IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IOENGINE, LLC, Plaintiff, v. PAYPAL HOLDINGS, INC., Defendant. INGENICO INC., Plaintiff, v. IOENGINE, LLC, Defendant. IOENGINE, LLC, Counterclaim Plaintiff, v. INGENICO INC., INGENICO CORP., and INGENICO GROUP, S.A.,

Counterclaim Defendants.

Civil Action No. 18-452-WCB

Civil Action No. 18-826-WCB



MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Before this Court are motions by Ingenico Inc., Ingenico Corp., and Ingenico Group S.A. (collectively, "Ingenico") and by PayPal Holdings, Inc., ("PayPal") for stays of Civil Action Nos. 18-452 and 18-826 pending *inter partes* review ("IPR") in IPR2019-00416 by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("PTAB"). The motions are granted.

BACKGROUND

IOENGINE, LLC ("IOENGINE") is the assignee of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,539,047 ("the '047 patent"), 9,059,969 ("the '969 patent"), and 9,774,703 ("the '703 patent"), each of which is entitled "Apparatus, Method and System for a Tunneling Client Access Point." *IOENGINE LLC v. PayPal Holdings, Inc.*, No. 18-cv-452 ("*PayPal Action*"), Dkt. No. 1, at 4. The applications that led to the '047, '969, and '703 patents were continuation applications from U.S. Patent Application No. 10/807,731, which issued as U.S. Patent No. 7,861,006 ("the '006 patent"). *Id.*; *PayPal Action*, Dkt. No. 60, at 1 n.1.

On March 23, 2018, IOENGINE filed a complaint against PayPal in a case designated as Civil Action No. 18-452. The complaint alleged that PayPal had infringed the '047, '969, and '703 patents in connection with mobile credit card reader products that included products that Ingenico, Inc., had supplied to PayPal. *PayPal Action*, Dkt. No. 1, at 4; *Ingenico Inc. v. IOENGINE LLC, Inc.*, No. 18-cv-826 ("*Ingenico Action*"), Dkt. No. 1, at 2–3. The filing of the complaint against PayPal triggered an indemnity request by PayPal to Ingenico Inc. *Ingenico Action*, Dkt. No. 1, at 3.

In response, on June 1, 2018, Ingenico Inc. filed a declaratory judgment action against IOENGINE, in a case designated as Civil Action No. 18-826. Ingenico Inc. sought a declaration of non-infringement of the three patents-in suit in the *PayPal Action*. IOENGINE filed an answer



to Ingenico Inc.'s declaratory judgment complaint on August 17, 2018, and included counterclaims alleging infringement of the same three patents not only by Ingenico Inc., but also by two related companies, Ingenico Corp. and Ingenico Group S.A.

The three asserted patents contain a total of 189 claims. IOENGINE's infringement contentions, served on March 1, 2019, asserted 20 of those 189 claims: claims 1-2, 4, 12, and 25 of the '047 patent; claims 2-4 and 7 of the '969 patent; and claims 55-57, 61, 100, 104-06, 110-11, and 123 of the '703 patent. *Ingenico Action*, Dkt. No. 104, at 2.

Between December 2018 and April 2019, PayPal and Ingenico separately filed a total of 12 petitions for *inter partes* review. Collectively, the petitions challenged all the asserted claims of the three patents in suit and certain other claims of those patents as well. The tables below summarize the subject matter and timeline for each of those IPR petitions:

Ingenico IPRs

IPR	Challenged	Challenged	Filing	Institution	Final
Petition	Patent	Claims	Date	Decision	Written
Number				Date/Due	Decision
				Date	Due Date
IPR2019-	'047 patent	1-21, 23-25, 27, 28	12/17/2018	07/15/2019	07/15/2020
00416	_				
IPR2019-	'703 patent	1-54	01/22/2019	08/12/2019	08/12/2020
00584	-				
IPR2019-	'969 patent	1-8, 10-16, 19-21,	03/25/2019	10/16/2019	10/16/2020
00879	_	24-29			
IPR2019-	'703 patent	55-63, 65-72, 74,	04/05/2019	10/10/2019	10/10/2020
00929	-	75, 77, 78, 81-87,			
		89, 90, 92-98, 100,			
		101, 103-112, 114-			
		121, 123, 124, 126-			
		129			



