1	MARC A. FENSTER (Bar No. 181067)	TED DANE (Bar No. 143195) ted.dane@mto.com			
2	mfenster@raklaw.com REZA MIRZAIE (Bar No. 246953)	ZACHARY M. BRIERS (Bar No. 287984) zachary.briers@mto.com			
3	REZA MIRZAIE (Bar No. 246953) rmirzaie@raklaw.com BRIAN D. LEDAHL (Bar No.	BRIAN J. SPRINGER (Bar. No. 309094)			
4	186579)	brian.springer@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP			
5	bledahl@raklaw.com PAUL KROEGER (Bar No. 229074)	350 South Grand Avenue Fiftieth Floor			
6	pkroeger@raklaw.com C. JAY CHUNG (Bar No. 252794)	Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 Telephone: (213) 683-9100			
	jchung@raklaw.com	Facsimile: (213) 687-3702			
7	PHILIP X. WANG (Bar No. 262239) pwang@raklaw.com RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT	PETER A. DETRE (Bar No. 182619)			
8	RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT 12424 Wilshire Boulevard	peter.detre@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP			
9	12th Floor	560 Mission Street Twenty-Seventh Floor			
10	Los Angeles, California 90025 Telephone: (310) 826-7474 Facsimile: (310) 826-6991	San Francisco, California 94105-3089			
11		Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077			
12	Attorneys for Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC				
13		Attorneys for Defendants Google LLC and YouTube. LLC			
	INITED OTATES	S DISTRICT COURT			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
15	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION				
16					
17	REALTIME ADAPTIVE	Case No. 2:18-CV-03629-GW-JC			
18	STREAMING LLC,	JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY			
19	Plaintiff,	CASE PENDING INTER PARTES REVIEW PROCEEDINGS			
20	VS.				
	GOOGLE LLC, and YOUTUBE, LLC,	Judge: Hon. George H. Wu			
21	Defendants.				
22		」			
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
_ , วง					



WHEREAS, Plaintiff Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC ("Plaintiff") asserts the following five patents against Defendants Google LLC and YouTube, LLC (collectively, "Defendants"): U.S. Patent Nos. 7,386,046; 8,934,535; 9,578,298; 9,769,477; and RE46,777 (collectively, the "Asserted Patents");

WHEREAS, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("PTAB") of the United States Patent and Trademark Office has instituted *inter partes* review ("IPR") of four of the five Asserted Patents, which account for 30 of the 32 patent claims asserted by Plaintiff in this action, as detailed on <u>Exhibit A</u> hereto ("Instituted IPRs");

WHEREAS, several additional petitions for IPR of the Asserted Patents remain pending with the PTAB, including a petition challenging the asserted claims in the remaining Asserted Patent, as detailed on <u>Exhibit A</u> hereto ("Pending IPRs");

WHEREAS, Defendants may file with the PTAB additional petitions for IPR of the Asserted Patents ("Google IPRs"), which Defendants must file within a "year after the date on which" Defendants were "served with a complaint alleging infringement of the patent," 35 U.S.C. § 315(b);

WHEREAS, to avoid unnecessary expenditure of judicial and party resources, Plaintiff and Defendants desire to stay this action until the PTAB issues final written decisions in the Instituted IPRs, the Pending IPRs, and any Google IPRs;

WHEREAS, the Court has not yet construed the disputed claim terms in this action and the parties have not engaged in substantial discovery;

WHEREAS, staying this action pending the IPRs will allow the PTAB to issue decisions on whether the challenged patent claims are unpatentable given the prior art grounds set forth in the petitions.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by Plaintiff and Defendants as follows:

STIPULATION TO STAY CASE PENDING INTER PARTES





Case	2:18-cv-03629-GW-JC Document 56 Filed 05/02/19 Page 4 of 5 Page ID #:1464				
Just					
1	I. Zachary M. Priora haraby attact that all signatories listed, and an whose				
2	I, Zachary M. Briers, hereby attest that all signatories listed, and on whose				
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized the				
	filing.				
4					
5	DATED: May 2, 2019 By: /s/ Zachary M. Briers				
6					
7 8					
9					
10					
11 12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					



STIPULATION TO STAY CASE PENDING INTER PARTES

STIPULATION TO STAY CASE PENDING INTER PARTES

Exhibit A

The "Instituted IPRs" are listed in the chart below:

IPR Case Name	IPR No.	Challenged Patent
Netflix, Inc. v. Realtime Adaptive	IPR2018-01169	'535 patent
Streaming LLC		
Netflix, Inc. v. Realtime Adaptive	IPR2018-01187	'477 patent
Streaming LLC		
Netflix, Inc. v. Realtime Adaptive	IPR2018-01189	'777 patent
Streaming LLC		
Netflix, Inc. v. Realtime Adaptive	IPR2018-01227	'298 patent
Streaming LLC		N a
Sling TV, LLC et al. v. Realtime	IPR2018-01342	'535 patent
Adaptive Streaming LLC		,-
Netflix, Inc. v. Realtime Adaptive	IPR2018-01630	'477 patent
Streaming LLC		3.5.

The "Pending IPRs" are listed in the chart below:

IPR Case Name	IPR No.	Challenged Patent
Netflix, Inc. v. Realtime Adaptive	IPR2019-00209	'046 patent
Streaming LLC		,,_
Arris Solutions, Inc. v. Realtime	IPR2019-00674	'535 patent
Adaptive Streaming LLC		2008
Comcast Cable Communications,	IPR2019-00684	'535 patent
LLC v. Realtime Adaptive		
Streaming LLC		
Google LLC v. Realtime Adaptive	IPR2019-00748	'535 patent
Streaming LLC		
Comcast Cable Communications,	IPR2019-00760	'535 patent
LLC v. Realtime Adaptive		
Streaming LLC		
Comcast Cable Communications,	IPR2019-00786	'477 patent
LLC v. Realtime Adaptive		\$50.
Streaming LLC		