

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

OMNI MEDSCI, INC.,
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 9,651,533

IPR Case No.: IPR2019-00913

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities	iv
List of Exhibits.....	vi
I. Introduction.....	1
II. The Board should deny the Petition on procedural grounds under 35 U.S.C. § 314 and § 325(d).....	3
A. The District Court will resolve the validity arguments before any IPR trial concludes	5
B. Petitioner does not identify any material differences between the Petition and the Parallel ‘916 Petition.....	6
C. The Petition presents arguments that are “substantially similar” to those already considered and overcome during prosecution	10
III. Claim Construction.....	14
A. “ <i>Beam</i> ” and “ <i>optical beam</i> ”	15
B. “Plurality of lenses”.....	16
C. “Pulse rate”	17
IV. The ‘533 Patent.....	18
A. The ‘533 Patent discloses innovative systems for making accurate non-invasive physiological measurements	18
B. Priority Date	21
V. The Board should deny the Petition because Apple has failed to establish a reasonable likelihood of prevailing as to any claim	22
A. Ground 1: Claims 5, 7-10, 13, 15-17 are not obvious over LeBoeuf/Valencell-093 and LeBoeuf/Valencell-099	22
1. The combination of LeBoeuf/Valencell-093 and LeBoeuf/Valencell-099 does not teach the Increasing Limitation: a “ <i>light source configured to increase signal-to-noise ratio [A] by increasing a light intensity from at least one of the plurality of semiconductor sources and [B] by increasing a pulse rate of at least one of the plurality of semiconductor sources</i> ”	22

a.	The combination of LeBoeuf/Valencell-093 and LeBoeuf/Valencell-099 does not teach a “ <u>light source configured to increase signal-to-noise ratio by increasing a light intensity from at least one of the plurality of semiconductor sources</u> ”	23
b.	The combination of LeBoeuf/Valencell-093 and LeBoeuf/Valencell-099 does not teach a “ <u>light source configured to increase signal-to-noise ratio . . . by increasing a pulse rate of at least one of the plurality of semiconductor sources</u> ”	25
2.	The combination of LeBoeuf/Valencell-093 and LeBoeuf/Valencell-099 does not teach the Synchronization Limitation: the “ <u>receiver is configured to be synchronized to pulses of the light source</u> ”	27
3.	The combination of LeBoeuf/Valencell-093 and LeBoeuf/Valencell-099 does not teach the Arrangement Limitation: a “ <u>receiver</u> ” arranged “ <u>such that the receiver receives a first signal from the first light emitting diode and a second signal from the second light emitting diode</u> ”	31
B.	Ground 2: Claims 5, 7-10, 13, 15-17 are not obvious over LeBoeuf/Valencell-093, LeBoeuf/Valencell-099, and Carlson	34
1.	The combination of LeBoeuf/Valencell-093, LeBoeuf/Valencell-099, and Carlson does not teach: the “ <u>increasing a light intensity</u> ” portion of the Increasing Limitation, the Synchronization Limitation, nor the Arrangement Limitation	34
2.	The combination of LeBoeuf/Valencell-093, LeBoeuf/Valencell-099, and Carlson does not teach the “ <u>increasing a pulse rate</u> ” portion of the Increasing Limitation	35
C.	Ground 3: Claims 8-9 and 16-17 are not obvious over LeBoeuf/Valencell-093, LeBoeuf/Valencell-099, and Mannheimer	37
1.	The combination of LeBoeuf/Valencell-093, LeBoeuf/Valencell-099, and Mannheimer does not teach the Increasing Limitation nor the Synchronization Limitation	37

2.	A POSA would not have been motivated to combine LeBoeuf/Valencell-093, LeBoeuf/Valencell-099, and Mannheimer	38
VI.	Conclusion	42
	Certificate of Service	43
	Certificate of Compliance Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.24	44

Table of Authorities

Cases

<i>Adidas AG v. Nike, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00922, Paper 21, 36 (PTAB Oct. 19, 2017).....	41
<i>Becton Dickenson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG</i> , IPR2017-01586, slip op. 17-18 (Paper 8) (PTAB Dec. 15, 2017)	11
<i>Comcast Cable Comms. v. Rovi Guides, Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00232, Paper 14 at 12-13 (PTAB May 20, 2019).....	7
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S.Ct. 2131 (2016).....	3
<i>General Plastic Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , IPR2016-01357, slip. op. 16-17 (Paper 19) (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017)	10
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	25, 27, 30, 34, 37
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2006).....	41
<i>NHK Spring Co., LTD v. Intri-Plex Technologies, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 at 20 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2018)	5, 6
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	6, 15
<i>Ruiz v. A.B. Chance Co.</i> , 357 F.3d 1270 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	41

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.