UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD APPLE INC., Petitioner, v. OMNI MEDSCI, INC., Patent Owner. U.S. Patent No. 9,651,533 IPR Case No.: IPR2019-00916

DECLARATION OF DUNCAN L. MACFARLANE, Ph.D., P.E.



Case No.: IPR2019-00916 Atty. Dkt. No.: OMSC0110IPR2 Patent No.: 9,651,533

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List o	f Exhi	bits	3
I.	Summary of My Opinions		
II.	Qualifications and Professional Experience		
III.	Relevant Legal Standards		
IV.	Qualifications of one of ordinary skill in the art		
V.	Summary of the '533 Patent		
VI.	Chall	enged claims of the '533 patent	12
VII.	Claim Construction		
	A. B. C.	District Court Claim Constructions "pulse rate" "the light source configured to increase signal-to-noise ratio	
		by increasing a pulse rate."	14
VIII.	Overview of the prior art		
	A. B. C.	U.S. Patent No. 9,241,676 to Lisogurski	23
IX.	Rebuttal Opinions		28
	A. B.	Lisogurski does not disclose a "light source configured to increase signal-to-noise ratio by increasing a pulse rate."	28
	ъ.	increase signal-to-noise ratio by increasing a pulse rate."	33
	C.	Lisogurski and Carlson, taken together, do not render the challenged claims obvious.	
X.	Conclusion		



Case No.: IPR2019-00916 Atty. Dkt. No.: OMSC0110IPR2 Patent No.: 9,651,533

List of Exhibits

Exhibit	Description
2120	PCT Application Serial No. PCT/US2013/075767
	(Publication No. WO/2014/143276)
2121	U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 14/109,007
	(Publication No. 2014/0236021)
2123	Curriculum Vitae of Duncan L. MacFarlane, Ph.D,
	P.E.



Case No.: IPR2019-00916 Atty. Dkt. No.: OMSC0110IPR2

Patent No.: 9,651,533

I, Duncan L. MacFarlane, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am making this declaration at the request of Patent Owner, Omni MedSci, Inc., in the matter of *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,651,533 ("the '533 Patent") to Omni MedSci, Inc.

- 2. I am being compensated for my work in this matter at a rate of \$425/hour. My compensation in no way depends on the outcome of this proceeding.
 - 3. In preparation of this declaration, I have reviewed:
 - Apple's petition for *inter partes* review, the challenged patents and claims, the prior art cited in Apple's petition, Dr. Anthony's declaration supporting Apple's petition, the Board's Institution Decision, the other documents cited in these documents, and other documents cited in my analysis below.
 - The relevant legal standards, including the standard for obviousness provided in *KSR International Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.*, 550 U.S. 398 (2007); and
 - My knowledge and experience based upon my work and study in this area as described below.

I. Summary of My Opinions

4. The Board correctly determined in the Institution Decision that Lisogurski does not disclose a "light source . . . configured to increase signal-to-



Case No.: IPR2019-00916 Atty. Dkt. No.: OMSC0110IPR2

Patent No.: 9,651,533

noise ratio by . . . increasing a pulse rate."

5. Carlson does not disclose a "light source . . . configured to increase signal-to-noise ratio by . . . increasing a pulse rate."

6. Lisogurski and Carlson, when taken together, neither disclose nor render obvious the challenged claims of the '533 patent.

II. Qualifications and Professional Experience

- 7. I have provided my full background in my curriculum vitae. (Ex. 2123.) The following provides an overview of some of my experience that is relevant to the matters set forth in this declaration.
- 8. I am a Professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering at The Bobby B. Lyle School of Engineering at Southern Methodist University (SMU) in Dallas, Texas. At SMU, I am the Associate Dean for Engineering Entrepreneurship and the Bobby B. Lyle Centennial Chair in Engineering Entrepreneurship. I previously served as Acting Executive Director of the Hart Center for Engineering Leadership at SMU. I am Executive Director of the Hart Institute for Technology, Innovation and Entrepreneurship.
- 9. I am also Professor Emeritus of Electrical Engineering at The Erik Jonsson School of Engineering and Computer Science at the University of Texas at Dallas. At UT Dallas, I was an Assistant Professor of Electrical Engineering from 1989 to 1994, an Associate Professor of Electrical Engineering from 1994 to 2001,



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

