UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC,

Case No. 2:18-CV-03629-GW-JC

Plaintiff,

VS.

GOOGLE LLC, and YOUTUBE LLC,

Defendants.

EXPERT REPORT OF DR. IAIN E. RICHARDSON REGARDING INVALIDITY OF ASSERTED PATENTS



			(a)	"symmetric" compression algorithms	30
			(b)	The nature of the systems on which compression and decompression are performed can have a significant impact on relative timing	33
		2.	"slow	compress encoder" / "fast decompress decoder"	35
	C.	Invalidity in View of Prior Art			37
		1.	Pauls		
		2.	Brooks		
		3.	Ishii		
		4.	DyeImai		47
		5.			49
		6.	Couwenhoven		52
		7.	Rynderman		56
		8.	Beyda	1	59
		9.	Darwi	in System	61
		10.	Micro	soft NetMeeting 3.0	67
		11.	RealS	ystem G2	69
	D. The Assert		sserted	Patents Are Directed To An Abstract Idea	74
IX.	CONCLUSION				81



1. I have been engaged by counsel for Google LLC and YouTube, LLC (collectively, "Google") to opine on the validity of the claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,386,046 ("the '046 patent"), 8,934,535 ("the '535 patent"), and 9,769,477 ("the '477 patent") (collectively, the "Asserted Patents") that are being asserted by Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC ("Realtime") in this litigation.

I. **QUALIFICATIONS**

- 2. I received a Masters of Engineering (M.Eng.) degree in Electronic and Electrical Engineering from Heriot-Watt University in Edinburgh, Scotland in 1990. I received a Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) degree in Video Compression from Robert Gordon University in Aberdeen, Scotland in 1999. I worked as a Digital Signal Processing ("DSP") Hardware Designer with GEC Avionics Ltd. from 1990 to 1993.
- 3. In 1993, I assumed a post as a Lecturer, then Reader, and eventually a Full Professor in the field of image and video compression in the School of Engineering at Robert Gordon University. In 2009, I was honored as an Honorary Professor with the Robert Gordon University, a position I maintain to this day.
- 4. I am the author of four books and over 70 journal and conference papers on video and image coding and communication, including two widely cited books on the H.264 / MPEG-4 industry standards for video compression.
- 5. At Robert Gordon University, I founded and ran an image communication technology research laboratory. I carried out original research in the field of data, image, and video compression, initiated and managed research projects, and supervised research students.
- 6. A particular focus of my research has been the analysis and optimization of video compression computational complexity. For example, I developed novel approaches to adapting



- 10. In 2009, I founded Onecodec Limited and served as CEO, leading the company's development of innovative video, image, and data compression and storage software and systems. Onecodec's technology and business activities were merged with Vcodex Limited in 2015.
- 11. I have previously prepared expert reports and testified in a number of cases concerning video and image compression, graphics compression, general-purpose data compression, and video and image communications.
- 12. A copy of my curriculum vitae, including all publications authored in the previous 10 years and all cases in which I have testified at trial or by deposition during the previous four years, is attached as Exhibit 1 to this Report. I am being compensated for my work at a rate of \$650 per hour.

II. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS

- 13. In my opinion, all of the asserted claims of the Asserted Patents are invalid for multiple reasons.
- 14. Each of the asserted claims is invalid because it fails to satisfy the written description and/or enablement requirements.
- 15. Each of the asserted claims of the '535 and '477 patents is invalid because it includes an indefinite limitation.
- 16. None of the asserted claims is entitled to claim priority to the provisional patent application filed on February 13, 2001. Thus the earliest potential priority date for any of the asserted claims is the date of filing of the original non-provisional patent application on February 13, 2002.
 - 17. Selecting encoders or methods of compression based on the factors described in



the asserted claims was well known in the art long before the priority date of the Asserted Patents.

- 18. Each of the asserted claims of the '046 and '477 patents is invalid because the claimed method or system is anticipated by one or more prior art references. To the extent that any asserted claim is found not to be anticipated, that claim is rendered obvious by a combination of prior art references with the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill in the art at the alleged time of invention and/or with other prior art references.
- 19. Each of the asserted claims of the '535 patent is invalid because the claimed method or system is rendered obvious by a combination of prior art references with the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill in the art at the alleged time of invention and/or with other prior art references.
- 20. Each of the asserted claims is directed to an abstract idea implemented using routine and conventional steps or apparatus.

III. MATERIALS RELIED ON

21. My opinions are based on my experience as well as my review of relevant materials. In arriving at my opinions I have read and relied on the documents that are cited in this report as well as the prosecution histories of the Asserted Patents, Realtime's Infringement Contentions, dated November 21, 2018, and Google's Invalidity Contentions, dated January 18, 2019 (as subsequently supplemented by charts addressing RealNetworks RealSystem).

IV. <u>LEGAL PRINCIPLES</u>

22. I understand that a patent is presumed to be valid, and that this presumption can be overcome only by clear and convincing evidence of invalidity.

A. <u>Anticipation and Obviousness</u>

23. I further understand that a patent claim is anticipated if the invention was known or



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

