

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

OMNI MEDSCI, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 9,651,533

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2019-00916

**Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 9,651,533**

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Certification; Grounds	2
	A. Petitioner May Contest the '533 Patent (§ 42.104(a))	2
	B. Identification of Claims Being Challenged (§ 42.104(b))	3
	C. Fee for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review (§ 42.15(a))	3
	D. Service on Patent Owner (§ 42.105)	3
III.	Background Technology	4
	A. Photoplethysmography	4
	B. Prevailing Industry Trends Before 2012	6
IV.	The '533 Patent	12
	A. The '533 Patent Is Subject to AIA	12
	B. File History	16
	C. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	16
V.	Claim Construction.....	17
VI.	Detailed Explanation Why the '533 Patent Claims Are Unpatentable ..	20
	A. Lisogurski in View of Carlson Renders Obvious Claims 5, 7-10, 13, and 15-17	21
	1. Overview of Lisogurski	21
	2. Overview of Carlson	23
	3. A Skilled Person Would Have Modified Lisogurski to Incorporate Elements Shown in Carlson	24
	4. Theoretical Distinctions Between Lisogurski and Independent Claims 5, 7-9, 13, and 15-17	26
	5. Comparison of Claim 13 to Lisogurski and Carlson.....	28
	a) Preamble	28
	b) “a wearable measurement device...”	28

- c) “the light source configured to increase signal-to-noise ratio”30
- d) “the wearable measurement device comprising a plurality of lenses configured to receive a portion of the output optical beam and to deliver an analysis output beam to a sample”39
- e) “the wearable measurement device further comprising a receiver configured to receive and process at least a portion of the analysis output beam reflected or transmitted from the sample and to generate an output signal, wherein the wearable measurement device receiver is configured to be synchronized to pulses of the light source”44
- f) “a personal device...”49
- g) “a remote device...”53
- 6. Comparison of Claim 5 to Lisogurski and Carlson.....55
 - a) Preamble55
 - b) “a light source comprising a plurality of ... light emitting diodes ...”56
 - c) “the light source configured to increase signal-to-noise ratio....”56
 - d) “an apparatus comprising a plurality of lenses configured to receive a portion of the output optical beam and to deliver an analysis output beam to a sample”56
 - e) “a receiver configured to receive and process at least a portion of the analysis output beam reflected or transmitted from the sample and to generate an output signal, wherein the receiver is configured to be synchronized to pulses of the light source”56
 - f) “a personal device...”57
 - g) “a remote device configured to receive over the wireless transmission link an output status comprising the at least a portion of the processed output signal, to process the received output status to generate processed data and to store the processed data.”57

- 7. Comparison of Dependent Claims to Lisogurski and Carlson .58
 - a) Transmitting GPS and Time Data as Specified in Claims 7 and 13 Would Have Been Obvious from Carlson.....58
 - b) Claims 8 and 1660
 - c) Claims 9 and 1762
 - d) Claim 1063
- B. Lisogurski, Carlson, and Mannheimer Render Obvious Claims 8-9 and 16-1763**
 - 1. Overview of Mannheimer64
 - 2. A Skilled Person Would Have Modified Lisogurski and Carlson to Incorporate Elements Shown in Mannheimer.....64
 - a) Claims 8 and 1666
 - b) Claims 9 and 1768
- C. No Secondary Considerations Exist.....69**
- VII. Conclusion70**
- Claim Appendix1**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Droplets, Inc. v. E*TRADE Bank</i> , 887 F.3d 1309 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	15
<i>Hologic, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 884 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	13
<i>Lockwood v. American Airlines</i> , 107 F.3d 1565 (1997).....	13
<i>Sinorgchem Co., Shandong v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 511 F.3d 1132 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	18
 Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102	23
35 U.S.C. § 103	3
35 U.S.C. § 112	13
35 U.S.C. § 315(b).....	3
 Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. 1.57(d)	15
37 CFR § 42.15(a)	3
M.P.E.P. 2159.02.....	13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.