-820-8859

14 Attorneys for Defendant  
GUEST-TEK INTERACTIVE  
15 ENTERTAINMENT LTD.

16  
17 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
18 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
19 WESTERN DIVISION

20  
21 NOMADIX, INC.,

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 GUEST-TEK INTERACTIVE  
25 ENTERTAINMENT LTD.,

26 Defendant.  
27  
28

Case No.

CV16-08033 AB (FFMx)

**STIPULATION TO RESET  
GUEST-TEK'S DEADLINE  
TO RESPOND TO  
COMPLAINT**

Honorable André Birotte Jr.

1 **BACKGROUND**

2 Plaintiff Nomadix filed the complaint in this action on October 28, 2016. On  
3 November 1, Nomadix’s counsel sent Defendant Guest-Tek Interactive  
4 Entertainment’s U.S. counsel at Baker & Hostetler LLP a copy of the complaint  
5 and asked whether Guest-Tek, a company located in Alberta, Canada, would  
6 accept service of process through Baker & Hostetler. Guest-Tek did not agree to  
7 accept service of process through Baker & Hostetler.

8 Nomadix took steps to effect service in accordance with the Hague  
9 Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in  
10 Civil or Commercial Matters. The Alberta Central Authority issued a certificate of  
11 service certifying that Guest-Tek was served with the complaint and summons on  
12 January 12, 2017. Nomadix filed this certificate as part of its proof of service.  
13 (Dkt. No. 17.) On February 10, 2017, as Guest-Tek had not responded to the  
14 complaint, Nomadix requested entry of default against Guest-Tek. (Dkt. No. 19.)

15 In a pending opposition to Nomadix’s request for entry of default, Guest-  
16 Tek asserts that (1) it did not receive any packages or deliveries on January 12,  
17 2017, (2) it received a package on January 13, but the circumstances of the  
18 delivery led Guest-Tek to call law enforcement, who eventually detonated the  
19 package, and (3) Guest-Tek did not become aware of Nomadix’s proof-of-service  
20 filing or request for entry of default until February 14. (Dkt. No. 22.)

21 **STIPULATION**

22 In view of the foregoing, the parties stipulate as follows:

- 23 1. Nomadix withdraws its request for entry of default.  
24 2. Guest-Tek does not contest the sufficiency of process or service of  
25 process.  
26 3. Guest-Tek’s deadline for responding to the complaint shall be reset to  
27 March 10, 2017.  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Respectfully submitted,  
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: March 6, 2017

/s/ Mark Lezama  
Douglas G. Muehlhauser  
Mark Lezama  
Alexander J. Martinez  
  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
NOMADIX, INC.

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP

Dated: March 6, 2017

/s/ Michael R. Matthias (with permission)  
Michael R. Matthias  
F. Lucas Paule  
  
Attorneys for Defendant  
GUEST-TEK INTERACTIVE  
ENTERTAINMENT LTD.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
WESTERN DIVISION

NOMADIX, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GUEST-TEK INTERACTIVE  
ENTERTAINMENT LTD.,

Defendant.

Case No.

CV16-08033 AB (FFMx)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER  
APPROVING STIPULATION  
TO RESET GUEST-TEK'S  
DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO  
COMPLAINT**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Having considered the parties' Stipulation to Reset Guest-Tek's Deadline to Respond to Complaint, and finding good cause therefor, the Court approves the stipulation.

1. Plaintiff Nomadix's request for entry of default (Dkt. No. 19) is deemed withdrawn.

2. It is established that Defendant Guest-Tek Interactive Entertainment does not contest the sufficiency of process or service of process.

3. Guest-Tek's deadline for responding to the complaint is reset to March 10, 2017.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable André Birotte Jr.