

Filed: December 6, 2019

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.

PETITIONER,

V.

BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC,

PATENT OWNER.

---

Case No. IPR2019-01186

U.S. Patent No. 7,039,435

---

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. (“Petitioner”) and Patent Owner Bell Northern Research, LLC (“Patent Owner”) jointly request termination of this *inter partes* review (IPR) of U.S. Patent No. 7,039,435 (“435 patent”), Case No. IPR2019-01186.

The parties have settled with respect to the challenged patent and have reached agreement to terminate this IPR. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.20(b), the parties received authorization from the Board to file this motion on December 5, 2019.

Termination of this proceeding is proper for at least the following reasons:

- The parties are jointly requesting termination. 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48768 (Aug. 14, 2012) (“There are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a proceeding.”) (emphasis added). Both Congress and the federal courts have expressed a strong interest in encouraging settlement in litigation. *See, e.g., Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. August*, 450 U.S. 346, 352 (1981) (“The purpose of [Fed. R. Civ. P.] 68 is to encourage the settlement of litigation.”); *Bergh v. Dept. of Transp.*, 794 F.2d 1575, 1577 (Fed. Cir. 1986) (“The law favors settlement of cases.”), *cert. denied*, 479 U.S. 950 (1986). The Federal Circuit places a particularly strong emphasis on settlement. *See Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe v. U.S.*, 806 F.2d 1046, 1050 (Fed. Cir. 1986) (noting that the law favors settlement to reduce antagonism

and hostility between parties). Here, no public interest or other factors weigh against termination of this proceeding.

- The Board has not yet “decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) (emphasis added); 77 Fed. Reg. 48768 (“The Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding.”). Indeed, the Board has not yet made a decision on institution of this *inter partes* review. Petitioner filed its petition for *inter partes* review on June 12, 2019. No Motions are outstanding in this proceeding. No other party’s rights will be prejudiced by the termination of this *inter partes* review. This supports the propriety of terminating this proceeding even though the settlement and termination provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 317, on their face, apply only to “instituted” proceedings. 77 Fed. Reg. 48680, 48686 (Aug. 14, 2012) (And 35 U.S.C. 317(a) provides “An *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.”).
- The District court in the action of *Bell Northern Research, LLC v. Huawei Device Co., Ltd., et al.*, Case No. 3:18-cv-1784-CAB-BLM (Doc. No. 128)

pending in the Southern District of California has dismissed the claims that relate to the '435 patent.

The following proceedings are related to the '435 patent:

IPR2019-01365

The settlement agreement between the parties has been made in writing, and a true and correct copy will be filed with this request as Exhibit 2034.

/Michael T. Hawkins/

Michael T. Hawkins  
Counsel for Petitioner  
Reg. No. 57,867  
**FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.**  
3200 RBC Plaza, 60 South Sixth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
Phone: 612-337-2569  
Fax 612-288-9696

/Steven W. Hartsell/

Steven W. Hartsell  
Counsel for Patent Owner  
Reg. No. 58,788  
**SKIERMONT DERBY LLP**  
1601 Elm Street, Suite 4400  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
P: 214-978-6600/F: 214-978-6601

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing Joint Motion to Terminate was served on December 6, 2019, by delivering a copy via electronic mail to the attorneys of record for the Petitioners as follows:

Michael T. Hawkins  
Craig Deutsch  
Sangki Park  
Christopher Hoff  
Jason W. Wolff  
Kim Leung  
Jennifer Huang  
IPR35548-0101IP1@fr.com  
hawkins@fr.com  
PTABInbound@fr.com

Dated: December 6, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

*/Steven W. Hartsell/*

Counsel for Patent Owner

Reg. No. 58,788

**SKIERMONT DERBY LLP**

1601 Elm Street, Suite 4400

Dallas, Texas 75201

P: 214-978-6600/F: 214-978-6601