

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2019-01116
Patent 7,016,676 B2

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO
PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
EXHIBIT LIST	iv
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. UNILOC OFFERS NO EXPERT, RELIES ON ATTORNEY ARGUMENT.....	1
III. UNILOC OFFERS NO COMPETING POSITA PROPOSAL.....	2
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	2
A. Uniloc Does Not Offer Complete Construction of “Stations Which Operate In Accordance With A First Radio Interface Standard And/Or A Second Radio Interface Standard”	2
1. Uniloc’s Construction Of “Radio Interface Standard” As A “Complete Specification” Is Incomplete And Unsupported.....	3
2. The Board Did Not Construe “Radio Interface Standard”	6
3. Uniloc’s Bluetooth Argument Is A Red Herring	7
V. UNILOC’S COMPARISON OF HOMERF’S DISCLOSURE TO THE CLAIMS IS FAULTY	8
A. Uniloc Omits “In Accordance With” Claim Language	8
1. HomeRF Closely Tracks ’676 Patent’s Primary Embodiment.....	10
2. Both HomeRF And ’676 Patent Adapt Existing Radio Interface Standards.....	13
3. Main Difference Between ’676 Patent’s Two Radio Interface Standards – Their Access Mechanism – Is Mirrored In HomeRF’s Two Radio Interface Standards	14

B. HomeRF Control Point Provides “Alternate Use”
Of Common Frequency Band, “Controls Access To
The Common Frequency Band For Stations Working
In Accordance With The First Radio Interface Standard”14

C. HomeRF References Teach Making Access Available
To Second Radio Interface Standard Stations Access “If”
First Radio Interface Standard Stations Do Not Request It 16

VI. PETITION DEMONSTRATES THAT HOMERF
REFERENCES WERE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE PRIOR ART23

VII. LANSFORD TEACHES OR SUGGESTS AT LEAST THE
NON-CONDITIONAL STEPS OF THE CLAIMED METHOD24

VIII. CONSTITUTIONALITY27

IX. CONCLUSION.....28

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.,
Case No. 2018-2140, 953 F.3d 760 (Fed. Cir. March 23, 2020)27

Cybersettle, Inc. v. Nat’l Arbitration Forum, Inc.,
243 F.App’x 603 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....26

Elbit Sys. of Am., LLC v. Thales Visionix, Inc.,
881 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2018)2

Icon Health & Fitness, Inc. v. Strava, Inc.,
849 F.3d 1034 (Fed. Cir. 2017)2

Inwood Labs., Inc. v. Ives Labs., Inc.,
456 U.S. 844 (1982)1

Trs. of Columbia Univ. v. Illumina, Inc.,
620 Fed. Appx. 916 (Fed. Cir. 2015)1

Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.,
90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)5

EXHIBIT LIST

LIST OF NEWLY-FILED EXHIBITS

Concurrently filed with Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Response to
Petition:

No.	Description
1013	Supplemental Declaration of Peter Rysavy, including Appendix 1 thereto, signed and dated May 19, 2020 (" Rysavy Supp. ")

LIST OF PREVIOUSLY FILED EXHIBITS

No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,016,676, "METHOD, NETWORK AND CONTROL STATION FOR THE TWO-WAY ALTERNATE CONTROL OF RADIO SYSTEMS OF DIFFERENT STANDARDS IN THE SAME FREQUENCY BAND," issued March 21, 2006 (the " 676 patent ")
1002	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,016,676, Application No. 10/089,959 (" 676 FH ")
1003	Plaintiff's "Disclosure of Asserted Claims And Infringement Contentions", dated January 4, 2019, and including Exhibit A thereto (" UNILOC Contentions ")
1004	Declaration of Peter Rysavy, including Appendix 1 thereto, signed and dated May 29, 2019 (" Rysavy ")
1005	Excerpts of "Webster's New World College Dictionary, 4 th Edition", © 1999 by Macmillan USA (" Webster's ")
1006	"HomeRF: Wireless Networking for the Connected Home", by Kevin J. Negus <i>et al.</i> , IEEE Personal Communications, Vol. 7, Issue 1, pgs. 20-27, Feb. 2000 (" HomeRF ")

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.