1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	TED DANE (State Bar No. 143195) ted.dane@mto.com PETER E. GRATZINGER (State Bar No. peter.gratzinger@mto.com ZACHARY M. BRIERS (State Bar No. 2 zachary.briers@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 350 South Grand Avenue Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702 PETER A. DETRE (State Bar No. 182619 peter.detre@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Floo San Francisco, California 94105-3089 Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077	9)		
12 13	Attorneys for GOOGLE LLC AND YOUTUBE, LLC			
14 15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC, Plaintiff, vs. GOOGLE LLC, and YOUTUBE, LLC, Defendants.	Case No. 2:18-cv-03629-GW-JC DEFENDANTS' INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS		
	DEFENDANTS' INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS			



C. Patent L.R. 3-4(c) and 3-4(e)

Google incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, the documents Realtime produced at Bates range RAS-GYT_00004421 – RAS-GYT_00006140, as agreements that Google may contend are comparable to a license that would result from a hypothetical reasonable royalty negotiation, and agreements that Google may use to support its damages case. As discovery proceeds, Google reserves the right to identify additional agreements that are comparable to a license that would result from a hypothetical reasonable royalty or that Google may use to support its damages case. Google will supplement its document production if it later finds additional responsive documents.

D. Patent L.R. 3-4(d)

Google's document production includes documents sufficient to show the sales, revenue, cost, and profits for the instrumentalities identified by Realtime in its Patent L.R. 3-1(b) contentions. These documents are contained in Bates range GOOG-RAS-00000306 - GOOG-RAS-00000310. Based on its investigation to date, Google states that there are no revenues or profits associated with Google Duo.

DATED: January 18, 2018 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

By: /s/ Zachary Briers
ZACHARY M. BRIERS
Attorneys for GOOGLE LLC AND
YOUTUBE, LLC

⁵ The parties agreed to not exchange Damages Contentions pursuant to the Northern District of California Patent Local Rules 3-8 and 3-9.

-116-DEFENDANTS' INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com

OCKET



Attachment 1. Identification of Prior Art Anticipating or Rendering Obvious The Asserted Claims of th

Google contends that the following prior art references anticipate and/or render obvious each of the Asserted Clair the Fallon Patents, U.S. Patent Nos. 7,386,046, 8,934,535, and 9,769,477, as indicated in the chart below. Where that a reference anticipates an Asserted Claim, Google contends that the reference discloses, explicitly or inherently limitation of the Asserted Claim, as explained more fully in the associated claim chart identified in the chart below contends that a references renders an Asserted Claim obvious, Google contends that the subject matter of that Asserted have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the purported invention, given the diff subject matter of the Asserted Claim and the disclosure of that reference alone or the disclosure of that reference of references as explained more fully in the associated claim chart. Google's contention in the Claim Chart Exhibits discloses a limitation also means that Google contends that the reference renders that limitation obvious alone, in contends that the reference renders that limitation obvious alone, in contends that the reference renders that limitation obvious alone, in contends that the reference renders that limitation obvious alone, in contends that the reference renders that limitation obvious alone, in contends that the reference renders that limitation obvious alone, in contends that the reference renders that limitation obvious alone, in contends that the reference renders that limitation obvious alone, in contends that the reference renders that limitation obvious alone, in contends that the reference renders that limitation obvious alone, in contends that the reference renders that limitation obvious alone, in contends the reference renders that limitation obvious alone, in contends the reference renders that limitation obvious alone, in contends the renders that limitation obvious alone, alone al knowledge of a person of ordinary skill in the art, and in combination with other references.¹

Prior Art Reference	Short Name	Patent(s)/I
U.S. Patent No. 4,956,808 to Aakre ("Aakre")	Aakre	'535: Ex. '477: Ex. '
U.S. Patent No. 5,495,291 to Adams ("Adams")	Adams	'535: Ex. '477: Ex. '
U.S. Patent No. 5,838,823 to Ancessi ("Ancessi")	Ancessi	'046: Ex. '535: Ex. '477: Ex.
U.S. Patent No. 6,021,198 to Anigbogu ("Anigbogu")	Anigbogu	'046: Ex. '535: Ex. '477: Ex.

¹ The date of issue and/or date of publication of each reference is disclosed herein and/or in the corresponding Clar

Google's Inv Attachmen

Rea



Prior Art Reference	Short Name	Patent(s
U.S. Patent No. 6,731,812 to Bailleul ("Bailleul")	Bailleul	'046: E '535: E '477: E
Bell, Better OPM/L Text Compression, 34.12 IEEE Transactions on Communications 1176 (Dec. 1986) ("Bell")	Bell	'535: E '477: E
U.S. Patent No. 6,198,850 to Banton ("Banton")	Banton	'535: E '477: E
The Bay Area Research Wireless Access Network ("BARWAN")	BARWAN	'046: E
European Patent Publication EP1024638A1 ("Beyda") (and U.S. Patent No. 7,023,839 to Shaffer et al.)	Beyda	'046: E: '535: E '477: E
U.S. Patent No. 4,568,983 to Bobick ("Bobick")	Bobick	'535: E '477: E
Bocheck, Paul, et al. "Utility-Based Network Adaptation for MPEG-4 Systems." Network and Operating System Support for Digital Audio and Video (NOSSDAV), June 1999. ("Bocheck")	Bocheck	'046: E: '535: E '477: E
U.S. Patent No. 6,859,496 to Boroczky et al. ("Boroczky")	Boroczky	'535: E '477: E
U.S. Patent No. 7,143,432 to Brooks ("Brooks")	Brooks	'535: E '477: E

2

Google's l Attachn Rea



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

