UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
GoPro, Inc., Garmin Int'l, Inc. and Garmin USA, Inc.
Petitioners
V.
Cellspin Soft, Inc.
Patent Owner
CASE: IPR2019-01108 Patent No. 9,258,698
Title: AUTOMATIC MULTIMEDIA UPLOAD FOR PUBLISHING DATA AND MULTIMEDIA CONTENT
PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF ILS PATENT NO

9,258,698 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. 1001	Declaration of Dr. John Strawn
Ex. 1002	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. John Strawn
Ex. 1003	United States Patent No. 9,258,698 to Gurvinder Singh, et al. ("the '698 Patent")
Ex. 1004	Patent File History for the '698 Patent
Ex. 1005	Japanese Patent Application Publication No. 2003-51772, identifying Hiroshi Mashita as inventor ("Mashita")
Ex. 1006	Certified translation of Mashita
Ex. 1007	Japanese Patent Application Publication No. 2003-299014, identifying Jiro Onishi et al. as inventors ("Onishi")
Ex. 1008	Certified translation of Onishi
Ex. 1009	Japanese Patent Application Publication No. 2004-102810, identifying Tomonobu Hiraishi as inventor ("Hiraishi")
Ex. 1010	Certified translation of Hiraishi
Ex. 1011	United States Patent No. 8,738,794 to Gurvinder Singh, et al. ("the '794 Patent")
Ex. 1012	Excerpts from Mc-Graw Hill Dictionary of Computing & Communications, Copyright 2003
Ex. 1013	Excerpts from Wiley Electrical and Electronics Engineering Dictionary, Copyright 2004
Ex. 1014	User guide for Sony Ericsson Z520a, Copyright 2005



Ex. 1015	Cingular Wireless Service Agreement of 22 March, 2006
Ex. 1016	User's Guide for Nokia N73, Copyright 2006
Ex. 1017	Excerpts from Specification of the Bluetooth System, Dated 4 November 2004
Ex. 1018	Receipt for purchase of <i>Sony Ericsson Z520a</i> , dated December 20, 2005
Ex. 1019	Amended Complaint dated March 2, 2018, <i>Cellspin Soft, Inc. v. Panasonic Corporation of North America</i> , Case No. 4:17-cv-05941, United States District Court for the Northern District of California
Ex. 1020	Bluetooth <i>Basic Imaging Profile, Interoperability Specification</i> , Dated July 30, 2003
Ex. 1021	"IMT-2000," published by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, United States Department of Commerce, August 2000



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Pag</u>	e
I.	INT	RODUCTION1	
II.	37 C	C.F.R. § 42.8: MANDATORY NOTICES	
	A.	37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1): Real Parties-in-Interest	
	B.	37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2): Related Matters3	
	C.	37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3), 42.8(b)(4): Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	
III.	37 C	C.F.R. § 42.104(a): GROUNDS FOR STANDING7	
IV.	37 C	C.F.R. § 42.104(b): IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE7	
	A.	37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1): Claims for Which IPR is Requested7	
	В.	37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2): Identification of Prior Art and Asserted Grounds for Which IPR is Requested7	
	C.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art10	
	D.	Claim Construction10	
		1. "Cryptographically Authenticating" Phrase12	
		2. "New-Media"	
		3. "Graphical User Interface (GUI)"14	
		4. Whether the Claims Require "Automatic" Operation14	
	E.	37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4): How the Claims Are Unpatentable .16	
	F.	37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5): Evidence Supporting Challenge17	
V.	THE CHA	ERE EXISTS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE ALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE17	
	A.	Description of the '698 Patent17	
	B.	Prosecution History21	
	C.	Common Claim Limitations25	
	D	Ground #1: All Challenged Claims Would Have Been	



Obvio	ous (over Mashita, Onishi, and Hiraishi	26		
1.	Mashita				
2.	Onishi				
3.	Hir	raishi	31		
4.	Overview of Obviousness Arguments and Motivation t Combine Mashita, Onishi, and Hiraishi				
5.		ependent Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [Common nitations]	34		
	a.	Claims 1 and 8 [preamble] [Limitation A]	34		
	b.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [short-range wireless enabled digital camera device] [Limitation B]			
	c.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [short-range wireless connection through cryptographic authentication] [Limitation C]	39		
	d.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [acquiring new-media] [Limitation D]	45		
	e.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [creating a new-media file] [Limitation E]	50		
	f.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [storing the created new-media file in non-volatile memory] [Limitation F]	51		
	g.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [receiving a data transfer request from the cellular phone] [Limitation G]	52		
	h.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [transferring a new-media file to the cellular phone] [Limitation H]	58		
	i.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [storing the received new-media file in non-volatile memory] [Limitation I]	59		
	j.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [using HTTP to upload the received new-media file along with user information to a user media publishing website] [Limitation J]	62		
	k.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [using a graphical user interface (GUI) to delete the created new-media file] [Limitation K]	66		



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

