

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SANDOZ INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

PHARMACYCLICS LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00865
U.S. Patent No. 9,795,604

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Claim Construction.....	2
	A. Petitioner Disregards the Intrinsic Record.....	2
	B. Petitioner Is Wrong on the Law	4
III.	Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated that the Challenged Claims Are Anticipated.....	6
	A. Claims 4, 13, and 15, and Claims Depending Therefrom, Are Not Anticipated (Steroid-Resistant/Refractory Claims)	7
	B. The '085 Publication Does Not Anticipate the Recited Clinical Efficacies (Claims 6-8, 29-31, 44-46, and 51-53).....	9
	C. Petitioner Has Not Rebutted the Non-Enablement of the '085 Publication (All Claims).....	12
IV.	Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated that the Challenged Claims Are Obvious.....	15
	A. Ground 2: the '085 Publication in view of a POSA's knowledge	16
	B. Ground 3: the '085 Publication in view of Shimabukuro-Vornhagen and Herman	19
	1. Petitioner fails to address numerous facts negating a reasonable expectation of success.....	19
	2. Petitioner oversimplifies and mischaracterizes the state of the art.....	20
	C. Ground 4: the '085 Publication in view of Shimabukuro-Vornhagen and Uckun.....	22
V.	Petitioner Fails to Counter Objective Indicia of Nonobviousness	25
	A. Petitioner Does Not Rebut the Nexus Between the Challenged Claims and the Objective Evidence	25

B. Petitioner Fails to Rebut Evidence Demonstrating
Nonobviousness.....26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Allergan, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 726 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	4
<i>Allergan Sales LLC v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 935 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	3, 4, 5, 6
<i>Bristol-Meyers Squibb Co. v. Ben Venue Labs., Inc.</i> , 246 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	5, 11
<i>In re Copaxone Consolidated Cases</i> , 906 F.3d 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	5
<i>Donghee Am., Inc. v. Plastic Omnium Advanced Innovation & Research</i> , IPR2017-01605, Paper 43 (PTAB Dec. 11, 2018)	13
<i>Ferrum Ferro Capital, LLC v. Allergan Sales, LLC</i> , IPR2015-00858, Paper 10 (PTAB Sept. 21, 2015).....	5
<i>Forest Labs., LLC v. Sigmapharm Labs., LLC</i> , 918 F.3d 928 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	26
<i>Fox Factory, Inc. v. SRAM, LLC</i> , 944 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	25
<i>Galderma Labs., L.P. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 799 F. App'x 838 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	10
<i>Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Mustek Sys., Inc.</i> , 340 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	11
<i>Hoffer v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 405 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	3
<i>Impax Labs., Inc. v. Aventis Pharms., Inc.</i> , 468 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	14

<i>Impax Labs., Inc. v. Aventis Pharms. Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	14
<i>Jansen v. Rexall Sundown, Inc.</i> , 342 F.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	4
<i>King Pharm., Inc. v. Eon Labs, Inc.</i> , 616 F.3d 1267 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	10, 11
<i>LA Biomedical Research Inst. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 849 F.3d 1049 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	4, 5, 6
<i>Minton v. Nat’l Assoc. of Sec. Dealers, Inc.</i> , 336 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	4
<i>In re Montgomery</i> , 677 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	11, 12
<i>Mylan v. Aventis</i> , IPR2016-00712, Paper 112 (PTAB Oct. 22, 2019).....	5
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	8
<i>Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co. Ltd.</i> , 851 F.3d 1270 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	8
<i>Novartis Pharms. Corp. v. West-Ward Pharms. Int’l</i> , 923 F.3d 1051 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	20
<i>OSI Pharms., LLC v. Apotex Inc.</i> , 939 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	24
<i>Packers Plus Energy Servs. Inc. v. Baker Hughes Oilfield Operations, LLC</i> , IPR2016-01000, Paper 34 (PTAB Nov. 6, 2017).....	12
<i>Par Pharm., Inc. v. TWi Pharm., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	10
<i>Perricone v. Medicis Pharm. Corp.</i> , 432 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	8

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.