

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SANDOZ INC.,
Petitioner

v.

PHARMACYCLICS LLC,
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 9,795,604 to Byrd *et al.*

Issue Date: October 24, 2017

Title: Methods of Treating and Preventing Graft Versus Host Disease

Inter Partes Review No.: IPR2019-00865

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,795,604

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	2
A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	2
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).	2
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel and Service Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3) and 42.8(b)(4).	3
III. PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	3
IV. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	4
V. BACKGROUND TECHNOLOGY AND THE '604 PATENT	4
A. Background Technology.	4
1. Ibrutinib.....	4
2. Graft Versus Host Disease.....	6
a. Two Types of GVHD: Acute and Chronic.....	7
b. Chronic GVHD.....	9
B. The '604 Patent	10
1. Lineage of the '604 Patent.	10
2. Summary of Relevant Prosecution History.	10
3. Claims of the '604 Patent.....	11
4. Claim Construction.	12
a. “Therapeutically Effective Amount.”.....	14
b. “Thereby Treating the Chronic GVHD in the Patient”	15
c. Ibrutinib’s Chemical Structure.	17

VI.	THE PERTINENT PRIOR ART	18
A.	The '085 Publication.	19
1.	The '085 Publication Is a Prior Art Printed Publication.....	19
2.	The Pertinent Disclosures of the '085 Publication.	20
B.	Shimabukuro-Vornhagen.	22
1.	Shimabukuro-Vornhagen Is a Prior Art Printed Publication.	22
2.	The Pertinent Disclosures of Shimabukuro-Vornhagen.	24
C.	Herman.	26
1.	Herman Is a Prior Art Printed Publication.....	26
2.	The Pertinent Disclosures of Herman.	27
D.	Uckun.	28
1.	Uckun Is a Prior Art Printed Publication.	28
2.	The Pertinent Disclosures of Uckun.	29
VII.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES	30
VIII.	THE CLAIMS OF THE '604 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE AND THUS THE PETITION HAS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PREVAILING	31
A.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.	31
B.	Ground 1: The Challenged Claims Are Anticipated by the '085 Publication.....	32
1.	Legal Standard for Anticipation.....	32
2.	The '085 Publication Anticipated Claim 1 of the '604 Patent.....	34
a.	“A method of treating chronic graft versus host disease (GVHD).”.....	34

b.	“Administering to a patient having chronic GVHD a therapeutically effective amount of” ibrutinib.....	36
c.	“Thereby treating the chronic GVHD in the patient.”.....	37
3.	The ’085 Publication Anticipated Claims 4, 13, and 15.....	38
4.	The ’085 Publication Anticipated Claims 6, 7, 8, 29, 30, 31, 44, 45, 46, 51, 52, and 53.....	39
5.	The ’085 Publication Anticipated Claim 9.	41
6.	The ’085 Publication Anticipated Claim 10.	42
7.	The ’085 Publication Anticipated Claims 24, 28, 35, 39, 43, 50, and 55.....	43
C.	Ground 2: The Challenged Claims Would Have Been Obvious over the ’085 Publication.	44
1.	Legal Standard for Obviousness.	44
2.	Claim 1 of the ’604 Patent Would Have Been Obvious over the ’085 Publication in View of a POSA’s Knowledge in the Art.....	45
a.	A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine the Teachings of the ’085 Publication with a POSA’s Knowledge in the Art.	45
b.	Claim 1 Would Have Been Obvious over the ’085 Publication in View of a POSA’s Knowledge in the Art, With a Reasonable Expectation of Success.	47
3.	Obviousness of Claims 4, 13, and 15.....	49
4.	Obviousness of Claims 6, 7, 8, 29, 30, 31, 44, 45, 46, 51, 52, and 53.....	50
5.	Obviousness of Claim 9.	50
6.	Obviousness of Claim 10.	51
7.	Obviousness of Claims 24, 28, 35, 39, 43, 50, and 55.	52

8.	Secondary Considerations of Nonobviousness.....	53
D.	Ground 3: The Challenged Claims Would Have Been Obvious over the '085 Publication in View of Shimabukuro-Vornhagen and Herman.	54
1.	Claim 1 of the '604 Patent Would Have Been Obvious over the '085 Publication in View of Shimabukuro-Vornhagen and Herman.	54
a.	A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine the Teachings of the '085 Publication, Shimabukuro-Vornhagen, and Herman.....	54
b.	Claim 1 Would Have Been Obvious over the Combination of References, With a Reasonable Expectation of Success.	57
2.	Obviousness of Claims 4, 13, and 15.....	59
3.	Obviousness of Claims 6, 7, 8, 29, 30, 31, 44, 45, 46, 51, 52, and 53.....	60
4.	Obviousness of Claim 9.....	60
5.	Obviousness of Claim 10.....	61
6.	Obviousness of Claims 24, 28, 35, 39, 43, 50, and 55.	61
E.	Ground 4: The Challenged Claims Would Have Been Obvious over the '085 Publication in View of Shimabukuro-Vornhagen and Uckun.....	62
1.	A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine the Teachings of the '085 Publication, Shimabukuro-Vornhagen, and Uckun.	62
2.	The Challenged Claims Would Have Been Obvious over the Combination of References, With a Reasonable Expectation of Success.	65
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	67

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.