UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC, Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No.: 6,993,049 Issued: January 31, 2006 Application No.: 09/876,514 Filed: June 7, 2001 Title: COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

SECOND DECLARATION OF PETER RYSAVY

Dated: May 19, 2020

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKE.

Δ

MICROSOFT - EXHIBIT 1028 MICROSOFT CORP. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC IPR2019-01026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INTRODUCTION AND ENGAGEMENT | 3 |
|------|---|----|
| II. | BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS | 3 |
| III. | MATERIALS CONSIDERED AND INFORMATION RELIED UPON | 3 |
| IV. | CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND LEVEL OF SKILL | 5 |
| | A. Inquiry Message | 6 |
| V. | GROUND 1: LARSSON-BASED COMBINATION | 9 |
| VI. | GROUND 2: 802.11 | 21 |
| VII. | SIGNATURE | 23 |

I, Peter Rysavy, do hereby declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION AND ENGAGEMENT

1. I have been retained as an independent expert by attorneys at Klarquist Sparkman, LLP, who I understand to represent Microsoft Corporation in connection with *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of U.S. Patent No. 6,993,049 (hereinafter "the '049 Patent"). In this report I provide my analyses and opinions on certain technical issues related to the '049 patent.

2. This Second Declaration is in addition to the first declaration that I prepared for the '049 patent PTAB proceedings, signed and dated May 6, 2019 ("First Declaration" or "Rysavy Declaration"). In this Second Declaration, I refer back to and incorporate analysis provided in the First Declaration. For brevity's sake, I aim to not repeat analysis provided in that First Declaration.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

3. The First Declaration lays out my education and professional background in paragraphs 3-11. With this declaration, I attach an updated version of my CV, as Appendix 1.

III. MATERIALS CONSIDERED AND INFORMATION RELIED UPON

4. In addition to the materials identified in paragraph 13 of the First Declaration, in connection with my work on this matter, I have reviewed the following materials and references in forming my opinions. Throughout, this declaration refers to the references by the shorthand form included in bold text

within the parentheticals.

| Ex. No. | Description |
|---------|---|
| 1029 | Modicon Modbus Protocol Reference Guide, PI-MBUS-300, June 1996 (" Modbus Protocol "). |
| 1030 | U.S. Pat. No. 6,434,157 to Dube' et al. (referencing Modbus Protocol). |
| 1031 | ASN.1: Communication Between Heterogeneous Systems, Olivier Dubuisson, June 5, 2000, available at <u>http://www.oss.com/asn1/resources/books-whitepapers-</u> <u>pubs/dubuisson-asn1-book.PDF</u> from <u>http://www.oss.com/asn1/resources/books-whitepapers-pubs/asn1-</u> <u>books.html</u> (" Dubuisson "). |
| 1032 | U.S. Pat. No. 6,687,766 to Casper |
| 1033 | Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Am. Inc., No. 2:18-cv-00040-JRG-RSP, Dkt. No. 69 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 26, 2019) ("Plaintiff's Reply Claim Construction Brief"). |
| 1034 | Qiu et al., A multiple access scheme for multimedia traffic in wireless ATM, IEEE/ACM Mobile Networks and Applications 1:259-272 (Jan. 1996). |
| 1035 | U.S. Pat. No. 6,205,498 to Habusha et al. |
| 1036 | W. Richard Stevens, TCP/IP Illustrated, Volume 1: The Protocols, 1994 (" Stevens ") |
| 1037 | Webster's New World Dictionary, 4th Edition, 1999 ("inquiry") |
| 1038 | U.S. Pat. No. 3,523,281 to Willcox et al. |
| 1039 | U.S. Pat. No. 5,517,488 to Miyazaki et al. |
| 1040 | U.S. Pat. No. 6,119,208 to White et al. |
| 1041 | U.S. Pat. No. 6,141,701 to Whitney |
| 1042 | U.S. Pat. No. 3,701,971 to Sanner et al. |

| Ex. No. | Description |
|---------|---|
| 1043 | U.S. Pat. No. 5,812,639 to Bartholomew et al. |
| 1044 | David Johnson, David Maltz, Carnegie Mellon University, Dynamic Source Routing in Ad Hoc Wireless Networks, 1996, https://www.utdallas.edu/~ksarac/Papers/DSR.pdf |
| 1045 | Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM) Technical Specification: Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+); Mobile radio interface layer 3 specification (GSM 04.08), https://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_gts/04/0408/05.00.00_60/gsmts_0408 v050000p.pdf |
| 1046 | Federal Standard 1037C, Telecommunications: Glossary of Telecommunication Terms, U.S. Federal General Services Administration (Aug. 7, 1996) |

5. I may also reference other background materials throughout this

declaration.

6. I have also read the December 4, 2019, Institution Decision and the

February 26, 2020, Patent Owner Response submitted in this IPR proceeding.

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND LEVEL OF SKILL

7. As stated in my First Declaration, I applied the plain and ordinary

meaning of the challenged claims when rendering my opinion. Since I submitted

my First Declaration, I understand that the Patent Owner has proposed

constructions for the terms "additional data field" and "inquiry message." I

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.