# 

APPLE INC., Petitioner

v.

MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY, Patent Owner

Case IPR2019-00819 U.S. Patent No. 7,620,810

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,620,810

### Mail Stop PATENT BOARD

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	Introduction				
II.	Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8)				
III.	Grou	nds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))	3		
IV.	Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b))				
	A.	Statutory Grounds for the Challenge	4		
	B.	Citation of Prior Art	4		
V.	The	810 Patent	5		
	A.	Overview of the '810 Patent	5		
		1. The '810 Patent Admitted Prior Art	8		
		2. The Examiner Misapplied References During Prosecution in View of the Admitted Prior Art	9		
	B.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	12		
	C.	Claim Construction	12		
VI.	Grounds of Unpatentability				
	A.	Ground 1: Claims 1, 4-5, and 7 are Obvious over Ishiyama and Murakawa.	12		
		1. Overview of U.S. Patent 6,904,466 (Ishiyama)	13		
		2. The Examiner Incorrectly Applied Ishiyama During Prosecution	18		
		3. Overview of the Combination of Ishiyama and U.S. Patent 7,028,337 (Murakawa)	21		
		4. The Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa Renders Claim 1 Obvious.	24		
		5. The Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa Renders Claim 7 Obvious.	47		
		6. The Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa Renders Claim 4 Obvious.	54		
		7. The Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa Renders Claim 5 Obvious.	59		



## Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Pat. No. 7,620,810

	B.	Ground 2: Claims 2 and 3 are Obvious over Ishiyama, Murakawa, and Ahonen.	59
		1. Overview of the Combination of Ishiyama, Murakawa, and U.S. Patent No. 6,976,177 (Ahonen)	. 59
		2. The Combination of Ishiyama, Murakawa, and Ahonen Renders Claims 2 and 3 Obvious	. 63
	C.	Ground 3: Claim 6 is Obvious over Ishiyama, Murakawa, and Forslöw.	66
VII	Conc	lusion	70



### **EXHIBIT LIST**

Apple (EX) Exhibit #	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,620,810 ("'810 patent").
	Declaration of Dr. David Goldschlag in Support of Petition for
1002	Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,620,810 ("Goldschlag
	Decl.").
1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 7,620,810 ("Prosecution
1003	History").
1004	U.S. Patent No. 6,904,466 to Ishiyama et al. ("Ishiyama").
1005	U.S. Patent No. 7,028,337 to Murakawa ("Murakawa").
1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,976,177 to Ahonen ("Ahonen").
1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,954,790 to Forslöw ("Forslöw").
1008	Demystifying the IPsec Puzzle, Sheila Franklel, Published 2001.
1000	IP Security - The Internet Protocol Journal – Volume 3, No. 1,
1009	William Stallings, Published March 2000.
	Mobility-aware IPsec ESP tunnels, Francis Dupont, IETF Draft
1010	Posted February 22, 2001. https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-dupont-
	movesptun-00 ("Dupont").
	RFC2401 - S. Kent, and R. Atkinson, Security Architecture for the
1011	Internet Protocol, RFC2401, November 1998.
	https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2401.html ("RFC2401").
1012	RFC793 – Information Science Institute, Transmission Control
1012	Protocol, September 1981 ("RFC793").
1013	U.S. Patent No. 7,079,499 to Akhtar et al. ("Akhtar").
1014	U.S. Patent No. 7,174,018 to Patil <i>et al.</i> ("Patil").
1015	U.S. Patent No. 6,418,130 to Cheng <i>et al.</i> ("Cheng").
1016	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. David Goldschlag.
1017	Declaration of Sandy Ginoza for IETF (Regarding RFC2401 and
1017	RFC793).
1018	Declaration of Alexa Morris for IETF (Regarding "Mobility-aware
1010	IPsec ESP tunnels" by Dupont)



#### I. Introduction

Apple Inc. petitions for *inter partes* review of claims 1-7 of United States Patent No. 7,620,810 ("'810 patent") to Vaarala *et al.*, titled "Method and Network for Ensuring Secure Forwarding of Messages." Ex. 1001, '810 patent. The Petition demonstrates that all 7 claims of the '810 patent are unpatentable.

The '810 patent allegedly solved Internet Protocol Security ("IPSec") operability problems for mobile devices. As will be further clarified below, it does not. Rather, IPSec problems were well-known and solved long before the earliest priority date of the '810 patent. See, e.g., Ex. 1008, Frankel, 3, 129-132; Ex. 1010, Dupont, 1; Ex. 1002, Goldschlag Decl., ¶¶29-46. IPSec refers to a set of protocols developed in the early 1990s that provides for the establishment and maintenance of secure communication channels between devices. IPSec was not developed for mobile devices and operability problems arose when attempts were made to apply IPSec to mobile devices. Specifically, as mobile devices roam between networks, their IP addresses change. See Goldschlag Decl., ¶29-46. This presented a problem for IPSec because it relies on fixed IP addresses for the endpoints of a connection. Id. Because of this IPSec limitation, a mobile device needed to renegotiate its connection as it traveled between networks and obtained new IP addresses, which was inefficient and resulted in connection issues. Id.



## DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

#### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

