1	Douglas G. Muehlhauser (SBN 179495)		
2	doug.muehlhauser@knobbe.com Mark Lezama (SBN 253479)		
3	mark.lezama@knobbe.com Alexander J. Martinez (SBN 293925)		
4	alex.martinez@knobbe.com KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP		
5	2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor Irvine, CA 92614		
6	Telephone: 949-760-0404 Facsimile: 949-760-9502		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff NOMADIX, INC.		
8			
9	Michael R. Matthias (SBN 57728) mmatthias@bakerlaw.com		
10	fpaule@bakerlaw.com		
11	BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP 11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1400		
12	Los Angeles, CA 90025-0509 Telephone: 310-820-8800		
13	Facsimile: 310-820-8859		
!4 !5	Attorneys for Defendant GUEST-TEK INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LTD.		
16			
17	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	WESTERN DIVISION		
20			
21	NOMADIX, INC.,	Case No.	
22	Plaintiff,	CV16-08033 AB (FFMx)	
23	v.	STIPULATION TO RESET GUEST-TEK'S DEADLINE	
24		TO RESPOND TO	
25	GUEST-TEK INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LTD.,	COMPLAINT	
26	Defendant.	Honorable André Birotte Jr.	
27			



BACKGROUND

Plaintiff Nomadix filed the complaint in this action on October 28, 2016. On November 1, Nomadix's counsel sent Defendant Guest-Tek Interactive Entertainment's U.S. counsel at Baker & Hostetler LLP a copy of the complaint and asked whether Guest-Tek, a company located in Alberta, Canada, would accept service of process through Baker & Hostetler. Guest-Tek did not agree to accept service of process through Baker & Hostetler.

Nomadix took steps to effect service in accordance with the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters. The Alberta Central Authority issued a certificate of service certifying that Guest-Tek was served with the complaint and summons on January 12, 2017. Nomadix filed this certificate as part of its proof of service. (Dkt. No. 17.) On February 10, 2017, as Guest-Tek had not responded to the complaint, Nomadix requested entry of default against Guest-Tek. (Dkt. No. 19.)

In a pending opposition to Nomadix's request for entry of default, Guest-Tek asserts that (1) it did not receive any packages or deliveries on January 12, 2017, (2) it received a package on January 13, but the circumstances of the delivery led Guest-Tek to call law enforcement, who eventually detonated the package, and (3) Guest-Tek did not become aware of Nomadix's proof-of-service filing or request for entry of default until February 14. (Dkt. No. 22.)

STIPULATION

In view of the foregoing, the parties stipulate as follows:

- 1. Nomadix withdraws its request for entry of default.
- 2. Guest-Tek does not contest the sufficiency of process or service of process.
- 3. Guest-Tek's deadline for responding to the complaint shall be reset to March 10, 2017.



1		Respectfully submitted,
2		KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
3		
4	Dated: March 6, 2017	/s/ Mark Lezama
5		Douglas G. Muehlhauser Mark Lezama Alexander J. Martinez
6		
7		Attorneys for Plaintiff NOMADIX, INC.
8		
9		
10		BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP
11		DAKER & HOSTETLER LLP
12	Dated: March 6, 2017	/s/ Michael R. Matthias (with permission)
13	Dated. Water 0, 2017	Michael R. Matthias
14		F. Lucas Paule
15		Attorneys for Defendant GUEST-TEK INTERACTIVE
16		ENTERTAINMENT LTD.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		





