

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

M. ELIZABETH DAY (SBN 177125)  
eday@feinday.com  
DAVID ALBERTI (SBN 220265)  
dalberti@feinday.com  
SAL LIM (SBN 211836)  
slim@feinday.com  
MARC BELLOLI (SBN 244290)  
mbelloli@feinday.com  
**FEINBERG DAY ALBERTI LIM &  
BELLOLI LLP**  
1600 El Camino Real, Suite 280  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
Tel: 650.618.4360  
Fax: 650.618.4368

Attorneys for Uniloc 2017 LLC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNILOC 2017 LLC,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
MICROSOFT CORPORATION,  
  
Defendant.

CASE NO. 8:18-cv-02053-AG-JDE  
  
**DISCLOSURE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS  
AND INFRINGEMENT  
CONTENTIONS; DOCUMENT  
PRODUCTION ACCOMPANYING  
DISCLOSURE**

**MICROSOFT CORP.  
EXHIBIT 1003**



1 In accordance with Standing Patent Rule 2.1 of the Court’s Standing Patent  
2 Rules, Plaintiff Uniloc 2017 LLC (“Uniloc”) hereby provides its Disclosure of  
3 Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions and Document Production  
4 Accompanying Disclosure, as follows:

5 **Disclosure Under Standing Patent Rule 2.1.1 and 2.1.2**

6 Uniloc alleges that Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”) directly and  
7 indirectly infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 7,016,676 (the “’676 Patent), 7,075,917 (the  
8 “’917 Patent”), 8,706,636 (the “’636 patent”) and 8,606,856 (the “’856 Patent”)  
9 (collectively “the Asserted Patents”) under 35 U.S.C. § § 271(a)-(c).

10 Uniloc is asserting the following claims against the following Microsoft  
11 products/services: claims 1, 2 and 5 of the ’676 Patent against Microsoft Surface  
12 products containing a combined Bluetooth/Wi-Fi chip solution, such as the Marvell  
13 Avastar Family devices; claim 10 of the ’917 Patent against Microsoft products and  
14 services, including without limitation hotspots, modems and terminals that support  
15 HSPA/HSPA+/HSUPA/HSUPA+ (collectively “HSPA”) standardized in UMTS 3  
16 GPP Release 6 and above, including compatible Microsoft Surface products, such  
17 as the Microsoft Surface Pro with LTE and the Surface Go with LTE Advanced,  
18 and Microsoft Lumia products, such as the Microsoft Lumia 535 products, Lumia  
19 635 products, Lumia 640 LTE products, Lumia 640 XL products, Lumia 950  
20 products, and Lumia 950 XL products; claims 1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 18, 21, 24, 25, 26, 36,  
21 38, 39, 42, 45 and 48 of the ’636 Patent against Microsoft Office Products that  
22 allow for subscription sharing, and related, software, servers, user/client devices,  
23 systems and methods (including, but are not limited to, activation and installation  
24 software for all versions of Microsoft Office 365); and claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 9, 10, 12,  
25 15, 17, 18, 20 and 23 of the ’856 patent against Microsoft Office Products that  
26 allow for subscription sharing, and related, software, servers, user/client devices,  
27 systems and methods (including, but are not limited to, activation and installation  
28 software for all versions of Microsoft Office 365).

1 A further description of the infringing Microsoft products/services is  
2 provided in charts attached hereto as Exhibits A-D and incorporated by reference.

3 **Disclosure Under Standing Patent Rule 2.1.3**

4 Each accused apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other  
5 instrumentality (“Accused Product”) of Microsoft of which Uniloc is aware  
6 currently is identified, separately for each asserted claim, in charts attached hereto  
7 as Exhibits A-D and incorporated by reference.

