UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00821 Patent 8,037,302

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page		
I.	INT	RODUCTION1		
II.		C AHONEN PRIMARY REFERENCE AND THE '302 PATENT CLOSE FUNDAMENTALLY DIFFERENT ARCHITECTURES2		
	A.	Overview Of The '302 Patent		
	В.	Overview Of Ahonen5		
III.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION (ALL CLAIMS, ALL GROUNDS)7			
	A.	"Establishing A [First][Second] Secure Connection" Requires Forming A New Secure Connection		
	B.	Claim 1 Requires That Steps [a], [b], And [c] Be In Recited Order13		
IV.	THA	A PETITION FAILS TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD AT THE AHONEN-ISHIYAMA COMBINATIONS RENDER THE AIMS OBVIOUS (ALL CLAIMS, ALL GROUNDS)		
	A.	Connection" As Recited In Claim 1		
	В.	Ahonen Does Not Disclose Performing Steps [a], [b], And [c] Recited In Claim 1 In Their Required Order		
	C.	The Proposed Combination Of Ahonen And Ishiyama Does Not Disclose "The First Terminal Checking Whether The Second Secure Connection Already Exists"		
		1. "Checking Whether The Second Secure Connection Already Exists" Is Not Performed By Ahonen's Alleged "First Terminal" As The Claims Require		
		2. The Ahonen-Ishiyama Combination Does Not Disclose "Checking Whether The Second Secure Connection Already Exists" At All		



V.	INSTITUTION SHOULD BE DENIED UNDER § 314(a) BECAUSE THE PETITION IS ENTWINED WITH INAPPROPRIATE AND FALSE INEQUITABLE CONDUCT-TYPE ARGUMENTS43			
	A.	The International Search Report Citing Ahonen Was Submitted To And Acknowledged By The Examiner		
	В.	The International Search Report Determined Ahonen Was "Not Considered To Be Of Particular Relevance"		
	С.	The Board Should Deny The Petition Because Of Its Inappropriate Reliance On Inequitable Conduct-Type Allegations46		
VI.	CONCLUSION			



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s) **CASES** Cont'l Circuits LLC v. Intel Corp., Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc., MFormation Techs., Inc. v. Research in Motion Ltd., **ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS** Deeper, UAB v. Vexilar, Inc., IPR2018-01310, Paper 7 (PTAB Jan. 24, 2019) (informative opinion)......50 Facebook, Inc. v. Sound View Innovations, LLC, Hytera Communications Co. Ltd. v. Motorola Solutions, Inc., IPR2017-02183, Paper 47 (PTAB May 13, 2019)15 Nikon Corp. v. ASML Netherlands B.V., Repro-Med Sys., Inc. v. EMED Techs. Corp., Symantec Corp. v. Finjan, Inc.,



STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 102	47, 48, 49
35 U.S.C. § 103	47, 48, 49
35 U.S.C. § 311(b)	47, 49
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	passim
35 U.S.C. § 371	44, 45
REGULATIONS	
37 C.F.R § 1.56	46
37 C.F.R. § 1.495	45
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)	42
83 Fed. Reg. 51,340 (Oct. 11, 2018) (codified at 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b))	7



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