PayPal IPRs

IPR Petition Number	Challenged Patents	Challenged Claims	Filing Date	Institution Decision Date/Due Date	Final Written Decision Due Date
IPR2019- 00884	'047 patent	1-9, 12-16, 18-31	03/29/2019	10/17/2019	10/17/2020
IPR2019- 00885	'047 patent	1, 7, 9-11, 14, 16- 17	03/29/2019	10/17/2019	10/17/2020
IPR2019- 00886	'047 patent	1-4, 6-9, 12-16, 18-31	03/29/2019	11/10/2019	11/10/2020
IPR2019- 00887	'047 patent	5, 10-11, 17	03/29/2019	11/10/2019	11/10/2020
IPR2019- 00906	'969 patent	1-22, 24-29	04/04/2019	12/06/2019	12/06/2020
IPR2019- 00907	'969 patent	1-22, 24-29	04/04/2019	12/06/2019	12/06/2020
IPR2019- 00930	'703 patent	55-72, 74-75, 77- 79, 81-87, 89-90, 92-98, 100-101, 103-121, 123-124, 126-129	04/08/2019	11/15/2019	11/15/2020
IPR2019- 00931	'703 patent	55-72, 74-75, 77- 79, 81-87, 89-90, 92-98, 100-101, 103-121, 123-124, 126-129	04/08/2019	11/15/2019	11/15/2020

The PTAB has already acted on two of Ingenico's IPR petitions. On July 15, 2019, the PTAB granted the petition in IPR2019-00416, and on August 12, 2019, it denied the petition in IPR2019-00584.¹

In its decision instituting review in IPR2019-00416, the PTAB construed the terms "interactive user interface" and "communicate through the terminal network interface with the communications network node"/"cause a communication to be sent through the terminal network

¹ As PayPal points out, Dkt. No. 114, at 4, IPR2019-00584 sought review of claims are not at issue in the two district court actions.



interface to a communications network node," which are two of the eight terms that the parties identified as disputed terms in their Joint Claim Construction Chart in the district court cases. *Ingenico Inc. v. IOENGINE, LLC*, No. IPR2019-00416, Paper 20 (P.T.A.B. July 15, 2019); *PayPal Action*, Dkt. No. 91, at 1–2.

On July 17, 2019, Ingenico moved for a stay of the *Ingenico Action* pending the resolution of IPR2019-00416. *Ingenico Action*, Dkt. No. 103. Thereafter, on July 30, 2019, PayPal moved for a stay of the *PayPal Action* pending the resolution of the same IPR. *PayPal Action*, Dkt. No. 93. At the current stage of the proceeding, the parties are engaged in claim construction briefing and have conducted a significant amount of discovery. The following case milestones, however, lie ahead:

- (1) a claim construction hearing is scheduled for August 29, 2019;
- (2) fact discovery is continuing and does not close until October 30, 2019;
- (3) all depositions remain to be taken;
- (4) expert discovery has yet to begin; it is scheduled to close on February 18, 2020;
- (5) a pretrial conference is scheduled for July 20, 2020; and
- (6) trials in the two cases are scheduled to be conducted in July and August 2020.

See PayPal Action, Dkt. No. 49; Ingenico Action, Dkt. No. 57; see also PayPal Action, Dkt. No. 94, at 5; Ingenico Action, Dkt. No. 104, at 2.

DISCUSSION

The question whether a stay of district court proceedings should be granted when *inter* partes review is instituted on some or all of the claims at issue in the district court litigation has arisen frequently since the enactment of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act ("AIA") in 2011.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

