

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY,

Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2019-00822  
Patent 8,346,949

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION .....1

II. DISCLAIMER.....4

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....4

    A. The “Unique Identity” Limitations .....7

    B. The “Substituting” Limitations .....20

IV. THE PETITION FAILS TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD  
    THAT THE RFC3104-GRABELSKY COMBINATIONS RENDER THE  
    CLAIMS OBVIOUS (ALL CLAIMS, ALL GROUNDS) .....24

    A. “First Unique Identity,” “Second Unique Identity” (All Claims).....25

    B. “Performing a Translation by Using the First Unique Identity to Find  
        a Second Destination Address to the Second Computer” (All Claims)..  
        .....34

    C. “Substituting” Limitations (All Claims) .....41

        1. Adding An Outer IP Header Is Not “Substituting” As Claimed. ...42

        2. No Motivation Is Shown To Replace The Outermost IP Header...52

V. CONCLUSION.....59

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

**COURT DECISIONS**

*Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.*,  
832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... 50, 51

*Aristocrat Techs. Australia Pty Ltd. v. Int’l Game Tech.*,  
709 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....10

*Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Grp., LP*,  
616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... 8, 10, 14

*Diebold Nixdorf, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n*,  
899 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 2018)..... 38, 47, 53

*DSS Tech. Mgmt. Inc. v. Apple Inc.*,  
885 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2018)..... 39, 49, 50, 51

*Epistar Corp. v. ITC*,  
566 F.3d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2009)..... 8, 11, 14

*Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.*,  
815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....36

*HTC Corp. v. Cellular Commc’ns Equip., LLC*,  
701 Fed. Appx. 978 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....8

*In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.*,  
829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....36

*In re Schreiber*,  
128 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....40

*K/S Himpp v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC*,  
751 F.3d 1362 (Fed Cir. 2014).....48

*Mformation Techs., Inc. v. Research in Motion Ltd.*,  
764 F.3d 1392 (Fed. Cir. 2014)..... 10, 14, 15, 16

*PAR Pharm. v. TWi Pharms., Inc.*,  
773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....39

*Personalweb Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.*,  
917 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....40

*Polaris Indus., Inc. v. Arctic Cat, Inc.*,  
882 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....52

*Primos, Inc. v. Hunter’s Specialties, Inc.*,  
451 F.3d 841 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....8

*Trintec Indus., Inc. v. Top- U.S.A. Corp.*,  
295 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....39

*Vectra Fitness, Inc. v. TNWK Corp.*,  
162 F.3d 1379 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....4

*Vivid Techs., Inc. v. Am. Science & Eng’g, Inc.*,  
200 F.3d 795 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....5

**AGENCY DECISIONS**

*Am. Honda Motor Co. v. Blitzsafe Tex., LLC*,  
IPR2016-01473, Paper 9 (PTAB Jan. 24, 2017)..... 37, 47, 53

*Apple Inc. v. Papst Licensing GmbH & Co.*,  
IPR2016-01863, Paper 35 (PTAB Apr. 13, 2018)..... 38, 47, 53

*Ericsson Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC*,  
IPR2015-01367, Paper 6 (Dec. 9 2015).....42

*Hopkins Mfg. Corp. v. Cequent Performance Prods., Inc.*,  
IPR2015-00613, Paper 9 (PTAB Aug. 7, 2015)..... 9, 11, 30

*Kinetic Techs., Inc. v. Skyworks Sols., Inc.*,  
IPR2014-00529, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 23, 2014)..... 37, 47, 53

*Lenroc Co. v. Enviro Tech Chem. Servs., Inc.*,  
IPR2014-00382, Paper 12 (PTAB Jul. 24, 2014) .....5

*Unified Patent Inc. v. Plectrum LLC*,  
IPR2017-01430, Paper 30 (PTAB Nov. 13, 2018).....47

*Unified Patents Inc. v. Societa Italiana Per Lo Sviluppo Dell’Elettronica S.P.A.*,  
IPR2017-00565, Paper 13 (PTAB June 15, 2017)..... 38, 47, 53

*Whole Space Indus. Ltd., v. Zipshade Indus. (B.V.I.) Corp.*,  
IPR2015-00488, Paper 14 (PTAB July 24, 2015) .....43

**STATUTES**

35 U.S.C. § 253 .....4

**RULES AND RULEMAKING**

37 C.F.R. § 42.100 .....5

37 C.F.R. § 42.107 .....4

37 C.F.R. § 42.108 .....1

37 C.F.R. § 42.65 ..... 38, 47, 53

77 Fed. Reg. 48,680, 48,689 (Aug. 14, 2012) .....4

83 Fed. Reg. 51,340, 51,358 (Oct. 11, 2018).....5

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.