TED DANE (SBN 143195)

ted.dane@mto.com

PETER E. GRATZINGER (SBN 228764)

peter.gratzinger@mto.com

HEATHER E. TAKAHASHI (SBN 245845)

heather.takahashi@mto.com

ZACHARY M. BRIERS (SBN 287984)

zachary.briers@mto.com

BRIAŇ J. SPRINGER (SBN 309094)

brian.springer@mto.com

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 350 South Grand Avenue

Fiftieth Floor

Los Angeles, California 90071-3426

Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702

PETER A. DETRE (SBN 182619)

peter.detre@mto.com

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

560 Mission Street Twenty-Seventh Floor

San Francisco, California 94105-3089

Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077

Attorneys for Google LLC and YouTube, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC,

Plaintiff,

VS.

GOOGLE LLC, and YOUTUBE, LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-CV-03629-GW-JC

DEFENDANTS' ELECTION OF ASSERTED PRIOR ART



Pursuant to the Court's May 23, 2019 Scheduling Order (ECF No. 67),
Defendants Google LLC and YouTube, LLC (collectively "Google") elect to assert
the prior art references listed below. Google hereby incorporates by reference the
objections, statements and reservations of rights made in its Invalidity Contentions
regarding the deficiencies in Plaintiff Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC's
("Realtime") infringement contentions. In particular, Google notes that Realtime's
infringement contentions fail to identify "the priority date to which each asserted
claim allegedly is entitled," N.D. Cal. Patent L.R. 3-1(f), stating only that each of
the asserted claims is "entitled to priority dates *at least as early as*" the filing date of
the first related patent application. *See* Invalidity Contentions at 3. In the event that
Realtime makes arguments or produces evidence in support of conception and
reduction to practice dates earlier than the effective filing dates shown on the face of
the Asserted Patents, Google reserves the right to rely on earlier versions of the
references listed below, or to modify its elected prior art references.

Google reserves the right to rely on any prior art references disclosed pursuant to N.D. Cal. Patent L.R. 3-4 and any admissions regarding the prior art or state of the art made in the Asserted Patents themselves for purposes of any tutorial, background explanation of the technology at issue, to show the state of the art relating to the inventions claimed in the Asserted Patents, including any motivation to combine the prior art, or to rebut any denial by Realtime that one or more claim elements were known in the prior art.

A. The '046 Patent 1

Google elects to assert the following prior art references with respect to U.S. Patent No. 7,386,046.

¹ The parties dispute whether Realtime has properly asserted claims from the '046 patent. Realtime's deadline to make its final election of asserted claims was August 2, 2019. ECF No. 67. On the deadline, Realtime sent its elections to Google. Its elections did not include any claims from the '046 patent. On August 9, 2019, Realtime's counsel emailed Google's counsel, stating that it was "amending" its final election to assert claims from the '046 patent. Google's counsel



- 1. Beyda
- 2. Couwenhoven
- 3. Darwin (Gao-Takahashi) System
- 4. Pian
- 5. RealNetworks RealSystem
- 6. Rynderman

B. The '535 Patent

Google elects to assert the following prior art references with respect to U.S. Patent No. 8,934,535.

- 1. Chu
- 2. Dye
- 3. Hsu
- 4. Imai
- 5. Ishii
- 6. Microsoft NetMeeting

C. The '477 Patent

Google elects to assert the following prior art references with respect to U.S. Patent No. 9,769,477.

- 1. Brooks
- 2. Darwin (Gao-Takahashi) System
- 3. Imai
- 4. Microsoft NetMeeting
- 5. Pauls
- 6. RealNetworks RealSystem

informed Realtime that it could not amend its elections after the deadline without leave of Court. *See Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, Inc.*, 2015 WL 7959890, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 4, 2015) (holding that, in order to amend elections, "a party must make a timely showing of good cause and seek



DATED: September 9, 2019

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP TED DANE PETER A. DETRE PETER E. GRATZINGER HEATHER E. TAKAHASHI ZACHARY M. BRIERS BRIAN J. SPRINGER

By: /s/ Zachary Briers
ZACHARY M. BRIERS
Attorneys for Defendants Google LLC and
YouTube, LLC