8 This disclosure is based on the present state of the Uniloc’s knowledge,  
9 without the benefit of any discovery from Microsoft or any other third-parties.  
10 Uniloc accordingly reserves the right to support its infringement contentions with  
11 additional allegations of infringement of other Products and of other claims, and  
12 with additional facts and products, particularly those for which information is not  
13 publicly available. Uniloc also reserves the right to modify the positions taken in  
14 these disclosures, based on later obtained materials, and/or based on information  
15 currently available, which Uniloc has not yet identified as significant.

16 Each element of each claim as set forth in Exhibits A-D is literally present in  
17 the Accused Products. Uniloc will serve an interrogatory on Microsoft seeking its  
18 non-infringement contentions. Uniloc intends to supplement its infringement  
19 contentions to allege infringement under the doctrine of equivalents to the extent  
20 Microsoft provides information regarding those claim elements it contends it does  
21 not literally infringe. In addition, to the extent that the Court construes claims  
22 differently, Uniloc reserves the right to specifically identify equivalents to those  
23 construed claims which are practiced by Microsoft directly or indirectly.

24 **Disclosure Under Standing Patent Rule 2.1.4**

25 Uniloc contends the Asserted Claims of the Asserted Patents are entitled to  
26 the following priority dates:

27 The '676 patent: August 8, 2000

28 The '917 patent: October 11, 2000

1 The '636 patent: December 10, 2001

2 The '856 patent: December 10, 2001

3 **Disclosure Under Standing Patent Rule 2.1.5**

4 Uniloc is not relying on the assertion that its own apparatuses, products,  
5 devices, processes, methods, acts, or other instrumentalities practice the claimed  
6 inventions.

7 **Disclosure Under Standing Patent Rule 2.1.6**

8 Uniloc alleges that Microsoft willfully infringes the Asserted Patents as  
9 follows:

10 Microsoft's acts of infringement of the Asserted Patents have been willful  
11 and intentional under the standard announced in *Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse Elecs.,*  
12 *Inc.*, 136 S.Ct. 1923, 195 L.Ed 2d 278 (2016). Since at least July 24, 2018,  
13 Microsoft has willfully infringed the '676 patent and since at least August 10, 2018  
14 Microsoft has willfully infringed the '917 patent, the '636 patent and the '856  
15 patent by refusing to take a license and continuing to make, use, test, sell, license,  
16 import, and/or offer for sale/license the Accused Products. Microsoft has been  
17 aware that it infringes the Asserted Patents since at least July 24 ,2018 and August  
18 10, 2018 and instead of taking a license, Microsoft has opted to make the business  
19 decision to "efficiently infringe" the Asserted Patents. In doing so, Microsoft has  
20 willfully infringed the Asserted Patents.

21 Uniloc reserves the right to modify the positions taken in these disclosures,  
22 based on later obtained materials and/or based on information currently available  
23 that the Uniloc has not yet identified as significant.

24 **Document Production Under Standing Patent Rule 2.2**

25 Uniloc objects to the requirements of this production to the extent that it calls  
26 for the production of documents protected by the attorney-client privilege. Further,  
27 in producing these documents, Uniloc does not admit or concede the relevancy,  
28 materiality, authenticity, or admissibility as evidence of any of these documents.

1 All objections to the use, at trial or otherwise, of any document produced are hereby  
2 expressly reserved. Uniloc's discovery and investigation in connection with this  
3 lawsuit is ongoing. As a result, Uniloc produces these documents without prejudice  
4 as to the right to produce additional documents after considering documents  
5 obtained or reviewed through further discovery or investigation. Subject to and  
6 without waiving its objections, Uniloc produces responsive documents as follows:

7 Standing Patent Rule 2.2.1: UNI-MS-2053\_0000198-1526

8 Standing Patent Rule 2.2.2: UNI-MS-2053\_0000001-197; UNI-MS-  
9 2053\_0001527-4040

10 Standing Patent Rule 2.2.3: None.

11  
12 Dated: January 4, 2019

FEINBERG DAY ALBERTI LIM & BELLOLI  
LLP

13  
14 By: /s/ M. Elizabeth Day

15 M. Elizabeth Day  
16 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
17 Uniloc 2017 LLC  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.