	Page 1		
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		
2			
	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD		
3			
4	CANON U.S.A., INC.		
5	Petitioner,		
6	v .		
7	CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.		
8	Patent Owner		
9			
	Case No. IPR 2019-00127		
10			
	Patent No. 9,258,698		
11			
12			
13	DEPOSITION OF VIJAY MADISETTI, Ph.D.		
14			
15	June 12, 2019		
16	8:58 a.m.		
17			
18	Veritext Legal Solutions		
19	1075 Peachtree Street, N.E.		
20	Suite 3625		
21	Atlanta, Georgia		
22			
23	REPORTED BY:		
24	LAURA R. SINGLE, CCR-B-1343		
25			



```
Page 2
1
                       APPEARANCES
 2
 3
     For the Petitioner:
 4
               JARED W. NEWTON, Esq.
               Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
5
               1300 I Street, N.W.
               Suite 900
               Washington, D.C. 20005
6
                 (202) 538-8000
7
                  jarednewton@quinnemanuel.com
8
9
10
11
12
     For the Patent Owner:
13
               JOHN J. EDMONDS, Esq.
               Edmonds & Schlather
14
               1616 South Voss Road
               Suite 125
15
               Houston, Texas 77057
                 (713) 364-5291
16
                 jedmonds@ip-lit.com
17
18
19
20
     Also Present:
21
               Gruvinder Singh
                 (Cellspin Soft, Inc.)
22
2.3
24
2.5
```



		<u> </u>	
		I	Page 3
1		I N D E X	
2			
3	EXAMINATION OF	VIJAY MADISETTI, Ph.D.	PAGE
4	BY MR. EDMONDS.		4
5	BY MR. NEWTON		48
6			
7			
8		* * *	
9			
10	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
11	For the Patent	Owner:	
12	Exhibit 1	Specification of the	12
		Bluetooth System - Master	
13		Table of Contents &	
		Compliance Requirements	
14			
15	Exhibit 2	Japanese Unexamined Patent	13
		Application Publication,	
16	_ , , , , , , ,	2/28/03	2.4
17	Exhibit 3	An Overview of the Bluetooth	34
1.0		Wireless Technology	
18 19	Erbibit 1	Inited Chates Dates	2.5
19	Exhibit 4	United States Patent Application Publication,	35
20		10/3/02	
21	Exhibit 5	United States Patent	36
4 1	HAIIIDIC J	Application Publication,	30
22		8/24/06	
23	Exhibit 6	Japanese Unexamined Patent	38
		Application Publication,	
24		10/27/05	
25		, ,	



Page 4 PROCEEDINGS 1 (Pursuant to Article 10(B) of the Rules and 2. 3 Regulations of the Georgia Board of Court Reporting, a written disclosure statement was 4 5 submitted by the court reporter to all counsel 6 present at the proceeding.) 7 VIJAY MADISETTI, Ph.D., 8 9 Having been first duly sworn to state the truth, was 10 examined and testified as follows: 11 EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. EDMONDS: 13 0. Would you please state your name? Vijay Madisetti. 14 Α. 15 Ο. And do you realize that you're here under 16 oath for a deposition? 17 Α. I do. Is there anything about your health or 18 19 wellbeing that would inhibit in any way your ability 20 to give full and truthful answers to this deposition? 21 Α. No. 2.2 Ο. How many kinds of Bluetooth connections are 23 possible? 24 I didn't understand the question. Α. What different kinds of Bluetooth 25 Ο.



Page 25

that the priority date is December of 2007.

BY MR. EDMONDS:

- Q. Okay. So I'm just making sure we're communicating. When you say priority date, you're referring to December of 2007, correct?
- A. I was asked assume December 2007 as the time mentioned.
- Q. So when I say priority date, you'll understand that I'm talking about December of 2007 in the context of this conversation. Understood?
 - A. Okay. I can do that.
- Q. Okay. As of the priority date, was cryptographic authentication optional in Bluetooth?

 MR. NEWTON: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I would have to refer to the standard specifically. My disclosures and

reliance on Bluetooth was to show that there is

cryptographic authentication, for example,

mandated in security mode 3 in version 2.1; and

that itself is sufficient to render obvious that

cryptographic authentication in Bluetooth and the

disclosure of Bluetooth in Hiroishi would render

the limitation of cryptographic authentication

obvious.

BY MR. EDMONDS:



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

