

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,  
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,  
Patent Owner.

IPR2019-01125  
U.S. Patent No.: 7,016,676  
Issued: March 21, 2006  
Application No.: 10/089,959  
Filed: August 8, 2001

Title: METHOD, NETWORK AND CONTROL STATION FOR THE  
TWO-WAY ALTERNATE CONTROL OF RADIO SYSTEMS OF  
DIFFERENT STANDARDS IN THE SAME FREQUENCY BAND

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,016,676**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	viii
MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 .....	x
1. Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8 (b)(1)).....	x
2. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8 (b)(2)) .....	xi
3. Lead And Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8 (b)(3)) And Service Information.....	xii
4. Proof Of Service (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6 (e) And 42.105 (a)) .....	xiii
5. Fee For Inter Partes Review (37 C.F.R. § 42.15 (a)).....	xiii
6. Word Count Certification (37 C.F.R. § 42.24).....	xiii
I. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	1
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)).....	1
III. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)) .....	1
A. Claims For Which Review Is Requested (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1))...2	
B. Statutory Grounds For Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104 (b)(2)).....2	
IV. BACKGROUND AND STATE OF THE ART.....	3
A. Applicant’s Admitted Prior Art.....	3
1. Different Radio Interface Standards Operating In The Same Frequency Band Was Known.....	3
2. Using A Control Station To Moderate Network Traffic Was Known .....	7

3.	“Switching” Frequency To Avoid Interference Was Known.....	7
B.	Summary Of The Prior Art To The '676 Patent .....	8
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '676 PATENT .....	9
A.	The '676 Patent's Specification .....	9
B.	The Prosecution History.....	14
C.	The Challenged Claim.....	17
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)).....	18
A.	Level Of Skill In The Art.....	19
B.	Proposed Constructions.....	20
1.	(Claim 1) “Stations Which Operate In Accordance With A First Radio Interface Standard And/Or A Second Radio Interface Standard” .....	20
2.	(Claim 1) “Renders The Frequency Band Available For Access By The Stations Working In Accordance With The Second Radio Interface Standard If Stations Working In Accordance With The First Radio Interface Standard Do Not Request Access To The Frequency Band” ...	22
3.	(Claim 5) “The Control Station Also Carries Out Functions Which Cause Radio Systems In Accordance With The First Radio Interface Standard To Interpret The Radio Channel As Interfered And To Seize Another Radio Channel For Its Own Operation” .....	25
VII.	CLAIM 5 OF THE '676 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE OVER THE CITED PRIOR ART (37 CFR § 42.104 (b)(4), 37 CFR § 42.104(b)(5)).....	30
A.	GROUND 1: CLAIM 5 IS OBVIOUS OVER HOMERF IN VIEW OF HOMERF SWAP SPEC. 1.3 .....	30
1.	HomeRF: Wireless Networking For The Connected Home.....	30

a)	HomeRF Shows A Protocol Method For Alternate Use Of The Same Frequency Band By Two Different Radio Interface Standards.....	31
b)	HomeRF Shows A Control Station Controlling Use Of The Same Frequency Band By Stations Using Different Radio Interface Standards .....	32
c)	HomeRF Shows The Control Station Granting First Radio Interface Standard Devices “Priority” Over Second Radio Interface Standard Devices .....	36
d)	HomeRF Shows Second Stations That Can Access The Same Frequency Band When First Stations Do Not “Request” It.....	37
e)	HomeRF Shows Stations Hopping To Another Frequency If Interference Is Detected.....	43
2.	HomeRF Teaches Or Suggests All Of The Limitations Of Claim 1, Incorporated Into Claim 5 .....	43
3.	HomeRF SWAP Spec. 1.3 .....	50
a)	HomeRF SWAP Spec. 1.3 Shows Stations Hopping To Another Frequency If Interference Is Detected .....	53
4.	Motivation To Combine HomeRF With HomeRF SWAP Spec. 1.3 .....	54
5.	HomeRF In View Of HomeRF SWAP Spec. 1.3 Shows The Added Limitations Of Claim 5.....	55
B.	GROUND 2: CLAIM 5 IS OBVIOUS OVER HOMERF IN VIEW OF HOMERF TUTORIAL IN FURTHER VIEW OF HOMERF SWAP SPEC. 1.3 .....	56
1.	HomeRF Tutorial .....	56
a)	HomeRF Tutorial Further Describes A Control Station Controlling Use Of The Same	

	Frequency Band By Stations Using Different Radio Interface Standards .....	57
b)	HomeRF Tutorial Further Clarifies Granting Second Stations Access To The Common Frequency Band When First Stations Do Not “Request” It .....	59
2.	Motivation To Combine HomeRF With HomeRF Tutorial And HomeRF SWAP Spec 1.3 .....	60
3.	HomeRF In View Of HomeRF Tutorial Teaches Or Suggests All Of The Limitations Of Claim 1, Incorporated Into Claim 5 .....	61
4.	HomeRF In View Of HomeRF Tutorial In Further View Of HomeRF SWAP Spec. 1.3 Shows The Added Limitations Of Claim 5.....	65
C.	GROUND 3: CLAIM 5 IS OBVIOUS OVER HOMERF IN VIEW OF HAARTSEN .....	65
1.	U.S. Patent No. 7,280,580 (“Haartsen”) .....	65
2.	Motivation To Combine HomeRF With Haartsen.....	68
3.	HomeRF Teaches Or Suggests All Of The Limitations Of Claim 1, Incorporated Into Claim 5 .....	68
4.	HomeRF In View Of Haartsen Shows The Added Limitations Of Claim 5 .....	68
D.	GROUND 4: CLAIM 5 IS OBVIOUS OVER HOMERF IN VIEW OF HOMERF TUTORIAL AND HAARTSEN .....	70
1.	Motivation To Combine HomeRF With HomeRF Tutorial And Haartsen.....	70
2.	HomeRF In View Of HomeRF Tutorial Teaches Or Suggests All Of The Limitations Of Claim 1, Incorporated Into Claim 5 .....	70

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.