

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00821
Patent 8,037,302

EXHIBIT 2002

DECLARATION OF PROFESSOR GEORGE N. ROUSKAS, PH.D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. QUALIFICATIONS.....	1
III. BASES OF OPINIONS	6
IV. APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS.....	8
A. Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	8
B. Claim Construction	10
C. Obviousness (35 U.S.C. § 103).....	11
V. OVERVIEW OF THE '302 PATENT AND THE STATE OF THE ART AT THE TIME OF THE INVENTION.....	15
A. Technical Background.....	16
B. The Mobility Problem Addressed by the '302 Patent.....	21
C. The '302 Patent's Solution to the Mobility Problem	26
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	29
A. Secure Connection.....	30
B. Establishing	32
VII. CLAIMS 1-13 AND 16 ARE PATENTABLE OVER THE COMBINATION OF AHONEN AND ISHIYAMA (GROUND 1)	34
A. Overview of Ahonen	34
B. Overview of Ishiyama	38
C. The Petition Fails to Establish that the Prior Art Teaches "Establishing a Second Secure Connection" that has a First Terminal with a Different, Second Address from the First Address in "Establishing a First Secure Connection"	41

1.	The Petition Fails To Prove That Ahonen Teaches “Establishing A Second Secure Connection Extending Between A Second Network Address Of The First Terminal And The Original Network Address Of The Second Terminal”	42
2.	Ahonen’s Mobile Host Pre-Creates Security Associations from a Fixed Address.....	48
D.	The Petition Fails to Establish that the Prior Art Teaches the “First Terminal Checking Whether the Second Secure Connection [with the Second Address] Already Exists”	51
E.	The Petition Fails to Establish that the Asserted References Teach the “Establishing A First Secure Connection As Being An Active Connection”	56
F.	The Petition Fails to Establish that the Prior Art Teaches the Inventions Defined by Dependent Claims 2-13 and 16.....	61
VIII.	CLAIMS 15 AND 16 ARE PATENTABLE OVER THE COMBINATION OF AHONEN, ISHIYAMA AND GUPTA (GROUND 2).....	61
IX.	CONCLUSION	62

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is George Rouskas. I have been retained as an expert witness to provide my independent opinion in regards with matters at issue in the *inter partes* review of U.S. 8,037,302 (“the ’302 Patent”) in the IPR2019-00821 proceeding. I have been retained by MPH Technologies Oy (“MPH”), the Patent Owner, in the above proceedings. Petitioner in this case is Apple Inc. (“Apple”).

2. Unless otherwise noted, the statements made herein are based on my personal knowledge, and if called to testify about this declaration, I could and would do so competently and truthfully.

3. A detailed record of my professional qualifications including cases in which I was an expert is being submitted herewith as Exhibit 2003 and is summarized in Section II, *infra*.

4. I am not a legal expert and offer no opinions on the law. However, I have been informed by counsel of the various legal standards that apply, and I have applied those standards in arriving at my conclusions.

II. QUALIFICATIONS

5. I am an Alumni Distinguished Graduate Professor with Tenure in the Department of Computer Science at North Carolina State University (NC State), where I also serve as the Director of Graduate Programs. I am an experienced researcher and educator in the field of computer networking, with expertise in

Internet architectures and protocols, virtualization and cloud computing, mobile devices, network devices, network security and security protocols, in a variety of applications including providing for the protection of information transmitted between devices within and among networks.

6. I have thirty years of experience in computer networking since I received my bachelor's degree in 1989. I have twenty-five years of experience as a professor in the Department of Computer Science of NC State.

7. During this time, I have led, overseen, and contributed to numerous research projects involving technical concepts that are related to the technology at issue in the IPR2019-00821 proceeding, which relates to the issue of providing mobility to secure connections over networks, such as where a first computer device in secure communication with a second computer device changes its location from a first address to a second address. For example, as part of our NSF-funded ChoiceNet project, my research group developed a new Internet architecture, a suite of communication protocols, and a proof-of-concept prototype implementation to enable real-time economic transactions in the network layer, including secure payments. For an earlier NSA-funded Jumpstart project, my group developed a novel signaling architecture and protocol for high-speed networks and designed relevant security mechanisms.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.