

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

IOENGINE, LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil Action No. 14-1571-GMS
	)	
v.	)	
	)	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
INTERACTIVE MEDIA CORP. D/B/A	)	
KANGURU SOLUTIONS,	)	
	)	<b>PUBLIC VERSION</b>
Defendant.	)	
	)	
	)	
<hr/>		
IOENGINE, LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff and Counterclaim-	)	Civil Action No. 14-1572-GMS
Defendant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
	)	
IMATION CORP.,	)	
	)	<b>PUBLIC VERSION</b>
Defendant and Counterclaim-	)	
Plaintiff.	)	
	)	
	)	
<hr/>		

**PLAINTIFF IOENGINE, LLC's OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. BACKGROUND ..... 2

III. LEGAL STANDARD..... 3

IV. IOENGINE’S PROPOSED TERMS FOR CONSTRUCTION ..... 3

    A. IOENGINE’s Proposed Constructions Are Based on the Intrinsic Evidence, Reflect the Essence of the Invention, and Aid the Jury ..... 4

        1. “Program Code” is a Sequence of Instructions that Can be Run ..... 4

        2. “Program Code” in the Construed Terms is Run From the Portable Device’s Memory..... 5

    B. Defendants’ Alternative Constructions are Improper ..... 7

        1. Defendants’ Proposed Constructions Do Not Reflect the ’047 Patent’s Requirement that Program Code is Run From the Portable Device Memory..... 8

        2. Defendants’ Proposed Constructions Improperly Delete “Program Code” From the Claims ..... 9

V. DEFENDANTS’ PROPOSED TERMS FOR CONSTRUCTION ..... 10

    A. Defendants’ Constructions are Fundamentally Flawed ..... 10

        1. Defendants’ Artificial Limitation of “Without Relying on the Processing Power of the Host Computer Processor” is Unsupportable.... 11

        2. Defendants’ Constructions Improperly Re-Write Other Claim Limitations ..... 13

        3. Defendants’ Construction of “Second Program Code” Conflates “Cause” with “Control” ..... 15

        4. Defendants’ Proposed Constructions Would Only Confuse the Jury ..... 16

VI. IMC’S PROPOSED TERMS FOR CONSTRUCTION..... 17

    A. IMC’s Proposed Constructions are Unsupported and Unnecessary ..... 17

        1. “Portable Device” ..... 17

2.	“Processor” .....	19
3.	“Memory” .....	20
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	20

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**CASES**

*Alcon Research, Ltd. v. Barr Labs. Inc.*,  
 No. 09-CV-0318-LDD, 2011 WL 3901878 (D. Del. Sept. 6, 2011) ..... 9

*Becton, Dickinson and Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Grp., LP*,  
 616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... 9

*Innova/Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Sys., Inc.*,  
 381 F.3d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 13

*L’Oréal S.A. v. Johnson & Johnson Consumer Co.*,  
 No. CV 12-98-GMS, 2013 WL 3788803 (D. Del. July 19, 2013)..... 9

*Lewmar Marine, Inc. v. Bariant, Inc.*,  
 827 F.2d 744 (Fed. Cir. 1987)..... 8

*Lockwood v. Am. Airlines, Inc.*,  
 107 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1997)..... 8

*Medtronic Navigation, Inc. v. BrainLab Medizinische Computersysteme GmbH*,  
 222 F. App’x 952 (Fed. Cir. 2007) ..... 7

*Multiform Desiccants, Inc. v. Medzam, Ltd.*,  
 133 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1998)..... 3

*Omega Eng’g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.*,  
 334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003)..... 13

*Phillips v. AWH Corp.*,  
 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (*en banc*) ..... 3, 7, 14

*Power-One, Inc. v. Artesyn Techs., Inc.*,  
 599 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... 16

*Renishaw PLC v. Marposs Societa’ per Azioni*,  
 158 F.3d 1243 (Fed. Cir. 1998)..... 3

*SanDisk Corp. v. Memorex Prods., Inc.*,  
 415 F.3d 1278 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... 13

*Schumer v. Lab. Comput. Sys., Inc.*,  
 308 F.3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2002)..... 18

*Trusted Knight Corp. v. Int’l Bus. Machs. Corp.*,  
 C.A. No. 14-1063-LPS-CJB, 2015 WL 7307134 (D. Del. Nov. 19, 2015)..... 3

*Vitronics Corp. v. Conception, Inc.*,  
90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)..... 7, 14, 15

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

IEEE 100: The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms (7th ed. 2000)..... 5, 19, 20  
Microsoft Computer Dictionary (5th ed. 2002) ..... 4, 19  
Modern Dictionary of Electronics (7th ed. 1999)..... 19

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.