

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2019-01026
Patent 6,993,049

**PETITIONER'S UPDATED
MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8**

Petitioner submits these updated Notices to reflect that the Board issued a final written decision in *Apple Inc., et al. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC*, PTAB IPR2019-00251, finding claims 11 and 12 of the '049 patent (Ex. 1001) unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) and addressing the proper construction of the terms “additional data field” and “inquiry message.” No other changes have been made to the Notices.

I. REAL PARTY-IN-INTEREST (UNCHANGED)

Microsoft Corporation is the sole real party-in-interest.

II. RELATED MATTERS (UPDATED)

The '049 patent (Ex. 1001) is or was asserted in the following litigations:

- *Uniloc 2017 LLC v. HTC America, Inc.*, 2:18-cv-01727 (W.D. Wash.), filed November 30, 2018;
- *Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Motorola Mobility, LLC*, 1:18-cv-01840 (D. Del.), filed November 20, 2018;
- *Uniloc 2017 LLC v. ZTE, Inc. et al.*, 3:18-cv-03063 (N.D. Tex.), filed November 17, 2018;
- *Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Blackberry Corporation*, 3:18-cv-03068 (N.D. Tex.), filed November 17, 2018;
- *Uniloc USA Inc., et al. v. LG Electronics USA Inc., et al.*, 5:18-cv-06738 (N.D. Cal.), filed November 6, 2018;

- *Uniloc USA Inc., et al., v. ZTE (USA) Inc., et al.*, 3:18-cv-02839 (N.D. Tex.) filed October 24, 2018;
- *Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Microsoft Corporation*, 8:18-cv-01279 (C.D. Cal.), filed July 24, 2018;
- *Uniloc USA, Inc. v. ZTE (USA), Inc.*, 2:18-cv-00307 (E.D. Tex.), filed July 23, 2018;
- *Uniloc USA Inc. v. Blackberry Corporation*, 3:18-cv-01885 (N.D. Tex.), filed July 23, 2018;
- *Uniloc USA, Inc., v. Huawei Device USA, Inc.*, 2:18-cv-00074 (E.D. Tex.), filed March 13, 2018;
- *Uniloc USA Inc. v. LG Electronics USA Inc.*, 3:18-cv-00559 (N.D. Tex.), filed March 9, 2018;
- *Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Logitech, Inc.*, 5:18-cv-01304 (N.D. Cal.), filed February 28, 2018;
- *Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.*, 2:18-cv-00040 (E.D. Tex.), filed February 23, 2018;
- *Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.*, 1:18-cv-00164 (W.D. Tex.), filed February 22, 2018;
- *Uniloc USA, Inc. et al. v. Apple, Inc.*, 5-19-cv-01695 (N.D. Cal.), filed February 22, 2018.

- *Uniloc USA, Inc. et al. v. LG Electronics USA, Inc. et al.*, 8:18-cv-02054 (Fed. Cir.) (opinion issued as *Uniloc USA, Inc. v. LG Elecs. USA, Inc.*, 957 F.3d 1303, 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2020) (reversing Rule 12(c) order finding Section 101 invalidity));

The '049 patent (Ex. 1001) is or was subject to the following Patent Office proceedings:

- *Apple Inc., et al. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC*, PTAB IPR2019-00251, filed on November 12, 2018 (**final written decision issued on July 20, 2020, finding claims 11 and 12 unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) and addressing the proper construction of the terms “additional data field” and “inquiry message”**);
- *LG Electronics Inc. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC*, PTAB IPR2019-01530, on August 22, 2019.

**III. LEAD AND BACK-UP COUNSEL
AND SERVICE INFORMATION (UNCHANGED)**

Lead Counsel	Back-up Counsel
Andrew M. Mason, Reg. No. 64,034 andrew.mason@klarquist.com	Todd M. Siegel, Reg. No. 73,232 todd.siegel@klarquist.com Joseph T. Jakubek, Reg. No. 34,190 joseph.jakubek@klarquist.com John M. Lunsford, Reg. No. 67,185 john.lunsford@klarquist.com Sarah E. Jelsema, Reg. No. 70,804 Sarah.jelsema@klarquist.com
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP 121 SW Salmon Street, Suite 1600 Portland, Oregon, 97204 503-595-5300 (phone) 503-595-5301 (fax)	

Petitioner consents to service via email at the above email addresses.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 23, 2020

By: /Andrew M. Mason/
Andrew M. Mason (Reg. No. 64,034)
andrew.mason@klarquist.com
Todd M. Siegel (Reg. No. 73,232)
todd.siegel@klarquist.com
Joseph T. Jakubek, (Reg. No. 34,190)
joseph.jakubek@klarquist.com
John M. Lunsford, Reg. No. 67,185
john.lunsford@klarquist.com
Sarah E. Jelsema, Reg. No. 70,804
Sarah.jelsema@klarquist.com
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP
One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland, Oregon 97204

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.