

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GUEST TEK INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LTD.,

Petitioner,

v.

NOMADIX, INC.,

Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 8,606,917 to Short *et al.*

Issued: December 10, 2013

Filed: October 24, 2012

Title: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR PROVIDING CONTENT AND
SERVICES ON A NETWORK SYSTEM

IPR2019-01191

Declaration of Dr. Peter Dordal

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE	1
III.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED IN FORMING MY OPINIONS	3
IV.	SUMMARY OF OPINION	3
V.	UNDERSTANDING OF LEGAL PRINCIPLES	4
VI.	THE ‘917 PATENT	6
VII.	PRIORITY DATE FOR CLAIMS 1 AND 11 OF THE ‘917 PATENT	10
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	28
IX.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	30
X.	TECHNICAL BACKGROUND AND STATE OF THE ART AT TIME OF ALLEGED INVENTION	31
XI.	OVERVIEW OF SPECIFIC PRIOR ART	55
A.	U.S. Patent No. 8,046,578 (“Trudeau”)	55
B.	David Whyte et al., DNS-based Detection of Scanning Worms in an Enterprise Network, Proceedings of the 12th Annual Network and Distributed System Security Symposium, San Diego, USA (Feb. 3-4, 2005) (“Whyte”)	56
C.	U.S. Patent No. 6,463,474 (“Fuh”)	59
D.	John Wack et al., Keeping Your Site Comfortably Secure: An Introduction to Internet Firewalls, NIST Special Publication 800-10 (Dec. 1994) (“NIST”)	62
XII.	OPINION REGARDING OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1 AND 11 OF THE ‘917 PATENT	65

A. My Opinion Regarding the Combination of Trudeau and Whyte66

B. My Opinion Regarding the Combination of Trudeau, Whyte, and Fuh.
.....70

C. My Opinion Regarding the Combination of Fuh and NIST.76

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Dr. Peter Dordal, and I have been retained as a technical expert by counsel for Petitioner Guest-Tek Interactive Entertainment Ltd. to provide assistance in the above captioned *inter partes* review proceeding. Specifically, I have been asked by counsel to opine on the validity of claims 1 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 8,606,917 (“the ‘917 patent”). This report contains a statement of my opinions formed in this matter and provides the bases and reasons for those opinions. I make the following statements based on my own personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify to the following.

II. QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

2. I have included as Attachment A a copy of my current *curriculum vitae*, which provides an overview of my qualifications and professional experience in relation to this matter. To summarize, I received my undergraduate and masters’ degrees in Mathematics in 1978 from the University of Chicago, and my Ph.D. in Mathematics from Harvard University in 1982.

3. Since receiving my doctorate degree in 1982, I have been employed as a faculty member at Loyola University Chicago, first in the Mathematical Sciences Department and then, starting in 2001, in the newly formed Computer Science Department. I received tenure in 1988, and was at that time promoted to Associate Professor, my current rank. For the past 36 years, I have focused on teaching

computer programming courses to undergraduate and graduate students, including Programming Languages, Computer Networks, and Advanced TCP/IP Networks. During part of my tenure, I was Acting Chair and Graduate Program Director for the Computer Science Department. I am also currently supervising a student thesis called *Software-defined Networking and Dynamic Traffic Rerouting*.

4. From 1984-2003, I was the System Administrator for departmental computing facilities at Loyola University. As System Administrator, my responsibilities included managing University computers and network services, supporting University workstations, and maintaining University computer labs. Sometimes this work entailed significant software development; for example, I implemented a TCP/IP layer for the University that allowed communication between computers supporting only the 3BNET networking software and a server supporting TCP/IP. My other exemplary experiences in system management and programming through the University are set forth in Attachment A.

5. Since 1982, I have also spent a significant amount of time, as part of my everyday course preparation and teaching, conducting research on, as well as performing and demonstrating, computer programming and network device management and support.

6. In addition to my professional experience, I have published a substantial number of books and articles on computer programming networks. For

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.