UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD ______

APPLE INC., Petitioner

v.

MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY, Patent Owner

Case IPR2019-00820 U.S. Patent No. 7,937,581

DECLARATION OF DAVID GOLDSCHLAG, PH.D.

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD" Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | Qual | ualifications4 | | | | | |
|------|--|--|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| II. | My U | My Understanding of Claim Construction | | | | | |
| III. | My U | Iy Understanding of Obviousness | | | | | |
| IV. | Leve | el of Ordinary Skill in the Art10 | | | | | |
| V. | Over | view of the '581 Patent | | | | | |
| VI. | Overview of the State of the Art at the Time of Filing14 | | | | | | |
| | A. | Internet Protocol Security (IPSec) and Security Associations (SAs) .14 | | | | | |
| | B. | IPSec Transport Mode vs. Tunnel Mode16 | | | | | |
| | C. | The IPSec Mobility Problem19 | | | | | |
| | D. | Prior Art Solutions to the IPSec Mobility Problem21 | | | | | |
| VII. | Clain | Claims 1-2, 4, 6-7, and 9 are Obvious Over Ishiyama and Murakawa24 | | | | | |
| | A. | Overview of Ishiyama24 | | | | | |
| | B. | Overview of the Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa30 | | | | | |
| | C. | | Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa Renders Claim 1 ous. | 34 | | | |
| | | 1. | Ishiyama in view of Murakawa discloses "A method for ensuring secure forwarding of a message in a telecommunication network, having at least one mobile terminal and another terminal and a security gateway therebetween" [1-pre]. | 34 | | | |
| | | 2. | Ishiyama discloses "a) establishing a secure connection have a first address of the mobile terminal as a first end-point and gateway address of the security gateway as a second end-point [1A]. | d a pint," | | | |



| | | 3. | Ishiyama discloses "b) the mobile terminal changing from the first address to a second address," [1B]43 | | |
|-------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | 4. | Ishiyama discloses "c) while at the second address, the mobile terminal sending a request message to the gateway address of the security gateway to request the security gateway to change the secure connection to be defined between the second address and the gateway address of the security gateway," [1C]44 | | |
| | | 5. | Ishiyama discloses "in response to the request message from the mobile terminal, the security gateway changing an address definition of the secure connection from the first address to the second address," [1D] | | |
| | | 6. | Ishiyama in view of Murakawa discloses "the mobile terminal sending a secure message in the secure connection from the second address of the mobile terminal to the other terminal via the security gateway." [1E] | | |
| | D. | The Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa Renders Claim 9 Obvious | | | |
| | E. | The Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa Renders Claim 2 Obvious. | | | |
| | F. | The Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa Renders Claim 4 Obvious. | | | |
| | G. | | Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa Renders Claim 6 ous | | |
| | H. | | Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa Renders Claim 7 ous | | |
| VIII. | . Claims 3 and 5 are Obvious Over Ishiyama, Murakawa, and Ahonen | | | | |
| | A. | | view of the Combination of Ishiyama, Murakwa, and U.S. Patent ,177 (Ahonen)71 | | |
| | B. | | Combination of Ishiyama, Murakwa, and Ahonen Renders as 3 and 5 Obvious | | |
| | | | | | |



| IX. | Claim 8 is Obvious Over Ishiyama, Murakawa, and Forslöw | 79 |
|-----|---|----|
| X. | Conclusion | 84 |



- I, Dr. David Goldschlag, declare as follows:
- 1. I have been retained on behalf of Apple Inc. for the above-captioned *inter partes* review proceeding. I understand this proceeding involves U.S. Patent No. 7,937,581 ("'581 patent"), titled "Method and Network For Ensuring Secure Forwarding of Messages," and that the '581 patent is currently assigned to MPH Technologies OY.
- 2. I have reviewed and am familiar with the specification of the '581 patent, issued on May 3, 2011. I understand the '581 patent has been provided as Ex. 1001. I will cite to the specification using the following format: Ex. 1001, '581 patent, 1:1-10. This example citation points to the '581 patent specification at column 1, lines 1-10.
- 3. I have reviewed and am familiar with the following prior art used in the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of the '581 patent:
 - U.S. Patent No. 6,904,466 to Ishiyama *et al.* was filed on May 19, 2000, more than one year before the earliest possible priority date of the '581 patent. I understand Ishiyama has been provided as Ex. 1004.
 - U.S. Patent No. 7,028,337 to Murakawa was filed on December 1, 2000 and published September 6, 2001, both dates being before the earliest priority date of the '581 patent. I understand Murakawa has been provided as Ex. 1005.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

