

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

FIRSTFACE CO., LTD.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-01011

U.S. Patent No. 9,633,373

DECLARATION OF BENJAMIN B. BEDERSON

Apple Ex. 1003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. Engagement	1
B. Background and Qualifications	2
C. Basis of My Opinion and Materials Considered.....	7
D. Legal Standards for Patentability.....	8
II. DESCRIPTION OF THE RELEVANT FIELD AND THE RELEVANT TIMEFRAME	10
III. THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE RELEVANT FIELD IN THE RELEVANT TIMEFRAME	11
IV. TECHNICAL BACKGROUND AND STATE OF THE ART	12
A. Basic Operation of Mobile Devices.....	12
B. Security.....	15
C. Hardware / Software Integration.....	16
V. OVERVIEW OF THE '373 patent	18
VI. CLAIM INTERPRETATION	21
VII. DISCUSSION OF RELEVANT PRIOR ART	21
A. Brief Summary of Griffin (Ex. 1027) in view of Davis (Ex. 1015) and iOS (Ex. 1007)	21
B. Chart of claims 10 and 18 as obvious in view of Griffin, Davis, and iOS	40
C. Brief Summary of Goertz (Ex. 1013) in view of Davis (Ex. 1015) and iOS (Ex. 1007)	61

D. Chart of claims 10 and 18 as obvious in view of Goertz, Davis, and iOS77

VIII. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS95

IX. CONCLUSION97

I, Benjamin B. Bederson, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Benjamin B. Bederson. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and am competent to make this Declaration.

A. Engagement

2. I submit this report on behalf of Apple Inc. in connection with its request for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 9,633,373 (“the ’373 patent”)—to review and to provide my opinion on the scope and content of “prior art” predating the application for the ’373 patent and regarding the subject matter recited in the claims of the ’373 patent. I understand that this Declaration relates to a petition for the above-captioned *inter partes* review (IPR) of the ’373 patent specifically addressing claims 10 and 18. Claims 10 and 18 depend from claims 1 and 11 of the ’373 patent, for which I submitted my opinion in connection with an *inter partes* review petition filed earlier this year (IPR2019-00613). Because of this dependency, I repeat my opinion regarding the subject matter of claims 1 and 11 below.

3. For my efforts in connection with the preparation of this declaration, I have been compensated at my standard hourly consulting rate of \$600. My compensation is in no way contingent on the results of these or any other proceedings relating to the above-captioned patent. I have no expectation or promise of additional business with the petitioner in exchange for the positions explained herein.

4. I make this declaration based on personal knowledge.

B. Background and Qualifications

5. A detailed description of my professional qualifications, including a listing of my specialties/expertise and professional activities, is contained in my curriculum vitae, a copy of which is attached as Appendix A. In what follows, I provide a short summary of my professional qualifications.

6. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Computer Science with a minor in Electrical Engineering from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (“RPI”) in 1986. I received a Master of Science degree and a Ph.D. in Computer Science from New York University (“NYU”) in 1989 and 1992, respectively.

7. Since 1998, I have been a Professor of Computer Science at the University of Maryland (“UMD”), where I have joint appointments at the Institute for Advanced Computer Studies and the College of Information Studies (Maryland’s “iSchool”), and am currently on leave. I was also Associate Provost of Learning Initiatives and Executive Director of the Teaching and Learning Transformation Center from 2014 to 2018. I am a member and previous director of the Human-Computer Interaction Lab (“HCIL”), the oldest and one of the best known Human-Computer Interaction research groups in the country. I was also co-founder and Chief Scientist of Zumobi, Inc. from 2006 to 2014, a Seattle-based startup that is a publisher of content applications and advertising platforms for smartphones. I am also co-founder and

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.